#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 045 729 TM 000 316

TITLE Second School Quality Workbook: The Quality

Measurement Project.

INSTITUTION New York State Education Dept., Albany. Bureau of

School Programs Evaluation.

PUE DATE Apr 70 NOTE 343p.

EDRS PRICE FDRS Price MF-\$1.50 HC-\$7.25

DESCRIPTORS \*Academic Achievement, Achievement Tests, Family

Eackground, Grade 5, Grade 8, \*Group Norms, Intelligence Quctient, Occupations, \*Program

Evaluation, Rural Areas, Socioeconomic Background,

Statistical Analysis, \*Statistical Data, \*Test

Results, Urban Areas

IDENTIFIERS Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, New York State, \*Quality

Measurement Project

#### AESTRACT


The Quality Measurement Project (QMP), initiated in 1957, published its final report, a School Quality Workbook, in 1963. When the achievement tests on which the QMP norms were based were renormed by the publisher, it was decided to test again in 1965. The results of that testing are reported herein. Testing was restricted to grades 5 and 8 in selected areas throughout New York State and background information was compiled on each student. The workbook is intended as an evaluative instrument to aid administrators in judging the quality of their school systems. The level of academic achievement with reference to students, school systems, and similar systems (large and medium cities, small cities, villages, large rural, and small rural) can be determined for the total test and subtests of the Icwa Tests of Basic Skills. Section I of the worktcok explains the normative figures and tables in Appendices A-D which are based on school system mean achievement scores. Section II explains the tables in Appendices E-P which allow one to compare the achievement of certain defined student groups within a school system with similar groups elsewhere. Variables include father's education, mother's education, father's occupation, I.Q., and size and location of school. (CK)



TM 000 316

# SCHOOL OND SECOND

The Quality Measurement Project



N-56

ERIC Full Tax Provided by ERIC

Division of Research Albany, New York 12224

## THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

## Regents of the University

	New York	White Plains	Troy	Buffalo	Purchase	New York	Queens	Brooklyn	Rochester	Glens Falls	New York	Hasting on	Hudson	Syracuse	Owego	Sands Point
Joseph W. McGovern, A.B., LL.B., L.H.D., LL.D., D.C.L.,	Chancellor	Everett J. Penny, B.C.S., D.C.S., Vice Chancellor	Alexander J. Alian, Jr., LL.D., Litt.D	Charles W. Millard, Jr., A.B., LL.D., L.H.D	Carl H. Pforzheimer, Jr., A.B., M.B.A., D.C.S., H.H.D	Edward M. Warburg, B.S., L.H.D	Joseph T. King, LL.B	Joseph C. Indelicato, M.D.	Mrs. Helen B. Power, A.B., Litt.D., L.H.D	Francis W. McGinley, B.S., LL.B., LL.D	Max J. Rubin, LL.B., L.H.D	71 Kenneth B. Clark, A.B., M.S., Ph.D., Litt.D H		Stephen K. Bailey, A.B., B.A., M.A., Ph.D., LL.D		Theodore M. Black, A.B
1984		1985	1978	1973	1972	1975	1977	1974	1976	1979	1980	1971		1982	1983	1981

# President of the University and Commissioner of Education Ewald B. Nyquist

Executive Deputy Commissioner of Education Gordon M. Ambach

Associate Commissioner for Research and Evaluation Lorne H. Woollatt

Assistant Commissioner for Research and Evaluation William D. Firman

Director, Division of Evaluation Alan G. Robertson

Chief, Bureau of School Programs Evaluation David J. Irvine



#### EDO 45729

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION

& WELFARE

OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED

EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR

EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR

ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT, POINT'S OF

ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING OF DIVINGS

VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECES
VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECES
SARILY REFRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDU-

SECOND SCHOOL QUALITY WORKBOOK

The University of the State of New York
THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Bureau of School Programs Evaluation Albany, New York 12224 April, 1970



#### Foreword

was conducted, had as one goal the development of methods by which local administrators This work is continuing under the project title, "Performance The Quality Measurement Project, under whose aegis the research reported herein could determine strengths and weaknesses of school operation as reflected by their Indicators in Education." effects upon students.

knowledge gained in the past to provide a computerized norming and reporting system that The information The latest endeavor of the Quality Measurement Project has been to build upon thus provided could be used for local administrative control and planning. can produce reports to local districts within a short period of time.

the attention to detail by the late Miss Mary Harris, and the mental and moral support of Charles Armstrong, all of whom, at the time of this project, were members of the That this has been done, reflects the vision and direction of Gerald Wohlferd, tion and accuracy of the normative tables of this report. The programming talents Mrs. Lynne Curtis, recently of the same bureau, was responsible for the organiza-Bureau of School Programs Evaluation of the New York State Education Department. of James Carter and Arnold Chapman, members of the Division of Electronic Data Processing, have also contributed to the success of this project.

LORNE H. WOOLLATT Associate Commissioner for Research and Evaluation



### Table of Contents

56	1	:	;	,	•		•		:	:			i		,	S	Charts	<sup>万</sup>		<u>;</u>	τ <del>ι</del> Φ	jp.	01:	ns	Consolidation		Value	٧a		Scale	လ	Ħ,	0	ದ್ದ	tic	1Ct	nr:	ıst	Construction			
49	ı		•	,	;	1	1	-			ı		1	1	i	1		E	Values		1e	Scale		and		S	Percentages	ħt	Ce.	Per		of		ioi	ati	ina	îmi	er	Determination			
42	•			1		1		•		,		ı	-	•	i	1			1	ι.					:	ı.	1	ι		Data		Pupi1	qu		e de		ing	îti.	Sorting			
32	1	1	1	•	;	1			-	,	,		i	1	i	,		1			1	ι.			tа	Data		31n	SS	oce	Processing		and			tin	106	[]e	Collecting			
ω	,	,	,	í			1					1		1	,	i i	1	1	1	ı				1	1	1	11		ťon	cti	Section		of		Overview	3	/er	Q	An	_		
ω			,	1					Scores	5	လွ	nt	Student	'n	ស្ព	of		ga	Ρį	ou	Groupings		of	gn	is	ar	Comparison	င္ပ	by		ion	3ti	na	alı	Evaluation		11		Section	èc	S	
32	ı	-	,	1			1		1	:					1		ı.	ı.	ı.	1				ဋ	is	ă.	Comparisons	င္ပ		Table			Norm		of		ary	nma	Summary			
29	1		1		ŭ	Factors	æ		Socio-economic	10 t	0	ė	ė.	13		and	29	рe	Тy	Y	1	Ħ	E E	Community Type		ji ti	Comparison with	gon	cis	par	Jino	ე	¥	. <del>g</del>	g	tio	ıat	alu	Evaluation by			
27	1		1			1									1			1	ı	ı		_	ns	SO	ŗ.	pa	Comparisons		ıre	Figure	F.	Ħ	Norm		О <b>.</b>		ary	mne	Summary			
23	1	1	•						:	1	ι .					١.	1	ı	ı		e1:	Levels		,o	Ţ.Q.		n of	gon	Ţ.	рат	Comparison	ဂ္ဂ		ьу	on	tio	18t	alu	Evaluation			
14	1		1			,		1		1		1	_	ve.	Leve		economic	no	CO	Ď	ņ	Socio-		62	ou.	through		301	ris	рат	Comparison	ე		by	on.	ti o	Jat	91u	Evaluation			
10	1	1		•			1		1	1		1			рe	Community Type	۷.	1	띩	胃	S S		upon		ed	based		gon	C.	рат	Comparison	င္ပ		ьу	on	٤iο	1at	alu	Evaluation			
ω.						1	,	ı	1	1	ı.	t .			ns	Norms		te	State	ورع	r;	York		New		with	ı V	gon	ris	рат	Comparison	ဂ္ဂ		. <del></del>	on	tio	1.B.t	alu	Evaluation by			
•	•			1			!	1	1	1		1				1	ı	ı	ι			1		1	1	1		⊢∹	lon 1	cti	Section		of	Ē	An Overview of	ĽV.	ver	δ	An			
6	ı	1			S	Scores	SC		Achievement	em	ev	μ	Ac]		98	Average	Αv		E E	System		<b>6</b>	School	Sc		of	Use		ьу	a	Evaluation	uat	ılu	va	폇	۰	ŗ.		Section	Sec	ß	
(A)	1					L		1	1	ı		1			•		ŧ		1	1	1		Data	Da		the		sin	es es	900	Processing		and			Collecting	ect	11e	င္ပ			
<u></u>	1			1		1	1	,	1	1						1	ı	ı	ι	ı	1	1	1	ı	1	1	1	17.	dec.	1uc	Included			Data		of		pes	Types			
	ı			ı.	1	ı		,		ı.		ı.		1	1	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	ı.	1	1	ı	1		og.	, bc	Workbook		he	the		Off		tio	S; t	Composition	igo mo	C	
vii	1	1				ŧ	,	ŧ	1	1	1	ı	1		1	ı	t	ı	1	ı	1	ı	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	J	1	1	1		ior	cti	duc	Introduction	nt.	H	
11	1		1	1	1	,	,	,		1	1	1					ı	ı	ı	ı	ŧ	ı	1	1	ı	1	1	1	1	1	1	ı	ı	1	1		D. I	orc.	Foreword	ro.	121	
Page																																										



## Table of Contents (cont'd.)

	Page
Appendices Section I Tables	67
Appendix A, Grade 5, Total Sample Tables	<b>4</b> 9
Appendix B, Grade 5, Community Type Tables	83
Appendix C, Grade 8, Total Sample Tables	66
Appendix D, Grade 8, Community Type Tables	115
Section II Tables	131
Appendix E, Grade 5, Total Sample Tables	131
Appendix F, Grade 5, Large-Medium Cities Tables	147
Appendix G, Grade 5, Small Cities Tables	163
Appendix H. Grade 5, Village Superintendencies Tables	179
Appendix I, Grade 5, Large Rurals Tables	195
Appendix J, Grade 5, Small Rurals Tables	211
Appendix K, Grade 8, Total Sample Tables	227
Appendix L, Grade 8, Large-Medium Cities Tables	243
Appendix M, Grade 8, Small Cities Tables	259
Appendix N, Grade 8, Village Superintendencies Tables	275
Appendix O, Grade 8, Large Rurals Tables	291
Appendix P, Grade 8, Small Rurals Tables	307
Appendix O. Blank Forms	303



#### List of Tables

	Pag	Pages
Table 1:	Community Type Classifications	11
Table 2.	Parent's Education Rating Scale	15
Table 3.	School System Average Education Groupings	16
Table 4.	Modified Warner's Rating Scale for Occupation	20
Table 5.	School System Average Father's Occupational Groups	21
Table 6.	Average I.Q. Groups for School Systems	24
Table 7,	Quartile Consolidation Table, Appendices A and C	28
Table 8.	Delineation of Community Type Column	30
Table 9.	Notation of Socioeconomic and Intelligence Category	33
Table 10.	Quartile Consolidation Table by Community Type, Appendices B and D	ဌာ
Table 11.	Student Parental Classification	39
Table 12.	Illustration of Use of Total Number Column:	44
Table 13.	Sorting of Student Cards by Achievement Level	46
Table 14.	Illustration of Use of Number Under and Number Over Columns	48
Table 15	Illustration of Use of Percent Under and Percent Over Columns	50
Table 16.	Percent to Scale Value Conversion	52
Table 17.	Illustration of Use of Scale Value Columns	53
Table 18.	Completed Community Type Distribution Table	55
Table 19.	Scale Values of Hypothetical Eighth Grade	57



## List of Tables (cont'd.)

		Pages
Table 20.	Entry of Tallies for Scale Values by Grade	59
Table 21.	Entry of Tallies for Scale Values by Subject Test Area	61
Table 22.	Entry of Tallies for Scale Values by Socioeconomic and Occupational Level	63
Table 23.	Entry of Tallies for Scale Values by Intelligence Level	65
	List of Figures	
Figure 1.	Illustration of Use of Total New York State Column	6
Figure 2.	Illustration of Use of Type of Community Column	13
Figure 3.	Illustration of Father's Education and Mother's Education Columns	18
Figure 4.	Illustration of Use of Father's Occupation Column	22
Figure 5.	Illustration of Use of I.Q. Columns	56
	Forms	
Form 1.	Front of Student Card:	41
Form 2.	Back of Student Card	41



#### Introduction

were: The Assessment of School Quality, 1959; Procedures in School Quality Evaluation community, staff, student, and financial data were collected during the 1957-58 through uative instruments that would help administrators in judging the degree of excellence its purposes: 1) to survey the problem of measuring school system effectiveness; and Evaluation of the State Education Department in the spring of 1957. lished 1961; and School Quality Workbook, 1963. Several informal documents were also pub-1959-60 school years. 2) to determine if school system quality could be measured; and 3) to construct evaltheir particular systems. Accordingly, achievement data along with pertinent The Quality Measurement Project was established within the Office of Research Two interim reports and a final report were published. These It had as

advantages. at the same time availability of new processing facilities would provide three distinct State Education Department. ment norms had been based. first of these was a renorming by the publishers of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, the achievement battery upon which the previous Quality Measurement Project achieve-Two factors influenced a decision to once again conduct large scale testing. The Not only would the necessary computations be accomplished more quickly, The second factor was the acquisition of a computer by the While recalculation of the State norms was felt necessary,



but close control through frequent checks would provide a testing and reporting procedure In addition, the computer program which was to synthesize the data and calculate the results would be readily available for future use. tailored to the desired outcomes.

of size, location, and community characteristics represented. New York City was not quency of use of commercial tests in New York State school districts, the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, Form IV, was chosen as the achievement battery to be administered. Based upon a careful study by the Quality Measurement Project staff of the freand eight. Ninety-nine school districts were selected as a sample with differences Monetary as well as staff considerations restricted testing to two grades ----five includea.

Evaluation, while test administration and collection of other student data was accom-Test materials and scoring costs were assumed by the Offices of Research and plished by each cooperating school system.

compiled pupil background information. The demographic data were recorded in code form 1965. While administering the achievement battery, each school system simultaneously Testing of approximately 45,000 pupils was completed before the end of November in special areas of each child's answer sheet.



system before shipping to the Houghton Mifflin Scoring Service in Iowa City. Bundles of answer sheets were number coded for identification of school building and of pupil name, completeness of pupil background data, and sensibleness of coded entries. members for intensity of penciled responses, correct entry of pupil name, completeness student answer sheets each student were electronically produced by the Scoring Service from the individual lists, school building and school system averages, plus Hollerith punched cards for Each answer sheet was carefully checked by Quality Measurement Project staff Class

program which further tested for errors of entry. office, punched cards and answer sheets were compared with class lists for a count necessary. check and sensibleness of entries. When the reports and materials were returned to the Quality Measurement Project Data on the punched cards were then scanned by a specially written computer Corrections to punched cards were made where

scores into the scales contained in this publication. Data Processing were developing a computer program to convert pupil grade equivalent scales and printed an individual school district report. report was then forwarded to the proper school system. the system means and pupil scores of each school system Even before the tests were administered, personnel of the Division of Electronic The program also compared to the derived normative The confidential evaluative

system reports -- can be accomplished electronically with a minimum of human manipulation. The program developed makes it possible to produce State (or national) normative time.lag between date of test administration and receipt of finished report, but also of the process -- scoring, checking, derivation of normative scales, and production of Computerization of data collection, checking, and processing not only reduce the scales and individual system reports within a very few months of testing. greatly reduce error.

subsequent testings. For example, the computer program has been written and logistical Though many hours were spent in communication with school systems, test company, problems pertaining to supply and correction of test materials have been overcome, evaluative testing need no longer be an onerous task, but can be conducted rapidly and computer programmers, these hours of staff time would be greatly reduced for and accurately with large numbers of pupils.

of a third section to this Workbook, which would have dealt with comparison of achievement through use of nomographs, would have overburdened this already sizable document, Added information secured through analysis of the data collected in preparation Toward An Evaluation of Education, published in September of 1969. Because inclusion Programs Evaluation, and this Workbook are contained in a nontechnical publication. for the reports to the school districts, original research in the Bureau of School the nomographs will appear in a later separate publication.



### Types of Data Included

tions of community and socioeconomic type and composition of the student body in regards school system with reference to similar systems and children. Comparison of academic is a method of determining the level of academic achievement in the basic skill of a to I.Q. and socioeconomic or educational home background. achievement is possible through the use of norm tables which are divided into classificawill aid administrators in judging the quality of their school systems. Second School Quality Workbook is meant to be an evaluative instrument which The Workbook

used two methods of classification: by the type of community in which the school is classification is necessary. The first School Quality Workbook, published in 1963, can be compared. tests. the pupils as measured by the occupational rating of each child's father. located (Large City, Urban, Village, and Rural), and by the socioeconomic level of Test companies routinely provide normative data to schools administering their This information provides useful scales with which a school system's average The Quality Measurement Project staff, however, believes that further

and not the location or composition of the communities Small Rurals classifications are herein based solely on the size of the communities, employed. In presenting the 1965 data, classifications of community type are once again The Large and Medium Cities, Small Cities, Villages, Large Rurals, and

education and Mother's education are used in addition to father's occupation. This classi-As further refinements to the socioeconomic rating in this study, both the father's fication system establi**s**hes three specific norms which permit comparison of schools and students of similar characteristics, oĘ

The great effect that IQ has upon academic achievement has long been recognized. Therefore, it was felt that a classification scheme that group school systems and students by  $\mathrm{IQ}$  -would provide additional information that would allow for more meaningful tribution of scores for each of these tests is different, separate norm data for each Lorge-Thorndike Intelligence Test measures both verbal and nonverbal intelligence and Since the discomparisons among school systems with student bodies of similar composition. provides scores for both of these test areas plus a composite score. test area are presented in this study, g

Section I presents normative figures and tables wherein data are based upon school system mean achievement scores. The Workbook is divided into two sections. encompass tes areas for grades five and eight.

ment of certain defined student groups within a school system with similar student groups Section II presents normative tables which make it possible to compare achieveacross the State. Tables are provided for grades five and eight.

to be followed in using this workbook. Normative figures and tables are provided Sections I and II describe with supportive illustrations, the procedures



individual comparisons. in Appendices A through P for use by the school system in the preparation of these through D; Section II deals with the data provided in Appendices E through P. Section I pertains to the normative data in Appendices A

is achieving in comparison to similar systems. In this way a school system will obtain the maximum amount of information about how it normative tables and figures in both sections should be completed whenever feasible. some may not be germane to the background of the system. However, pertinent No school system may make use of all the norms presented in this Workbook, since

## Collecting and Processing Data

would not be applicable. employ the same test battery. School systems wishing to make use of the normative information in this workbook must by administration of the Iowa Tests of created from both student scores and school system mean achievement scores as secured Normative figures and tables presented in both sections of the Workbook were Scores obtained from administration of other batteries Basic Skills, Form IV, in grades five and eight.

Grade equivalent scores are reported by the secring service, or secured by hand scoring, tables for this workbook, however, were constructed using grade equivalents only. Test scores can be derived either as grade equivalents or percentiles. The normative



as whole numbers for the scores of individual children, and carried to tenths for school 56.1 would represent 5 years and 6 months of schooling. Grade equivalent norms as presented in this workbook express the year as a whole number and the month as a decimal. months. An individual student grade equivalent score Moving the decimal point one place to the left converts a derived individual score, or of 52 represents 5 years and 2 months of schooling, while a school system average of building and school system averages. Grade equivalents from the above sources are school system average score into the grade scores as used in this Workbook. student score of 52 becomes 5.2 and a system average of 56.1 becomes 5.61. based upon a school year of 10

the months of September through November. Thus, the normative tables in this Workbook reflect a beginning-of-year standardization. Any school district wishing to make use Administration of the achievement tests in the school systems took place during of the comparative achievement tables included herein, must either test during the first 3 months of the year or correct the scores obtained at other times to beginning-of-year values.

grade equivalent score is converted to its percentile according to the percentile table Conversion of pupil scores is accomplished by transferring equivalent percentile values from a later testing table to the beginning-of-year table. To do this, each standardized for the time of year in which testing took place.



of Year" eighth grade table. Percentile tables are found among the final pages of the of 50 when the "End of Year" eighth grade table is used. A percentile of 50 is equal Arithmetic score of 8.6 secured through testing in May yields an equivalent percentile to determine the converted grade equivalent score. For example, an eighth grade Total to a grade equivalent score of 8.0 (with the decimal point moved) on the "Beginning The percentile is then used as a referent point on the "Beginning of Year" table Teachers Manual which accompanies the Lowa Tests of Basic Skills.

average scores which can be entered directly into the normative figures and tables. Student converted scores when averaged yield school building and school system

grade, subject, and time-of-year tables in the "Administrators Manual." of the "Administrators Manual." Those systems testing in other than the fall months school and system averages may then be used in the normative figures and tables of will follow the same procedures as outlined above, using of course, the appropriate service from the Scoring Service, will use the tables provided among the final pages this Workbook after the decimal points are moved to the left one place. School systems, receiving building and system averages as a part of the contractual The corrected



### An Overview of Section I

achieve at a higher level than do many school systems in other areas of the country. Thus comparisons made to averages of other New York State schools are more valuable It has been found over the years that schools in New York State generally than comparisons to the national norms supplied by test companies.

averages of systems grouped into relatively specific categories of school system are so arranged that as one progresses through the figures and tables, the norms This section makes possible comparisons of system achievement averages to derived than has been previously possible. In this section the normative data background. Thus a more precise estimate of school system performance can be to which a school system can be compared become progressively more specific. Normative data are presented by Ewo styles in this section. Though both styles dices B and D). Appendices A and B present grade 5 normative data, while Appendices in the form of figures (Appendices A and C) and second in the form of tables (Appen-C and D contain grade 8 normative information. The figures make possible a simple are arranged by grade and subject area within the grade, data are first presented visual as well as numerical comparison of system achievement averages to those of State, community type, socioeconomic and IQ groupings.



\_

shaded areas at the extremes of each column encompass the remaining two quarters. and can be thought of as the second and third quatters of the distribution. The on both sides of this heavy line together encompass 50 percent of the distribution, average score for that column or classification of school systems. comparison group of schools. All columns in these figures have been divided into four ireas. Each of the columns in the figures (see also Appendices A and C) represents a The solid line in the middle of each white area represents the mean or the The white areas

area is made by determining into which quarter a particular school system mean score as the fourth quarter. falls in each relevant column. The lowest quarter has been designated as the first quarter and the top quarter Comparison to each norm group in a given achievement test

of pages. figures are also used in the tables. extensions of the figures of Appendices A and C. that of the text for the figures. normative data has been changed from that of figural to tabular style to reduce the number The tables contained in Appendices B and D of this section of the Workbook are by community type, each subclassified in turn by a socioeconomic or IQ level. and C will be treated first. The tables are further subclassifications of school system achievement averages Illustrative explanations of the use of the figures as contained in Appendices Classificatory information used for entry into the Explanatory text for using the tables follows The format of the presentation of the


## Evaluation by Comparison With New York State Norms

Figure is the grade 8 vocabulary normative figure. The average for all systems, as represented The New York State norm is the first column of each figure and represents the disdividing the first and second quarters is about 8,04, and that dividing the third and tribution of the mean scores of all school systems that cooperated in the study. by the middle horizontal line of the first column, is approximately 8.36. fourth quarters is approximately 8,68,

this hypothetical school is slightly lower than that for other school systems throughout conclusion would be that average achievement in eighth-grade vocabulary of students in only be made at this time. The hypothetical score of 8.32 crosses the second quarter be compared to the norms in any or all of the 27 columns of figure 1, for purposes of explanation here, the comparison of Total New York State norm (column one) will and a dashed line has been drawn connecting these two points. While this line may for a hypothetical school system. The mean vocabulary score of 8.32 for the hypo-Figure 1 illustrates the placement of the mean eighth-grade vocabulary score of the Total New York State distribution slightly below the mean line. Thus, the thetical school system has been located on the scales on both sides of the chart New York State,



Figure 1. Illustration of Use of Total New York State Column





## Evaluation by Comparison Based on Type of Community

ment of the school system which serves the community, and not on the location or social Categories The next type of classification utilized in these charts is the type of community categories: Large and Medium Cities, Small Cities, Villages, Large Rurals, and Small composition of the community. Table 1, page 11, gives the actual breakdown into the categories and is to be used in the determination of the proper community category. included herein are designations based on the size of the community or the enrollin which the school system is located. Communities have been divided into five Rurals. Thus five normative columns are provided for this classification.

building average could be compared to the most comparable norms and the identity of Large cities having many school buildings may wish to consider each building attendance area in this and subsequent classifications. In this way, each school separately or group several schools according to the socioeconomic level of the each building would not be lost in the entire large city school system mean.

Community" group on each norm table in Appendices A and C. If the decision were that the "Large Rural" classification best fitted the community in which the school system was After the school system's community type has been determined by use of table 1, a check ( $oldsymbol{v}$ ) should be placed at the head of the appropriate column of the "Type of located the checkmark would be placed as in figure 2.



Community Type Classifications


Table 1

Classification	Description
Large & Medium Cities	Includes the so-called "Big Five" and all other cities with a gross population in excess of 50,000 people.
Small Cities	This provides for the remainder of the city school districts including enlarged city school districts with a gross population of less than 50,000.
Villages	Includes village superintendencies and other large central or union free school districts with enrollments of greater than 2,500 pupils.
Large Rural	Contains union free and central school districts with enrollments ranging from 1,100 to 2,500.
Small Rural	The remaining school districts in the State with enrollments of less than 1,100 pupils are placed in this classification.



In this case, the Vocabulary average of the hypothetical school, as illustrated by the horizontal dashed line across the norm chart (figure 2), is now found to be pothetical school, therefore, are achieving in eighth grade vocabulary at the same Students of the hylevel as the average of other students in similar communities. nearly on the average for Large Rural school districts.





# Evaluation by Comparison Based On Socioeconomic Level

classifying by occupation, schools have also been classified by the average level of both nity's socioeconomic status. In the first School Quality Workbook, the average level of the occupation of the main wage earner in each household was used as the sole determin-Another method of classifying school systems is by the sacioeconomic level of the people living in the school district. There are various ways of determining a commuant of the socioeconomic level of each child. In the present Workbook, in addition the father's and of the mother's education.

suggested order of use would be; first, Mother's Education; second, Father's Occupation; and then, Father's Education. However, choice of a classification should be dependent Though the figures in Appendices A through D contain columns for each of the three educational and socioeconomic classifications, it is doubtful that a school system will need or feel it necessary to utilize each of these classifications. upon the ease and accuracy with which the data may be collected.

#### Education Level

scale in which O equals four or more years of college and 6 equals no formal education. The education level of the parents of each student in a school system is determined by use of the rating scale described in table 2. It is based on a six-point



Table 2
Parents' Education Rating Scale

6	ъ	4	ω	2	н	0	Scale
No formal education	Sixth grade or less	Seventh through ninth grade	Tenth grade or more but not high school graduate	High school graduate	1 to 3 years of post high school education	Four or more years of college	Educational Level



Once ratings are established for either or both parents of each child in the grade under consideration, each set of ratings is averaged. Thus, a school system may have averages for both father's and mother's educational levels by grades.

These groupings and subsequent similar socioeconomic groupings Table 3 shows how the school system education averages were grouped for use in the were established by statistical methods to encompass modes. norm charts in Section I.

rable 3

School System Average Education Groupings

	10.00	A A A
	Education Average	Mother's Education
eroup		
1	0 - 1.70	0 - 1.79
7	1.80 - 2.29	1.80- 2.19
e	2,30 - 2,69	2.20 -2.59
4	2.70 and over	2.60 and over

In the case of the hypothetical school, a school system mean father's education whose numbers correspond to the educational ratings obtained above, allows for quick fore, this school system would fall into the second father's educational group and the third mother's educational group. Placing checkmarks at the heads of columns rating of 2.27 and a mean mother's education rating of 3.34 have been chosen. reference to the proper norms (see figure 3).



group. achieving above the mean of students in schools within the same mother's educational average of students in other schools in the same father's educational group but are educational grouping. Students in this school, therefore, are achieving lower than the grouping and crosses the third quarter of the norm for schools within the third mother's crosses the second quarter of the norm for schools within the second father's educational The dashed line representing the hypothetical school's mean vocabulary score






Illustration of Use of Father's Education and Mother's Education Columns Figure 3.



### Father's Occupation Level

she is the major wage earner) of each child is obtained. one previously described. First, the occupation of the father (or the mother if students' fathers. The technique used in deriving the rating is similar to the for Occupation" as found in table 4. Occupational values are then averaged to assigned a value of from 1-7 according to the "Modified Warner's Rating Scale ťο school system fathers' occupation rating. This final rating is the one referred reference should be made to table 5 which provides for conversion to a four-point produce a school system mean rating. After obtaining the average rating, in the norm tables. Another socioeconomic classification is based on the occupational level of The occupation is then

degree or an inflated title for a janitor. acreage or may own a large dairy farm. An 'engineer' could be a man with a graduate titles of occupations leads to confusion. A "farmer" may own a farm with submarginal child's father. in determining each father's occupation from the remarks of the children. he knows only of his father's work in broad terms. may be found that often it is hard to establish the exact occupation of a Frequently the child does not know what his father does for a living, For these reasons, judgment must be used Vague terminology in the

## Table 4 MODIFIED WARNER RATING SCALE FOR OCCUPATION \*

	ĸ	ĸ	7	
	accountants	auto salesmen	aviators	
certified public accountants	assistants to executives, etc.	bank cashiers	bookkeepers	
	chiropodists	bank clerks	butchers	
	chiropractors	contractors	clerks in offices	
	farm ownerslarge scale	justices of the peace	dry cleaners	
	operators	librariensnot graduate	foremen-factory	
	librariansgraduate	ministersno training	mail clerks-rural	
high school superintendents	managerslarge business	optometrists	ownerselectrical, plumbing,	
	ministerssome training	postal clerks	carpentry business	
	newspaper editors	secretariesto executives	railroad conductors	
managersregional & divisional	nursestrained	social workers	railroad engineers	
large financial & industrial	office department managers	supervisorsrailroad and	ticket agentsairlines, busss,	
	large businesses	phone	railroads	
ministersgraduates of divin-	postmasters	teachersgrade school	sales peopledry goods store	
	salesmenreal estate and	undertakers' assistants	sheriffs	
	insurance		stenographers	
	teachershigh school	all minor business officials	watchmakers	_
	undertakers			
	-		7	
auto assemblers and mechanics	assembly line	_	caddy	
barbers and beauty operators	attendantss	_	car washer	
	amusement ce	amusement centers, parking lots	dishwasher	
butcherssupermarkets and appren	entices baggage men		farm laborers	
	-	_		_

2	9	7
auto assemblers and mechanics	assembly line workers, mfg.	caddy
barbers and beauty operators	attendantsstate hospitals,	car washer
bartenders	amusement centers, parking lots	dishwasher
butcherssupermarkets and apprentices	baggage men	farm laborers
carpenters and cabinet makers	carpenters assistantother	heavy laborersall occupations
clerks & checkers dime stores, drug stores,	assistants to skilled trade	hospital orderly
supermarkets	clerkssupply, shipping	janitors and custodians
cooks, bakers and caterers	delivery men & messengers	migrant workers
electriciansemployed and apprentices	gardeners, greens keepers	miners
factory workers, skilleddress cutters, lath	gas station attendants	moving men
operators, seamstresses	jailor	newsboys
firamen, policemen and detectives	mail handler	odd-job men
linesmentelephone and telegraph	milkmen & employees in dairy	scrubmen
mason, plasterers, painters	moulder	window washers
mechanicskilled	night watchmen, night policemen	
practical nurse	operatorsmotor vehicles, ele-	
printers and lithographers	vators, bridges, heavy equip.	
radio and TV repairmen	sailors and deck hands	
self-employedsmall business	semi-skilled workersbuilding	
small farm owners and managers	trades, factories, plants	
technicians for laboratories, TV, airconditioning		

Glouchester, Mass: Peter Smith, 1957, pp. 140-141. \*Warner, William Lloyd. Social Class in America.




School Systems Average Father's Occ. Groups

4	ω	2	μ.	Group
5.20 +	4.40 - 5.19	3.80 - 4.39	0 - 3.79	Averages

of column 3 under the father's occupation grouping, as was done in figure 4. 4.57 for the hypothetical school system when referred to the groupings in table 5, results in a rating of 3. The checkmark, in this case would be entered at the head a numerical value for each father's occupation (table 4), then averaging the values, and finally assigning a rating through table 5, a checkmark should be entered at the head of the appropriate column on each of the norm tables. An average occupational level of After a school system's occupation average has been determined by first assigning

the average of other communities of similar father's occupational background. occupational ratings. school crosses the heavy line which represents the mean for communities having similar The horizontal line representing the mean vocabulary score of the hypothetical Thus the hypothetical school is achieving at the same level as







## Evaluation by Comparison of IQ Levels

school system achievement scores. of the children in a community will have a strong relationship with the mean scholasof which he is capable has long been recognized by educators. Thus, the tic achievement their school system obtains and must be considered when evaluating The positive relationship between a child's Į and the academic achievement IQ level

posite of the two measures may be derived. A score may be obtained for each of these tests. normative data on intelligence. It is composed of a verbal test and a nonverbal test. for each test waries somewhat from the others, norms for each are presented Lorge-Thorndike Intelligence Test was used in this study as the basis of the Since the content and the range of scores A total IQ score which is a com-

and total IQ ) from a single testing, use of all three columns is suggested. provided. But, as it is not difficult to obtain all three figures (nonverbal Once again, a system may not feel it necessary to utilize all three of the columns

lQ's For example, a mean nonverbal for each child, school system means are obtained for each of the test areas. verbal score of 103.5 and a total score of 103.9. After the test battery has been administered and are then to be compared with table 6 for the assignment of a group code number. Ņ score of 104.3 would fall in Group Number 2 as would IQ scores have been obtained The average



Table 6

Average IQ Groups for School Systems

	δī	IQ Test Area	
Group	Nonverbal	Verbal	Tota1
-	110.0 and above	112.0 and above	110.0 and above
2	103.0-109.9	102.0-111.9 102.0-109.9	102.0-109.9
ю	70.0-102.9	70.0-101.9	70.0-101.9

but crosses the third quarter of the appropriate verbal and total IQ columns. Thus, horizontal line once again represents the hypothetical school's mean vocabulary score. at the head of the columns labeled "2" under each of the three IQ sections. The nonverbal IQ level, but is achieving above the average of schools with the same this school is achieving at the average of other schools having students of the same In this case it crosses almost on the average of the second nonverbal IQ column, verbal and total IQ level. Figure 5 shows the correct placement of checkmarks for group ratings






Figure 5. Illustration of Use of IQ Columns



## Summary of Norm Figure Comparisons

The comparative information contained in these figures can be consolidated into one table, thereby providing a quick and concise overview. lustrate a system's achievement relative to that of other systems of similar background. There are many normative figures in Appendices A and C which, when completed, il-

addition of other subject areas would, of course, provide more information. average, in five of the seven other classifications it scored above the averages. classifications. which the average vocabulary score line passed for each of the categories of the "L.R." have been placed below the community type classification to designate that the headings on the line provided have been entered the catagory ratings. revealed in the preceding portions in this section. in another grade. than another or that students in one grade score relatively higher than students reveal trends in or between grades. Large Rural column. category distributions table may reveal not only comparisons between similar classifications, but may also Table 7 has been filled in for the grade 8 vocabulary quartiles which have been Though the hypothetical school in vocabulary achieved below the State The numbers entered into table 7 represent the quartiles through to which the average scores were compared were found in the It may reveal that one subject area is stronger Under each of the classification The letters A completed The



Table 7.Quartile Consolidation Table

Appendices A & C

	,	
	Total 1.Q.	3
	Verbal I.Q.	3
	N-Verb. I.Q.	·ε
tion	Father's Educ.	e
fica	Mother's Educ.	m
lassi	Father's Educ.	8
C	Commty. Type LR	m
	State	N
	Average Score	8*32
	Achi evement Area	Vocabulary Reading Language L-1 L-2 L-3 L-4 Workskills WS-2 WS-2 WS-2 WS-2 WS-2 WS-2 Composite Vocabulary Reading Language L-1 L-2 L-3 L-4 Workskills WS-1 WS-2 WS-1 L-3 L-1 L-2 L-3 L-3 L-4 Workskills WS-1 WS-2 WS-1
	Grade	ι <b>υ</b>

# Evaluation by Comparison with Community Type and Socioeconomic Factors

homogeneously grouped school systems. The norm tables in this part combine the comby which individual schools may compare their achievement means to those of relatively children within a given community.type. system may compare itself to school systems with an even more similar composition of munity type with the IQ and socioeconomic variables previously used. Thus a school The purpose of the following portion of Section I is to further refine the methods

economic category within each community type. In those cases where there were not values have been omitted from the tables. enough school systems in a certain category to establish reliable data, numerical The tables in Appendices B and D present the normative data for each ij and socio-

ulary table score of 8,32 of the hypothetical school entered at the top of the eighth grade Vocabthe top of the appropriate table. In using the tables, the first step is to enter the school system's mean score on Thus, table 8 shows the eignh grade mean vocabulary

shown in that row and column. Q2 contains all scores from, but not including, the Q1 subcolumn represent the top limit of the range of school system mean scores included into three subcolumns headed successively; Q1, Q2, and Q3. The numerals in a single in that quartile. Thus, Q1 includes all scores which equal, or are below, the numeral It will be noted that each of the community type columns is divided once again



Table 8
Delineation of Community Type Column SYSTEM MEAN SCORE 8.32

Grade 8

VOCABULARY

															•						_			_							_
	හ	11	1 1 1	8.61	8.05	3 4		8.65	8.49	7.97	3 4		1	8.63	8.06	3 4		8.49	7.94	1 1	3 4	1 1	8,25	8.32	† ! !	3 4	9.04	8.37	8.01	1 1	3 4
Small Rurals	70	,		8.23	7.85	2		8.43	8.13	7.78	2			8.34	7.78	7		8.25	7.72	1 1 1	2	1 1	7.98	8.01	1 1	2	8.78	8.09	7.78	1	ć
	ιŏ			7.85	7.65	ı		8.21	7.77	7.59	ı	- 1 - 1	1	8.05	7.50	l		8.01	7.50		ı		7.71	7.70	1 1 1	1	8.52	7.81	7.55	1 1 1 1	_
	<b>Q3</b>		8.57	8.38	8.32	3 4		8.58	8.39		3 4	1 1 1	1	8.52	8.19	3 4	1	8.54			3 4.		8.49	       	1	3 4	1 1	8.36	1 1	1 1 [	3 4
Large Rurals	0,5		8.46	8.14	8.11	2	1 1 1	8.47	8.22	1	2	1 1	1	8.35	7.94	2	1 1 1	8.28	1 1	1 1	2	1 1	8.19		!	2	1 1	8.16	1 1	1	2
	<u>م</u> ا		8.35	7.90	7.90	ı		8.36	8.05		1	-1	1 1 1	8.18	7.69	ı		8.02			-	1 1	7.89	1	1	1		7.96	1 1	[ ] ]	
	Q3	9.26	8.58	8.39	! ! !	3 4	9.30	8.78	8.39	1 1	3 4	9.32	8.94	8,44	1 1	3 4	1 1 1	8.64	1	1 - 1	3 4	!	8.76	1 1	1 1 1	3 4	8.32	8.62	1 1	! ! !	3,4
Villages	Q2	9.08	8.51	8.29	1 1	2	90.6	8.63	8.28		2	90.6	8.77	8.33	1	2		8.44	1   1	1 1 1 1	2	!	8.53	1 1	; ;	2	60.6	8.43	1 1	 	2
>	۵J	8.90	8.44	8.19	! ! !	_	8.82	8,48	8.17		_	8.80	8.60	8.22	! ! !	1		8.24	1 1	1 1 1	-		8,30		1 1	_	8.86	8.24	1	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	_
	<b>Q3</b>	7	8.45	3 1 1	1 1	3 4		8.43		1 1 1	3 4		1 1 1	8.49	1 1	3 4		8.44	!		3 4	1 1	8.44	1 1	1 1	3 4	1 1 1	8.44	!	1 1	3 4
Small Cities	Q2	1	8.35	1 1 1	1 1 1	2		8.40	1 1 1	1	2		1 1	8.38	1 1 1	2	1 1	8.34	1 1 1		2	1 1	8.34	2 1 1	1 1 1	2	1 1 1	8.34	1 1	1 1	2
	ιö		8.25	1	1 1 1 1	-		8,37	1 1 1	1 ! !	-		1 1 2	8.27	1	ļ		8.24	1111		-	1 1	8.24	1 1	t t t	-	1	8.24	!	1 1 1	-
ium	<b>23</b>		8.80	8,17	1 1	3 4		1	8.31	1 1 1	3 4		1 1	8,32	! !	3 4		1 ! !	1 1 1		3 4	1	1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1	3 4	1 1	1		1 1 1	3 4
Large • Medi Cities	<b>Q2</b>	, , , ,	8.58	8.07		2			8.17	!	2		1 2	8.19	1 2	2 .		;	1, 1	1711	2	!	t ; 1		1 7 5	2	1 1	1 ! !	!	1 1	2
Lar	5		8.36	7.97	1 1	-		1	8.03	 	-		1 1 1	90.8	1	1	1 1 1	1 1	,	1	-	! ! !	! ! !	: - -	1 1	-	1 1	[ 1 1	!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	:	
Category		1	2				-	Mother's 2	Educ. 3	4		-	Eather's 2	Occup. 3	4		Non	Verbal 2		4		-	Verbal 2	3	*		1	Total 2		**	



previously been identified as a large rural community. from the others. The column should be outlined in some way in order to make it easily identifiable proper community type, as found previously when following the text relative to figure 2. the Q3 column of the same row. numeral up through the numeral shown in Q2 of the same row. The same procedure applies as applies to Q2. Q4 average scores are all those above the numeral shown in Table 8 shows how this was done for the hypothetical school, which has The next step is to select the column of figures for the

table representing each of the above groups have been circled in the left-hand column of school system has been described as in the second father's education group, and in the the proper grouping beneath its heading on the left hand column. third group of the mother's education and father's occupation categories. the hypothetical school system on preceding pages, circle the numerical code (1-4) for the classifications. as being in the second group of each of the as an illustration of how identification can be made of the groups under each in turn, the educational, vocational, or IQ grouping as established for IQ classifications. The hypothetical The numbers It was also

of the designated row and column. outlined community type column is reached. area would be included, all that is necessary is to follow the row leading from the circled educational, occupational, or In order to determine in what quartile a school system mean score for a test the table is then compared with the quartile limit figures at the junction IQ classification on the left column until the The school system average score as entered



four quartiles of the distribution. The number in this row, that represents the quartile a horizontal row containing the numbers one through four. These numbers represent the comparative ranking of the mean score in relation to those of systems of similar backcategory, is range, which includes the school system mean score, may be circled as a record of the Within each community type column, under each socioeconomic and IQ ground.

Table 9 has been filled in as it would appear for the hypothetical school system second father's educational category which has been previously determined and circled The score of 8.32 is less than 8.35 which represents the upper limit whose mean vocabulary score was 8.32. The hypothetical school system fell into the of the first quartile, and is therefore in the first quartile of the distribution. below the quartile limits for the second father's education group under the large rural row Hence, the number "1" has been circled in the narrow horizontal community heading. on this table.

this score falls in the third quartile of the distribution and the number "3" has been A score of 8.32, in this case is below the upper limit of the  $\mathbb{Q}_3$  column. in the third grouping for this category and the applicable classification has been In the mother's education classification, the hypothetical school system fell circled below.

Similarly one would continue down this table and determine the proper quartile father's categories for each category. The score of 8.32 falls in the second quartile of the î occupation category, and the third quartile of each of the three

 $\frac{3}{3}$ 

VOCABULARY

Table 9

Notation of Socioeconomic and Intelligence Category

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE 8.32

Grade 8

5	Total			Verbal				-	Verbal	Non			Occup.	Father's				1000	Mother's	•		•	Educ.	Father's	-		Category
≥ ω €	<b>3</b> -		ں 4	, ©	<b>)</b> –		4	ω	(3)	-		4	ଭ	<b>)</b> N	_		4	ଭ	) N	_		4	ω	0	_		~
	-	- 1	: :	1	1	-	1	1	! ! !	1 1	-	1	8.06	1 1	1 1	-		8.03	1	1	-	3 1 1	7.97	8.36		2	Lar
2	-	2	} )	1 1	-	2	1	1 1 4	1 1		2		8, 19	1 1	1	2		8.17	1 1	1	2		8.07	8.58	-	ହ	Large - Medium Cities
3 1 1 1		3		1	1 1	3		1 1	1		3 4		8.32	1 4	1	3 4		8.31	1 1	1	3 4		8.17	8.80		සු	dium
8.24				8.24	1	_		1	8.24		1		8.27	1 1	1	-		1	8.37	1	_		!	8.25		Q]	
8.34		3	1 3 1	8.34		2			8.34		2	1 1	8.38	1 1 1	1 1	2	1::	1	3.40	1 1	2		1       	8.35	1	Q2	Small Cities
3 4		2	į	8.44		3 4	1		8.44		3 4	1 1	8.49	1 4 1	1	3		!	8.43	1 1	3 4		1	8.45	-	ස	
8.24	8.86	1		8,30		l	-	1 1 1	8.24	14	-	1	8.22	8.60	8.80	-	:	8.17	8.48	8.82	1	1 1	8.19	8.44	8.90	୍ଦ୍ର	
8.43	9.09	3		8.53		2	1	1 1 1	8.44		2	1	8.33	8.77	9.06	2	- :	8.28	8.63	9.06	2	! ! !	8.29	8.51	9.38	ଥ	Villages
3 4	8.32	1:	:	8.76	1 1 4	3 4	1 1 1 t	! ! !	8.64	1 1	3 4	1 1 1	8.44	8.94	9.32	3	1	8.39	8.78	9.30	3 4	! !	8.39	8.58	9.26	සු	
7.96		1	1 1 1	7.89		-1	-	1 1 1	8.02		~	7.69	8.18	1 1	2 1 1	1		8.05	8.36		Θ	7.90	7.90	8.35		<u>Q</u>	
8.16		i	1	8.19		2	 	:	8, 28		3	7.94	8.35	1	1 1	2		8.22	8.47		2	8.11	8.14	8.46		ଥ	Large Rurals
8.36			; ;	8.49		3	! ! !	t 1 :	S		3	8, 19	8.52	1 1		③ 4		8.39	8.58	-	3 4	8.32	8.38	8.57		සි	
7.81 7.55	8.52		7.70	7.71	1		1 1	7.50	-		-1	7.50	8.05	1		1	7.59	7.77	8.21			7.65	7.85	1 1	1	<u>©</u>	
7.78	8.78	, !	8.01	•	<u>:  </u>	2		7.72	N	-	2	7.78	ů	 		2	7.78	8.13	8,43		2	7.85	8.23	!	-	೪	Small Rurals
8.37	9.04		8.32	8.25		3	1	7.9%	64, 8		ω	8.06	8.63	1 1 1		3 4	7.97	8.49	•	1	3 4	8.05	8.61	1 !	1	ည	



### Summary of Norm Table Comparisons

should be completed. It is difficult, however, to establish the relation of a school Tables for each test area within grade 5 (Appendix B) and grade 8 (Appendix D) draw the data together into a concise form a consolidation sheet for the tables of system's performance to that of other systems by scanning each test area table. Appensices B and D has been included in this workbook.

ratings from the individual subject area sheets are merely transferred to their cor-To utilize the consolidation table (provided in Appendix Q) circled quartile This has been done on table 10 using the data of the hypothetical school system. responding classification column and row of the consolidation table.

the mother's education distribution, and the second quartile of the father's occupation Similarly the hypothetical school scored in the third quartile of each quartile of the applicable father's education distribution, in the third quartile of The hypothetical school's eighth grade vocabulary score fell in the first IQ categories. distribution of the three

Should it be felt desirable, the left hand headings and scores of table 10 may be cut off and the remaining quartile enumeration por-The compilation sheet (cable 10) for this last part of Section I has been contion joined to the last column of table 7. By doing this all the quartile ratings Section I will be readily observable as one extended table. structed in the same manner as table 7.



Table 10. Quartile Consolidation Table by Community Type

Α
<b>ש</b>
שֿ
ō
ä
₫.
Ξ,
`-
6
S
ᄧ
ζ'n
⊌

is, therefore, more equitable than that available through comparison to commercially Several The comparison, thus provided Completion of tables 7 and 10 allows comparison of school system average (mean) achievement scores to those of systems of similar background characteristics. classifications and subclassifications are provided. produced normative data.

provided in this section of the Workbook should only be used as one of many evaluative For example, recent research has disclosed that exclusion of New York City Thus, the comparisons with its large mass of underpriviledged youth may have resulted in an upward scaling through administration of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, Form 4, to a select sample curriculum content covered by the commercial test may not coincide with that offered of New York State school systems. Certainly those systems located outside New York for this Workbook may not be entirely representative of New York State is certainly an important sector of school endeavor, it is not the only focus. Other objectives ratings. The achievement data upon which the tables herein are based were secured the student body of a particular system. Though academic achievement is certainly have been previously possible, care should be used in the interpretation of these Even though Section I of this Workbook provides more precise comparisons that State must be doubly cautious in their interpretations. That the sample employed of some portions of the normative figures and tables included in the Workbook. tools employed in the search for an objective evaluation of school quality. have not been measured nor was an attempt made to measure them. possible.



### SECTION II

# EVALUATION BY COMPARISON OF GROUPINGS OF STUDENT SCORES

### Overview of Section II

child is the ultimate in school quality evaluation, due to staff limitations in terms of achievement of individual children. Though longitudinal analysis of the progress of each based on homogeneously grouped school systems and provides the school system with many useerally considered to be prohibitive. Yet, it is important in the compilation of school sysful insights into school system quality.. However, school system averages tend to hide the fail to inspire the higher IQ students to achieve to their full potential. the level that would be normally expected of them. This same system may at the same time school system may be able to inspire the children in a low IQ is often more effective with one group of children than with another. For example, a their own individual scholastic patterns. Moreover, it has been shown that a school system Quality Measurement Project in the past has shown that groupings of children often have tem comparisons that more attention be given to the school's effect on the child. training, and perspective, evaluation on an individual longitudinal pupil basis is genprevious section makes possible the comparison of school system averages with norms grouping to achieve above

scores exceed or fall below certain defined grade equivalent scores. comparative measures of Section II are the percentage of pupils whose achievement the first section is the quartile into which the school system average falls, the system, this section emphasizes the type of student. While the basis of comparison of Hence, whereas the emphasis in the previous section is upon the type of school The grade



are equivalent to the 75th and 25th percentile points of each distribution of scores. equivalent cutting points which have been established for use in the second section

level appendices are ordered so that the total sample normative tables are first, followed Grade 5 tables are to be found in Appendices E-J followed by grade 8 tables in Appendices K-P. For each grade by each of the community type classifications from largest to smallest type communities. Normative tables for Section II are found in Appendices E-P. Collecting and Processing Data

The tests from which student achievement scores are to be derived are once again the scores should be moved one place to the left. Correction for date of testing should Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, Form IV. Decimal places of the student grade equivalent also be done as directed in Section I.

oĘ secured for Section I. Mother's and father's education for each child should have been coded according to table 2, page 15. Likewise, father's occupation should have been The various socioeconomic and IQ data for each child will have already been Finally, using table 6, page 24, the coded in reference to table 4 on page 20. each child should have been coded.

previously derived for each child can be placed into one of the groups for each of the three meaningful categories for each of the classifications; i.e., each classification has a high, mid, and low category within it. By means of table 11 below, the codes For use in the norm tables in this section, these codes have been grouped into socioeconomic classifications.



Table 11

7

Student Parental Classification

Low	Mid (2)	High (1)	Group
4 50	ω ν	0	Mother's Education Code
4 50 4	ωΝ	0	Father's Education Code
7 6	5 4	2 2 3	Father's Occupation Code

additional service. Additional information may be entered onto the Hollerith cards at the student containing name and achieved scores may be obtained from the scoring service as an all the information pertaining to each individual student entered on computer cards or tapes time of testing or key punched later. so that sorting and other manipulation of the data may be expedited. A punched card for each School systems, having data processing equipment available, will probably wish to have

Ø



Sorting is accomplished by inserting a selector (a long needle) through one of the numbered selector in the proper space of the completed deck allows the notched boy cards to Data are entered onto Two methods are available to those systems without electronic data processing needle. Those not notched remain on the needle. For example, sorting for sex is accomplished by first notching for a boy in a designated space. Insertion of the obtain McBee Key Sort Cards. These cards are approximately the size of Hollerith holes. Those cards which have been notched will drop off the norizontally held equipment as aids in the manipulation of the student data. One such method is the cards by extending the holes to the edge with a special notching punch. cards. Around the periphery of the cards are numbered holes. drop and the girls cards to remain on the needle. Another method for recording pupil data on index cards is available which may be scores. A place is provided for entry of the code number for each of the educational quite satisfactory for smaller school systems. A suggested format(for the individual It provides space for name, birth date, sex, educational level attained by student's The front of the card is used to record student personal and family background data. format)for the individual student index card is illustrated in forms 1 and 2 below. father and mother, occupation of the father or head of the family, and student  ${
m IQ}$ categories. Also provided is a column in which the group, number, as discussed on page 39, may be entered. occupational and IQ

data. the first section. Manual." tile norm tables for conversion of student scores, as found at the rear of the 'Teachers should be taken that correction for date of testing be accomplished by use of the percenin the "Manual for Administrators, Supervisors, and Counselors," and which were used in ment scores attained. to beginning of The back of the card is primarily contrived for the recording of achievement test Thus, the grade and test administration date can be recorded here as can the achieve-These must not be confused with the norms for school averages which are found the year values, if such correction is necessary (see pages 4 & 5). Also provided, is a column for entry of achievement scores as corrected Care

### Sorting of Pupil Data

tables which the system was found to most resemble as directed on pages 10 and 11, school district can use every table included in Appendices E and K unless only one grade through J (Grade 5) and L through P (Grade 8) deal with specific community types. was tested, or unless the complete battery of tests was not administered. Appendices F of Section II. district will refer to only one appendix for each grade; that is, Sorting of student information must be done in order to utilize the normative tables All normative tables of this section are similar in construction. to the community type Thus, Each



							···			
Middle		MF	Group							
ìt		Sex	Code					<b>*</b> 13 <b></b>		
First		`	Day							
st		_	Yr. Mo.							
Last	Name	Birth Date		Father's Ed.	Mother's Ed.	Father's Occp.	Non Verbal IO	Verbal IQ	Total IQ	

Form 1. Front of Student Data Card

Mo. Day	Corr, S.															
8 Yr. M	Score		_	_												
Grade 5 Test Date	Subject	Vocabulary	Reading	Language	L-1	L-2	L-3	L-4	Workskills	WS-1	WS-2	WS-3	Arithmetic	A-1	A-2	Composite

Form 2. Back of Student Data Card

43

may, and one for the IQ will suggest the actual tables to be used in this section. for each of the above classifications, data collected and processed for use in Section I fications of Father's Educational Level, Mother's Educational Level, Father's Occupational Level, Nonverbal IQ, For each grade there are six primary sorts. These are the student background classiif desired, be reduced to two; levels. Verbal IQ and Total IQ. Though normative tables are provided one for the socioeconomic and/or educational levels Thus, the primary sortings

Once again grade eight vocabulary will be the academic area used. illustrations, only one classification of students will be used -- that of father's education. follow are those of hand manipulation of file cards. hand entry methods of recording basic data, the illustrations of data compilation which As sorting procedures are basically the same for each of the electronic, card or To further simplify the procedural

through high school graduation, and 31 fathers attained no more than a ninth grade education. more than high school graduation , 82 of the father's educational levels were from 10th grade education information in grade eight, 28 came from families where the father's education was tical school system, of the 141 pupils having supplied both achievement and father's number of students or cards in each pile is then ascertained. student cards without a notation for father's educational level will be set aside. high, mid, or low, according to the occupational level of his father (see table 11). Those First, each student card must be placed into one of three piles, designated as In the case of the hypothe-The

Table 12. Illustration of Use of Total Number Column

LARGE RURALS

GRADE 8

VOCABULARY

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed.									
High Me A	28	8.35				10.41			
Low	31	6.65				9.52 8.72			•
Mother's Ed. High		χ Σ				6			
Mid		7.32				9.48			
Father's Occo.						 }			
High		8.35				10.19			,
Low		6.89				9.39			
Nonverbal IQ									-
High		8.94				10.63		-	-
Low		00.9				9.48 8.23			****
Verbal IQ								•	
High		9.33				10.82			
DIW		7.63				9.32			
807		2.56				7.92			
Total 10									,
High		9.34				10.01			
Low		5.67				9.36			
						- ₹			



Appendix 0. "Father's Education" classification. As will be noted, the totals have been entered into the "Total Number" column opposite the It illustrates how the hypothetical system would enter the totals of each group after sorting. sample tables for grade eight. large rural community type, the sorting totals will also be entered into the tables of The hypothetical system would enter its totals in all tables of Appendix K, the total Table 12 above is a replica of the grade 8 vocabulary table found in Appendix 0. As the hypothetical system has previously been determined to

groups of the father's education classification has a 25th and 75th percentile score for its distribution shown in the table. the  $P_{75}$  column represents the 75th percentile of the same distribution. father's educational level placed them in the 'High' group. scores of all the pupils in the sample who attended large rural school systems, and whose and categories included on the table. The number 8.35 at the head of the  ${
m P}_{25}$  column of table 12 is the 25th percentile score of a distribution composed of the 8th grade vocabulary centile scores of each distribution of pupil scores for the classifications, subclassifications, Columns to the right, headed " $P_{25}$ " and " $P_{75}$ " contain respectively, the 25th and 75th per-The number 10.41 at the head of Each of the three

of that category, will be cards with scores between the 25th and 75th percentile scores of that distribution score to Sorting is this time accomplished according to the relationship of the students achievement to be placed into one pile, and those above the  $\mathbb{F}_{75}$  number into another. The groups (containing 28, 82, and 31 cards) are once again sorted into three subgroups. the  ${
m P}_{25}$  and  ${
m P}_{75}$  scores. Student cards with achievement scores below the  ${
m P}_{25}$  number Those remaining



In the case of the hypothetical school system, the 28 student cards which were designated as in the high father's education category, when sorted according to the level of the vocabulary achievement, may be found to contain seven students below the 25th percentile ( $P_{25}$ ) score, five students above the 75th percentile ( $P_{75}$ ) score, and 16 students scoring between the  $P_{25}$  and  $P_{75}$  scores.

Further sorting of the remaining two father's education groups (mid and low), according to the  $P_{25}$  and  $P_{75}$  scores for each as found in table 12, could result in breakdowns of the distributions as entered in table 13.

Table 13
Sorting of Student Cards by Achievement Level

Father's Education	T		evement Level-Large Ru	
Group	Total	Below P <sub>25</sub>	Between P <sub>25</sub> and P <sub>75</sub>	About P <sub>75</sub>
High	28	7	14	7
Mid	82	12	53	17
Low	31	7	17	7



Since this Workbook deals only with the upper and lower tails of the distribution, these students whose scores fall between the P<sub>25</sub> and P<sub>75</sub> score will be disregarded from now on. They are included in table 13 only as clarification of the sorting process. However, the number of students that scored below the 25th percentile point should be entered into the "Number Under" column opposite its proper group heading. Similarly, the number of students that scored above the 75th percentile score should be entered into the "Number Over" column. Table 14 illustrates the entry of the 8th grade vocabulary sorting totals for each of the father's education groups into the "Number Under" and "Number Over" columns.

The procedures illustrated above should be carried out for each of the socioeconomic, educational, and IQ categories for which data are available to the school system.



Table 14. Illustration of Use of Number Under and Number Over Columns

### LARGE RURALS

GRADE 8

### VOCABULARY

	Total	1 - 1	Number	Percent	Scale	_ (	Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P <sub>75</sub>		Above	Value
	-	<del> </del>			14111	, , , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Over	Aprive -	value
Father's Ed.	ł			ł		•	(A)	1	}
				1		Į.		Ĭ	} !
High	<b>2</b> 8	8.35	7	ŀ		10.41	7	ì	
Mid	82	7.40	12			9.52	17	1	ŀ
Low	31	6.65	1 7	i i		8.72	7	Į.	i l
	í	ŧ	1			••••	,	1	
Mother's Ed.	1		}			i	l	1	
High	}	8.31	ì			10 00	l	ı	
MLd	i i	7.32		j j		10.23	l	1	
Low				ì		9.48	i	1	
DOW	1	6.24	1	]		8.49			
Father's Occp.			l	!				į	
High	1		i					Į i	
		8.35		i i		10.19	İ		
Mid		7.20	ì	1 1		9.39	1	į i	
Low	i i	6.89		1		9.01	1	i I	
		ļ	<b>:</b>	1		1	Į.		
<b>No</b> nverbal IQ	[ i					•	<b>i</b>	1	i
High	j l	8.94	j l			10.63		1	
Wrq	1 1	7.67			ľ		ŀ		
Low	1		}	l		9.48	ì		1
LOW		6.00				8.23			
Verbal IQ									
High	1				į				İ
Mid		9.33	<u>[</u>	1	·	10.82			į
		7.63				9.32			i
Low		5.56			1	7.92			
Total IQ					Ĭ				!
High		0.24	1						ŀ
		9.34			J	10.91			
Mid		7.78			•	9.36			1
Low		5.67		ĺ		7.90			į
				L . i				1	1



### Determination of Percentages and Scale Values

Conversion of numbers of students in the "Number Under" and "Number Over" columns into percents is accomplished by dividing each by the number in the "Total Number" column of the same row. The percentages thus derived are placed in the proper "Percent Under" and "Percent Over" column.

For example, dividing the number of students scoring below the 25th percentile score for the "High Father's Education" group (7) by the "Total Number" (28) yields a percentage of 25. Following the same process with the "Number Above" number, again 7 is divided by 28 to result in 25 percent. Similarly, the 'Mid Father's Education" group yields 14.63 and 20.73 percent respectively for the "Percent Under" and "Percent Over" entries. Table 15 illustrates the completion of the "Percent" columns of the father's education category. The same procedure should be followed to fill in all the "Percent" columns on rows where the "Number" columns have entries.



**V**OCABULARY

Scale Value

Table 15. Illustration of Use of Percent Under and Percent Above Columns

GRADE 8

### LARGE RURALS

	Total	.0	Number	Percent	Scale	Р	Number	
	Number	₽ <sub>25</sub>	Under (	Under	Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Over \	Above
				7/				7-7/
Father's Ed.	1		i			ì		
High	28	8.35	7	25.00		10.41	7	25.00
Mid	82	7.40	12	14.63		9.52	17	20.73
Log	31	6.65	7	22.58		8.72	?	22.58
Mother's Ed.							]	
High	1	8.31		!		10.23	ļ	
Mid	1	7.32	1	}		9.48	1	ł
Low		6.24	ļ			8.49		
Father's Occp.								
High		8.35	l		i l	10.19		
Mid		7.20	1			9.39		
Low	1	6.89				9.01	1	Į.
Nonverbal IQ		ļ					ļ	
High `		8.94			1	10.63	1	
Mid	1	7.67	l .	1		9.48	ļ	<u> </u>
Low		6.00		Ì		8.23	1	
Verbal IQ	]						ł	
High		9.33	1	1	1	10.82	1	1
МГQ	1	7.63	ı	•	l	9.32	ŀ	]
Low		5.56		İ		7.92		
Total IQ			1	1				
High	[	9.34		1		10.91	I	Į
Mid	1	7.78	-	1	1	9.36		1
1	1 '	5 63	1	I	ì	7 00	1	]



Percentages should then be referred to table 16 in order to convert them into a smaller common scale. Scale values are assigned according to the magnitude of the derived percentages. Assigned scale values allow comparison of the achievement of a particular group of students with the achievement of other groups of students.

A zero scale value represents the State average. Values within the range +2 and -2 may be considered within average limits. Any scale values above +2 or below -2, however, are significantly better or poorer than the average. No scale value should be recognized as being truly descriptive if the figure in the "Total Number" column for the socioeconomic or IQ group under consideration is smaller than 25 students. This will tend to reject many scale values for the smaller school systems.

Using the hypothetical school system (see table 15), the percent of students in the "High Father's Education" group which fall below the 25th percentile point of the statewide distribution of vocabulary scores achieved by 8th grade students is 25.00. Referring to table 16 we find that this percentage falls between 22.83 through 27.17. This space has a scale value of 0. Therefore, a zero has been placed in the appropriate column in table 17. This procedure should be followed for each of the percentages previously derived and the scale values should be entered into the "Scale Value" columns. Table 17 is an example of how this would have been done for our hypothetical school. Tables of Appendices E-P are to be completed in a similar fashion for each of the subject areas tested. No school district will complete more than four appendices, however, as only one community type applies to a system.



Table 16

Percent to Scale Value Conversion

Scale Value	Percent of Students							
	25*	75						
10	0	70.47 and above						
9	_	_ 66.14						
8	0	61.81						
7	o ·	57.48						
6	_	_ 53.15						
5	1.18	48.82						
4	5.51	44.49						
$\frac{3}{2}$	9.84	40.16						
2	14.17	35.83						
1	18.50	31.50						
0	22.83	27.17						
1	27.18	22.82						
<u>-2</u>	31.51	18.49						
-3	35.84	14.16						
-4	40.17	9.83						
-5	44.50	5.50						
-6	48.83	1.17						
<b>-</b> 7	53.16	0						
-8	57.49	0						
<b>-</b> 9	61.82	0						
-10	66.15 and	0						
	above	Ī						

\*Each 25 percent scale value includes all percentages implied, i.e., the range is from and including the percentage figure to but not including the next higher percentage.

Each 75 percent scale value includes all percentages implied, i.e., the range is from and including the percentage figure to but not including the next lower percentage.



Table 17. Illustration of Use of Scale Value Columns

### LARGE RURALS

GRADE 8

VOCABULARY

					20000000000000000000000000000000000000				
	Total		Number	Percent	Scale	<u> </u>	Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under		Value	P 75	Over	Above	(a)
1	Number	23	Office	initide!	value		OVEL	AUC.VE W	ver Auc
_	1		l	1	***************************************		l	<b>.</b>	
Father's Ed.			į	1					
<b>Hig</b> h	28	8.35	7	25.00	0	10.41	7	25.00	Ó
<b>Mí</b> d	82	7.40	12	14.63		9.52	17	20.73	-1
Low	31	6.65	7	22.58	2 1	8.72	7	22.58	-i
	)		1 ′	22.30	1 1		ì '	22.30	
Mother's Ed.			ł	Ì		!	l	! !	! !
High	i	8.31		1		10.23	ì		i 1
Mi d	j	7.32	i	į .		9.48		1	
Low			l	į			1		ļ.
LOW		6.24		1		8.49	1	<u> </u>	i
			İ				ł	1	
Father's Occp.			Ì	!		•	l	i i	ì
<b>Hig</b> h		8.35	ĺ	1		10.19	ŀ	1	l l
Mid		7.20	j	l		9.39	}	1	1
Low	ŀ	6.89	ļ	Į ·		9.01	l	ŀ	Ì
			1	1			1	i !	
Nonverbal IQ			1	i i	l i	İ			i
High		8.94	l	Į į		10.63	Į.	!	1
Mi d		7.67	l	1		9.48	J	i i	1
Low		6.00	l		1		ì	<b>j</b>	
Low		0.00	1		1	8.23	1	1	9
Vambal TO			1	[		]	[	[	
Verbal IQ		۱	ł	1	<b>!</b>		1	1	i 1
<b>Hig</b> h		9.33	l	Į.		10.82	1	1	
<b>Mi</b> d		7.63	Į.	Į.	]	9.32	]		
Low		<b>5.</b> 56	1	i .		7.92	1	l	i i
			į	I	į i		1	I	į l
. Total IQ		ľ	j .	i			1	1	]
High		9.34	1	i	1	10.91	1		
Mi d		7.78	1	}	1	9.36	1	}	1
Low	i	5.67	Į.	1	[	7.90	l	}	l i
20		1	Į.	ļ.		I '.'	L		



Table 18 is an illustration of a fully completed table of 8th grade vocabulary for the hypothetical school system. Because the relationships among the various socioeconomic, education, and IQ categories is not perfect, the scale values of each category and group are not always the same. Similarities among the groups give the hints to the effectiveness of the educational programs. For example, the "High IQ" groups of this illustrative school system are not achieving at the level of other children in the State. This is especially true of the lower end or lower tail of the distribution where minus fours are registered. The "Low IQ" groups, however, register positive scale values when compared with the P<sub>25</sub> or lower tails of the distributions, while falling within the average range of values at the P<sub>75</sub> or top sections of the State distributions.

Scale values for the educational and socioeconomic categories are scattered with slightly higher positive values shown in the lower ( $P_{25}$ ) sections of the distributions. This would indicate that the number of children in this system achieving in the lower areas of the statewide distributions is less in proportion to those of all children in the State.



Table 18. Completed Community Type Distribution Table.

### LARGE RURALS

GRADE 8

VOCABULARY

Į.	Tctal		Number	Percent	Scale		Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	₽ 25	Under	Under	Value	P 75	Over	Above	Value
	Rumber		onder	onder	varue	/3	Over	Above	varne
-	1						1		
Father's Ed.	J								
High	28	8.35	7	25,00	0	10.41	7	25.00	0
Mid	82	7.40	12	14.63	2	9.52	17	20.73	-1
Low	31	6.65	7	22.58	1	8.72	7	22.58	-1
Mother's Ed.		!					[		
High	26	8.31	7	26.92	0	10.23	6	23.08	0
Mid	96	7.32	13	13.54	3	9.48	22	22.92	0
Low	17	6.24	2	11.76	3	8.49	5	29.41	1
Father's Occp.									
High	35	8.35	11	31.43	-1	10.19	5	14.29	-2
Mid	63	7.20	7	11.11	3 '	9.39	14	22.22	-1
Low	45	6.89	12	26.67	Ō	9.01	9	20.00	-1
Nonverbal IQ	ı l						1		
High	27	8.94	11	40.74	-4	10.63	4	14.81	-2
Mid	66	7.67	13	19.70	1	9.48	14	21.21	-1
Low	32	6.00	5	15.63	2	8.23	9	28.13	ī
Verbal IQ				{	ŀ	1			
High	37	9.33	15	40.54	-4	10.82	5	13.51	] <sub>-3</sub>
Mid	63	7.63	13	20.63	1	9.32	10	15.87	-2
Low	29	5.56	3	10.34	3	7.92	5	17.24	-2
DOM	2	0.00	ا ر	10.54	, ,	1.52	1	17.24	
Total IQ				ļ	1	}			
High	2 <del>9</del>	9.34	12	41.38	-4	10.91	5	17.24	-2
Mid	70	7.78	16	22.86	0	9.36	9	12.86	<b>-</b> 3
Low	26	5.67	5	19.23	1	7.90	7	26.92	0
		i	1	ŀ	}	İ	ł	Ì	i l



### Construction of Scale Value Consolidation Charts

Conversion of the numbers of student scores into percentages and then to scale values places all measures on a common scale, thereby allowing comparison between and among any or all scale values. Further analysis of the data compiled in Section II can be enhanced by combining the scale values on consolidation charts. The procedure is the same in every case, being merely a tallying of the number of times a scale value appears on each of the appendices. There are many possible ways in which the scale values can be combined. A few of the more worthwhile are presented here. Blank scale value consolidation charts, upon which tallies may be entered by users of this Workbook, may be found in Appendix Q.

Table 19 is presented as a representation of the scale values for grade 8 of the hypothetical school system should all of the values have been filled in for Appendix O (Large Rural systems). Scale values for the fifth grade of the hypothetical school system have not been devised, and thus are not included in the following consolidation charts.

Comparison of the table 19 vocabulary columns with the scale values of table 18 will illustrate the derivation of the scale values on table 19. It is not necessary to construct a table such as table 19, as values may be secured directly from Appendices E-J for the fifth grade, and K-P for the eighth grade, and entered upon the consolidation charts.



Table 19. Scale Values of Hypothetical Eighth Grade

<del></del>				,			TES	T AREA					
Catego	ry		ulary	Read	ling	Lange			kills	Arith		Compo	
		25	75_	25	75	25	75	25	75	25	75	25	<b>7</b> 5
Father's	High	0	0	1	0	0	-1	1	0	3	2	1	0
Educ.	Mid	2	-1	[ 1	1	0	O	1	0	3	1	1	-1
	Low	1	-1	2	1	0	0	1	0	3	1	0	-1
Mother's	High		0	2	1	0	1	1	0	2	1	0	0
Educ.	Mid	3	0	3	1	1	0	2	2	3	2	2	1
	Low	3	1	2	2	2	1	2	1	3	1	1	1
Father's	High	-1	-2	0	0	0	-1	-1	1	2	0	0	-1
Occu.	Mid	3	-1	3	0	2	0	1	0	3	0	3	0
	Low	0	-1	0	-1	0	0	0	-1	2	0	0	-1
Non-	High	-4	-2	-2	0	-3	-2	1	0	2	1	-2	_1
verbal	Mi.d	1	-1	1	1	1	0	1	-1	1	0	1	0
IQ	Low	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	0	4	2	2	1
Verbal	High	-4	-3	-3	-1	-4	-3	-1	-1	2	2	-3	-1
IQ	Mid	1	-2	1	0	0	-1	0	-1	0	0	0	-1
	Low	3	-2	2	0	2	0	2	<b>-</b> J.	2	1	2	0
Total	High	-4	-2	-2	-1	-3	-2	0	-1	3	2	-3	-1
ΙQ	Mid	0	-3	1	1	0	-1	0	-1	1	ō	o	-1
	Low	1	0	2	1	1	0	2	0	3	2	.2	1



Table 20 illustrates the consolidation of scale values for grade 8. A search through table 19 will reveal only one positive four, 15 plus threes, 34 positive twos, 40 positive ones and so on. Tallies have been entered on table 20 for each of the scale values.

A total column has been provided on the various consolidation charts for entry of the total count of tallies for each row. Totaling downward yields a grand total. The grand cotal can be used as a check, as can the side totals, on the accuracy of accumulated tallies. The totals and grand totals should be the same on all charts unless only a portion of the tallies are used, as for example, tables 22 and 23. It will be notices that there are 216 scale values if only eighth grade main test areas for the "Large Rural" category are used. Inclusion of the main test areas for the "Total State" category (Appendix K) would add another 252 scale values. Inclusion of subtest areas in both categories could add 702 more scale values. The total possible number of scale values for a single grade could, therefore, be 1,170. Addition of fifth grade scale values would double that number. Obviously, inclusion of all possible categories, classifications, and test areas would be laborious. As the three socioeconomic and occupational classifications yield similar results, only one need be used. The same applies to the three IQ classifications. Using all of the test areas with one representative socioeconomic/educational classification and one IQ



216

Table 20. Entry of Tallies for Scale Values by Grade

### Scale Value Consolidation Chart By Grade

	Grade							
Scale Value	5	8	Total					
10								
8								
7 .		1						
6								
5		<b> </b>	ı					
4		1	15_					
9 8 7 6 5 4 3	<u> </u>	HI WI WI WI WI HI WI	34					
2		THE TIPE TIPE TIPE TIPE	J-4					
,		IM WI WI WI WI WI WI WI						
1		ואו	50					
0		तम तम तम तम तम तम तम तम						
Ĭ		וו ואו ואו ואו ווו	62_					
-1		ा भा भा भा भा भा भा	32					
		<b>.</b>	10					
- <u>2</u>		<u></u>	8					
-3		THL 111	4					
-4		1111	-					
-5								
-6								
-7								
-8 -9								
-9								
. 20								

classification reduces the number of scale values to 450 per grade. This is an easily handled number of scale values, which, at the same time, yields an adequate analysis of pupil and school system standing. Exclusion of subtest areas would further drastically reduce the number of scale values. It is left to the discretion of the user of the Workbook to decide the minimum number of categories, classifications, and test areas necessary to reach a full understanding of the effectiveness of his school system.

Consolidation of scale values by grade (table 20) allows a quick overview of the school system. In the illustration the distribution of scale values is nearly symmetrical. Sixteen scale values exceed the upper limits of significance while 12 are significantly low. The greater bulk of the tallies are within the plus 2 through minus 2 significant limits.

Fifth-grade scale values may be entered on this and the other consolidation charts in a distinctive color so that each grade may be viewed separately.

Another possible way of consolidating scale values is according to the subject test area. Table 21 is arranged to record the main test areas, and tallies have been entered as found on table 19. Counting the number of zeroes in the two vocabulary columns of table 18 (also table 19) yields a total of eight. Similarly, four positive threes may be found, two positive twos, and so on. Tallies would be similarly recorded for each subject area. Comparison of the various test areas reveals "Arithmetic" to be superior over other areas having nine scale values above significant limits and none below



Table 21. Entry of Tallies for Scale Values by Subject Test Area

Scale Value Consolidation Chart

By Main Test Area

		•						-	<del></del>									Ţ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
							1	la i n	Test	Δτο	9								
Scale Value	Voc	abula	ry	Rea	ding	Lar	nguage			k Sk		Ari	thmet	ic	Co	ompos	ite		Total
10		_																	10041
9																			
8												ļ							
7						1													
6						1					ĺ								
5 4																			
4												1		1	]_			_	1
3 2	1111		4	11	2							1411		8	1 1111		_		15
2	11		2	THI	11 7	111		3	HH	1	6	11	17:11	12	1111			4	34
1	пн	1	6	111	THU 13	7-1-1	11	7	LHI	111	8	1711	111	8	1711	111		8	50
1 - 1		-	Ĭ	111	TIME			•					***		1				
0	1111	111	8	1111	111 8					IHI	12	1HH	11	7	LHH	THI	. 1	11	62
		_		_		1711	1		11		_			_					
-1					_	l		,		*** 1 1					<b>.</b> .			_	
-1	1111	1	6	111	3	1111		4	1441	ITH	10				Lt =7	1111		9	32
-2	тн		5	11	2	11		2							1			1	10
- <u>2</u> -3	11		2	1		111		3							11			2	8
-4	111		3			1		1							ľ				4
-5									l										
-6																			
-7 8						ŀ													
-8 -9			ŀ			ł													
-10																			
1 1			1																1
			ļ			1													
]			ĺ																
			ł																
0																			014
(IC						1													216

zero, which is the State average. "Work Skills" has a very narrow distribution, spanning only four scale values. The language arts areas of "Language," "Reading," and "Vocabulary" are quite similar with the broadest ranges. The "Composite" area is seldom an average of scale values of the other test areas, as its scale values are derived separately from the other distributions of pupil scores, and the illustrative system is no exception.

Scale values may also be consolidated by socioeconomic and education levels. The low socioeconomic/education level group on the left of table 22 received tallies for scale values drawn only from those subcategories labeled as "Low" on each of the tables in Appendices. For means of illustration here, however, reference should be made to each row which is designated as belonging to the "Low" group. In a like manner the "Mid" and "High" columns should receive tallies drawn from those rows headed by their respective titles. It will be noted that IQ scale values are excluded from table 22. Table 22 has been completed to illustrate how the eighth grade scale values for socioeconomic/education classifications would be tallied for the hypothetical school system. There are more significantly positive tallies for the "Mid" group than for the other two, while the "High" group contains the fewest significantly positive values. None of the groups contain negatively significant values. The slight differences among the groups could indicate a tendency to place more effort with the "Low" and "Middle" family background children, with proportionately less effort on the "High" socioeconomic and education background students. The differences shown by the eighth grade scale values would, however, need further support from a showing of a similar patterning of fifth grade scale values.

Table 22. Entry of Tallies for Scale Values by Socioeconomic and Occupational Level

Scale Value Consolidation Chart
by Socioeconomic and Occupational Category

						Level		_		
Scale Value	_	_	Low			Mid		High		Total
10			<u>.</u>							
					1					}
8										ļ
9 8 7 6 5										Į
6										ĺ
5 .										1
4				_					0	
	111			3_	1411	111	8	1111	1	
2	1111	T		P	un	ı	6	1111	4	16
1	LHT	m		10	инт	1111	9	LHT 11	7	26
0	LH1	1HT	1	11	m	uni	10	11H 11H 11	17	38
-1	177	1		6	111		3	1 <del>111</del> 1	6	15
•					}				•	,
-2								1	1	11_
- <u>2</u> -3 -4 -5 -6 -7									į	
-5										
-6										
-7								'		
-8										
<b>-</b> 9					)					
10	 				ļ					
				į						
j	'									
~										
_									·	108

Parallel to combining scale values by educational level is consolidation by IQ Level. All scale values of the IQ groups may be entered as on table 23 in the same manner as table 22 with the exception that IQ scale values would be used rather than socioeconomic/education values. Low IQ scale values would be located in the Appendices and tallied under the "Low IQ " column. "Mid" and "High" group scale values would also be tallied in their respective columns. Study of the hypothetical system tallies on table 23 reveal once again an emphasis or success with the "Low" group, with less success with the "High IQ" group. Such a finding is similar to that of table 22, but more sharply defined here. It could be hypothesized from ables 22 and 23 that a school system with a comparable patterning would have been emphasizing raising the achievement of the less able student at the expense of the more able children.

Blank consolidation tables, such as those used in the previous illustrative material, may be found in Appendix Q. Also included in Appendix Q are other consolidation tables that may be employed to yield further knowledge about school system effectiveness. They are consolidation by:

Sex--boys and girls

Achievement level--25% group and 75% group



Table 23. Entry of Tallies for Scale Values by Intelligence Level

Scale Value Consolidation Chart
by Intelligence Quotient

Scale			Level				
Value	Low		Mid		High		Total
10							
10 9							
8							
7							
8 7 6							
5 4							
4	1	1					1
3 2	11	2			1111	1	3
2	TH TH 1111	14			1111	4	18
1	411 HH	10	וו ווו ווו	12	11	2	24
0	1441 11	7	ин ин IIII	14	111	3	24
-1	1	1	1111	8	THL 111	8	17
-2	1	1	1	1	ML 11	7	9
<del>-</del> 3			1	1	T111 11	7	8
-4					1111	4	4
<b>-</b> 5							
-6 -7							ı
-8							
<b>-</b> 9							
-10							
0				İ			
IC.							
ded by ERIC							
							108


Completion of as many tables as possible of Appendix Q by concerned administrators or researchers will promote the evaluation of their own school system effectiveness. Repeated testings and subsequent utilization of the Workbook normative figures and tables make possible a progressive study of performance. The illumination of strengths and weaknesses, through use of the Workbook, can lead to the discovery of causes, effects, and the development of new foci and programs. Care should be taken that the Workbook be used as a tool to guide future actions, not to judge teachers or administrators.


Normative data contained herein are only generally applicable, and there will be situations where extenuating circumstances render the use of the normative data inappropriate. Thus, this Workbook is offered as an auxiliary tool to be used in concert with other information in devising a more effective educational operation and climate.





Appendix A
Section I, Grade 5
Total Sample Tables






























GRADE 5	Type of	Fathe Educat		Mother's Education	Father's Occupation		oal Verbal I. Q.	Total I. Q.
Reading Graphs & Tables	2 / Single Plus							W-2
Grade Equivalents		/°/ \\^	/^/ <sub>~</sub> /	<u> </u>	/ <u>~/</u> ~/	777/	v/ <sub>3</sub> /~/~/	Grade Equivalents
6.4 —								6.4
6.2 —								6.2
6.0								6.0
5.8 —								 5.8
5.6								- 5.6
5.4					_		1	5.4
5.2			-					5.2
5.0			-	_	Щ		-	5.0
4.8 —								4.8
4.6—								4.6
4.4								4.4
4.2 —								- 4.2
4.0 —								4.0
3.8								3.8







		Type of	Father	· · · ·	other's /	Father's	Non-Verbal	Verbal /	Total /
GRADE 5	/ <sub>2</sub> /_	Community	Educat	ion Ed	ucation /	Occupation	I. Q.	I. Q.	1. Q.
Arithmetic Problem Solving									A-2
Grade Equivalents			~/~/~	/ <sub>\^</sub> / <sub>\^</sub> \	/~/~/		v/~/~/ <sub>~</sub>	/~/~/	Grade Equivalents
6.4 —									<u> </u>
6.2 —									6.2 _
6.0 —									— 6.0 —
5.8 —									5.8 
5.6—									5.6 
5.4 —			-						5.4 
5.2									5.2 
5.0				8888					5.0
. 4.8 —									— 4.8 —
4.6 —									— 4.6 —
4.4							<u> </u>		4.4 
4.2									— 4.2 —
4.0 —									<b>4.0</b>
ERIC <sup>3,3</sup>									3.8



Appendix B

Section I, Grade 5

Community Type Tables



Grade 5

## SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_

VOCABULARY

		Lar	ge - Med Cities	di um		Small Cities		,	/illages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals	
Category	!	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Ql	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3
	ī							5.78	5.92	6.06						
	- :	5.12	5.29	5.46	5.24	5.32	5.40	5.44	5.54	5.64	5.47	5.63	5.79			
Father's Educ		4.98	5.04	5.10			\ ·	5.23	5.30	5.37	5.18	5.36	5.54	5.02	5.23	5.44
22001	4										5.05	5.16	5.27	4.57	4.90	5.23
	•	<del></del>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1							5.72	5.91	6.10						
Mother's	2			}	5.28	5.35	5.42	5.51	5.61	5.71	5.46	5.61	5.76	5.13	5.35	5.57
Educ.	3	5.02	5.09	5.16				5.22	5.29	5.36	5.18	5.35	5.52	5.04	5.27	5.50
	4													4.51	4.80	5.09
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1						<sup>-</sup>	5.68	5.88	6.08					]	
Fother's	2							5.57	5.71	5.85						
Occup.	3	5.00	5.07	5.14	5.26	5.35	5.44	5.26	5.37	5.48	5.30	5.50	5.70	5.18	5.36	5.54
- •	4	[			<u>-</u>						5.03	5.12	5.21	4.64	4.90	5.16
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	<u> </u>	2	3 4
Non =	1															
Verbal	2				5.27	5.36	5.45	5.30	5.48	5.66	5.28	5.47	5.66	5.06	5.26	5.46
1. Q.	3													4.58	4.84	5.10
	4	<u></u>			<u></u>		1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>					
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	<u> </u>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1			[						5.75	5.21	5.37	5.53	5.03	5.25	5.47
Verbal 1. Q.	2				5.27	5.36	5.45	5.33	5.54	3.73	3.21	3.37	3.33	4.49	4.80	5.11
10 040	3						]	]	]	]				4.45	4.60	J.11
	4					1	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
		1	2	3 4	<del>                                     </del>	2	3 4	5.80	5.96	6.12			3 4	5.27	5.62	5.97
Total	1 2				5.27	5.36	5.45	5.28	5.46	5.64	5.20	5.34	5.48	5.07	5.28	5.49
1. Q.	3				3.27				7.40	3.04	3.20	. 5.54	J.=15	4.49	4.74	4.99
	3						1									
<b>3</b>	4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4

Grade 5

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_

READING

Categor	.,	Lar	ge - Med Cities	dium		Small Cities		\	/illages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals	
Curegor	,	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3
	1							5.75	5.89	6.03						
Father*s	2	5.01	5.20	5.39	5.25	5.32	5.39	5.35	5.47	5.59	5.57	5.73	5.89			
Educ.	3	4.97	5.08	5.19				5.26	5.35	5.44	5.22	5.45	5.68	5.11	5.33	5.5
	4										5.04	5.20	5.36	4.62	4.93	5.2
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3
	1							5.66	5.87	6.08						
Mother's Educ•	2				5.32	5.38	5.44	5.48	5.59	5.70	5.59	5.74	5.89	5.16	5.38	5.6
Eauc.	3	4.99	5.09	5.19				5.25	5.33	5.41	5.33	5.45	5.57	5.17	5.40	5.6
	4		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u></u> .	<u> </u>							4.54	4.79	5.0
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1 1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1							5.63	5.85	6.07						
Father's	2		5 06	5 16	5 06			5.48	5.63 5.41	5.78 5.53	5.37	5.58	5.79	5.22	5.42	5.6
Occup.	3	4.96	5.06	5.16	5.26	5.35	5.44	5.29	3.41	3.33	4.98	5.16	5.34	4.67	4.96	5.2
	4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	,			3 4			3 4	<del>                                     </del>								
Non-	2				5.30	5.38	5.46	5.28	5.44	5.60	5.20	5.45	5.70	5.19	5.43	5.6
Verbal	3				3.30		3.40							4.68	4.87	5.0
11 41	4															<b></b> -
	•	<del></del>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1			1												
Verbal	2				5.30	5.38	5.46	5.33	5.51	5.69	5.15	5.37	5.59	5.06	5.31	5.5
1. Q.	3													4.67	4.87	5.1
	4	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>										
		1	2	3 4	11	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1							5.82	5.97	6.12				5.29	5.60	5.9
Total I• Q•	2				5.30	5.38	5.46	5.28	5.44	5.60	5.14	5.35	5.56	5.18	5.41	5.0
10 040	3							{						4.60	4.79	4.9
	4								<u> </u>							
~"		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	] ]	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_

LANGUAGE

orage 3																
Categor		Lar	ge - Med Cities	lium		Small Cities		V	'illages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals	
Caregory	у	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Ql	Q2	Q3	ହା	Q2	Q3	Ql	Q2	Q3
								5.90	6.06	6.22						
Fother's	2	5.12	5.40	5.68	5.25	5.37	5.49	5.38	5.58	5.78	5.69	5.93	6.17			
Educ.	3	5.15	5.22	5.29				5.44	5.56	5.68	5.43	5.69	5.95	4.99	5.32	
	4			}							5.27	5.40	5.53	4.62	5.01	5.
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3
	1							5.70	5.98	6.26						·
Mother's	2				5.31	5.43	5.55	5.63	5.78	5.93	5.69	5.93	6.17	5.08	5.46	5.
Educ.	3	5.16	5.22	5.28				5.39	5.50	5.61	5.46	5.66	5.86	5.06	5.35	5.
	4													4.54	4.90	
		1	2	3 4	1_	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1		3
	1							5.67	5.97	6.27						
Father's	2							5.63	5.81	5.99			6.04	5.26	5.56	5.
Occup.	3	5.10	5.18	5.26	5.28	5.42	5.56	5.46	5.60	5.74	5.58 5.23	5.81 5.39		4.63	4.91	5.
	4						3 4	1	2	3 4	3.23	2	3 4	1	2	3
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	<del></del>		3 4	<u> </u>		3 -			-
Non 🕳	1				5.37	5.49	5.61	5.39	5.59	5.79	5.42	5.66	5.90	4.93	5.27	5.
Verbal	2				3.37	3.49	3.01	3.39	3.39	3.79	3.42	3.00		4.69	4.99	5.
1. Q.	3 4		1					1								
	4	<del></del>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1	<del>  </del>	<del> </del> -	1												
Verbal	2				5.37	5.49	5.61	5.45	5.68	5.91	5.35	5.55	5.75	5.07	5.37	5.
I. Q.	3				~									4.51	4.78	5.
	4		{										<u>-</u>	<u> </u>		
		1	2_	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1_	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1			]				5.96	6.14	6.32				5.40	5.75	6.
Total	2				5.37	5.49	5.61	5.40	5.60	5.80	5.28	5.55	5.82	5.12	5.39	5.
1. Q.	3			]				]				}	]	4.54	4.80	5.
	4															
IC		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	13

Grade 5

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_

LANGUAGE SUB-1
Spelling

Category		Lar	ge - Med Cities	i um		Small Cities		\	/illages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals	
Curegory	у	Q1	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	QI	Q2	Q3
	1							5.73	5.89	6.05						
Father's	2	5.03	5.28	5.53	5.13	5.22	5.31	5.30	5.49	5.68	5.51	5.73	5.95			
Educ.	3	5.02	5.13	5.24				5.24	5.34	5.44	5.17	5.45	5.73	4.88	5.21	5.5
	4									<u> </u>	5.08	5.22	5.36	4.62	4.98	5.3
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	_ 1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1							5.55	5.82	6.09						
Mather's Educ•	2				5.19	5.25	5.31	5.52	5.65	5.78	5.47	5.70	5.93	5.01	5.31	5.6
Eque.	3	5.06	5.16	5.26				5.20	5.28	5.36	5.30	5.50	5.70	4.93	5.27	5.6
	4			<u> </u>										4.57	4.90	5.2
		<u> </u>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
•	1							5.51	5.80	6.09						
Father's	2			5 00			5.40	5.52 5.26	5.68 5.40	5.84 5.54	5.36	5.60	5.84	5.06	5.42	5.7
Occup•	3	4.98	5.10	5.22	5.18	5.29	1		1 -	3.34	4.99	5.15	5.31	4.60	4.84	5.0
	4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
			2	3 4			3 4	<del>- '</del>								
Nan -	1 2				5.16	5.28	5.40	5.21	5.43	5.65	5.30	5.55	5.80	4.85	5.24	5.6
Verbal I• Q•	3													4.75	4.98	5.2
1. 0.	4															
	•	$\vdash$	2	3 4	1 1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1															T
Verbal	2				5.16	5.28	5.40	5.27	5.52	5.77	5.20	5.40	5.60	4.96	5.29	5.6
l. Q.	3													4.66	4.82	4.9
	4		}	<u> </u>			<u> </u>									3
		1	2	3 4	1_	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1							5.75	5.94	6.13				4.99	5.47	5.9
Tatal I. Q.	2				5.16	5.28	5.40	5.22	5.43	5.64	5.18	5.43	5.68	5.00	5.33	5.6
ie We	3													4.70	4.85	5.0
	4					<u> </u>										ļ <u></u> -
~			2	3 4	Т	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	] 1	2	3

Grade 5

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_

LANGUAGE SUB-2 Capitalization

Categor	v	La	rge - Me Cities	dium 		Small Cities		,	/illages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals	_
Curagar	,	Qī	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	Qĩ	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3
	1							5.90	6.12	6.34						
Father*s	2	5.12	5.43	5.74	5.25	5.39	5.53	5.34	5.59	5.84	5.72	6.04	6.36			
Educ.	3	5.15	5.28	5.41				5.51	5.69	5.87	5.56	5.87	6.18	4.92	5.32	5.72
	4										5.19	5.40	5.61	4.57	5.00_	5.43
		1	2	3 4	1_	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1							5.69	6.03	6.37						
Mother's	2				5.27	5.42	5.57	5.63	5.82	6.01	5.73	6.06	6.39	4.99	5.44	5.89
Educ.	3	5.16	5.27	5.38				5.41	5.58	5.75	5.56	5.80	6.04	4.99	5.35	5.71
	4		<u> </u>											4.52	4.92	5.32
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1						I .	5.63	5.99	6.35						
Father*s	2						1	5.61	5.85	6.09						
Occup.	-	5.09	5.21	5.33	5.27	5.43	1	5.53	5.72	5.91	5.70	5.97	6.24	5.20	5.57	5.94
	4										5.14	5.38	5.62	4.59	4.92	5.25
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4
Non-	1									1			6 01			5 60
Verbal	2				5.47	5.54	5.61	5.40	5.66	5.92	5.43	5.72	6.01	4.88	5.28	5.68
1. Q.	3							l						4.58	4.96	5.34
	4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1		2	13 4	<del>                                     </del>	1	1	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>	۲ -						<u> </u>
Verbal	2				5.47	5.54	5.61	5.47	5.75	6.03	5.35	5.61	5.87	5.05	5.39	5.73
1. Q.	3				[:]				2.73	0.03	3.33	3.01	3.67	4.36	4.68	5.00
	4	<u> </u>				[										3.00
	•	<del>                                     </del>	2	3 4	1 -	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1							5.95	6.20	6.45				5.49	5.83	6.17
Total	2				5.47	5.54	5.61	5.43	5.68	5.93	5.30	5.65	6.00	5.03	5.38	5.73
i. Q.	3													4.42	4.73	5.04
	4															
		1	2	3 4	t 1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4



Grade 5

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_

LANGUAGE SUB-3
Punctuation

Category	,	Lar	ge - Med Cities	lium		Small Cities		\	'illages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals	
		Q1	Q2	Q3	QI	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Qĩ	Q2	Q3
Father's Educ•	1 2 3 4	5.12 5.26	5.44 5.30	5.76 5.34 	5.30	5.44  2	5.58  3 4	5.90 5.36 5.54 	6.08 5.60 5.70	6.26 5.84 5.86	5.77 5.57 5.46	6.04 5.81 5.63	6.31 6.05 5.80	5.11 4.64	5.48 5.12	5.85 5.60
Mother's Educ•	1 2 3 4	5.20	5.26	5.32	5.37	5.51	5.65	5.64 5.66 5.47	5.95 5.84 5.64	6.26 6.02 5.81	5.79 5.65	6.06 5.81	6.33 5.97	5.11 5.17 4.55	5.57 5.47 5.01	6.03 5.77 5.47
Father's Occup.	1 2 3 4	5.15	5.22	5.29	5.33	5.52	5.71	5.62 5.66 5.55	5.95 5.86 5.71	6.28 6.06 5.87	5.70 5.47	5.93 5.65	6.16 5.83	5.41 4.68	5.73 5.03	6.05 5.38
Non - Verbal I. Q.	1 2 3 4			3 4	5.48	5.63	5.78  3 4	5.43	5.65	5.87	5.48	5.74	6.00	5.03 4.71	5.37 5.12 	5.71 5.53 
Verbal 1. Q.	1 2 3 4			3 4	5.48	5.63	5.78  3 4	5.51  1	5.74	5.97	5.45	5.65	5.85	5.15 4.51 	5.49 4.90	5.83 5.29
Total 1. Q.	1 2 3 4				5.48	5.63	5.78  3 4	5.97 5.46 	6.17 5.67 	6.37 5.88  3 4	5.37	5.65	5.93	5.54 5.19 4.54	5.88 5.49 4.90	6.22 5.79 5.26



## SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_

LANGUAGE SUB-4 Usage

Category		L.er	ge - Med Cities	lium		Small Cities		,	/illages	;		Large Rurals			Small Rurals	
Caregory		Q1	Q2	Q3	Qì	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3
Father's Educ		5.23 5.13	5.45 5.17	5.67 5.21 	5.27 	5.41	5.55  3 4	6.03 5.52 5.36	6.16 5.65 5.49 	6.29 5.78 5.62 	5.72 5.35 5.25	5.91 5.62 5.35	6.10 5.89 5.45	4.92 4.59	5.27 4.95	5.62 5.31
Mother's Educ.	1 2 3 4	5.14	5.21	5.28 	5.38	5.51	5.64	5.91 5.70 5.41	6.13 5.82 5.49	6.35 5.94 5.57	5.74 5.29	5.93 5.52	6.12 5.75	5.11 5.08 4.45	5.51 5.31 4.79	5.91 5.54 5.13
Father's Occup	1 2 3 4	5.13	5.19		5.29	5.46	5.63	5.89 5.70 5.41	6.13 5.85 5.56	6.37 6.00 5.71 	5.51 5.26	5.75 5.36	5.99 5.46	5.27 4.59	5.52 4.85	5.77 5.11 3 4
Non - Verbal I. Q.	1 2 3 4		2	3 4	5.36	5.52  2	5.68  3 4	5.40  1	5.61	5.82  3 4	5.41	5.64	5.87  3 4	4.91 4.63	5.19 4.92	5.47 5.21 
Verbal 1. Q.	2 3 4		  2	  3 _ 4	5.36  1	5.52  2	5.68  3 4	5.48  1	5.70	5.92  3 4	5.31	5.54	5.77  3 4	5.02 4.41	5.32 4.70	5.62 4.99
Total t. Q.	1 2 3 4		  2	  3 4	5.35	5.52  2	5.68  3 4	6.09 5.41 	6.23 5.61  2	6.37 5.81  3 4	5.26	5.49 	5.72	5.50 5.15 4.45	5.83 5.38 4.72	6.16 5.61 4.99

Grade 5

## SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_

WORKSKILLS

Category		Lar	ge - Med Cities	ium	Small Cities			Villages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals		
Caregory	,	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	QI	Q2	Q3	QI	Q2	Q3
	1	5.08	5.27	5.46	5.35	5.42	5.49	5.83 5.42	5.96 5.57	6.09 5.72	5.64	5.83	6.02			
Father's Educ.	2 3	5.07	5.16	5.25				5.37	5.48	5.59	5.36	5.59	5.82	5.15 4.74	5.36 5.06	5.57 5.38
	4										5.21	5.37 2	5.53 3 4	4.74	2	3 4
		1_	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4			3 4			3 4
	1	]						5.69	5.91.	6.13		5.81	5.99	5.25	5.44	5.63
Mother's Educ	2				5.35	5.42	5.49	5.56 5.37	5.68 5.49	5.80 5.61	5.63 5.48	5.65	5.82	5.14	5.39	5.64
	3	5.07	5.14	5.21		<del>-</del>		3.37	J. 45	5.01	3.40	3.03		4.68	5.00	5.32
	4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
		<del> '</del>						5.64	5.88	6.12						
	2	]						5.60	5.75	5.90						
Father's Occup.	3	5.06	5.13	5.20	5.38	5.47	5.56	5.42	5.55	5.68	5.52	5.73	5.94	5.36	5.53	5.70
Оссор.	4										5.14	5.32	5.50	4.72	5.01	5.30
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1_1_	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
Non-	1															
Verbal	2				5.42	5.49	5.56	5.39	5.57	5.75	5.34	5.60	5.86	5.25	5.45	5.65
I. Q.	3													4.85	5.04	5.23
	4		<u> </u>					<u></u>			<del></del>	2	3 4		2	3 4
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1		3 4			3 4
	1				5.42	5.49	5.56	5.46	5.65	5.84	5.29	5,52	5.75	5.18	5.39	5.60
Verbai I. Q.	2			]	15.42	3.49	3.30	3.40	2.03	3.64	3.29	3,32		4.83	5.00	5.17
1. 4.	3															
•	4	<del></del>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
		1	1			1		5.91	6.04	6.17				5.45	5.68	.5.91
Total	2				5.42	5.49	5.56	5.40	5.57	5.74	5.26	5.50	5.74	5.26	5.47	5.68
I- Q-	3													4.78	4.91	5.04
	4													<u> </u>		
		1	2	3 4	$\vdash \lnot$	2	3 4	1.	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE

WORKSKILLS SUB-1
Map Reading

Grade 5							0.01 <u>L</u>	MEAN	JCOKE					rap r	reauin	5 .	
Catego	Category		rge - Me Cities			Small Cities			Villages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals		
	,	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	
	1							5.85	5.97	6.09							
Father's	2	5.15	5.38	5.61	5.43	5.51	5.59	5.49	5.65	5.81	5.75	5.93	6.11				
Educ.	3	5.15	5.22	5.29				5.49	5.61	5.73	5.47	5.69	5.91	5.28	5.49	5.70	
	4		<u>-</u> -								5.31	. 5.48	5.65	4.79	5.11	5.43	
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	
	1							5.72	5.93	6.14							
Mother's	2				5.43	5.50	5.57	5.65	5.76	5.89	5.74	5.91	6.08	5.31	5.54	5.77	
Educ.	3	5.16	5.21	5.26				5.45	5.58	5.71	5.57	5.74	5.91	5.20	5.46	5.72	
	4	[ <u></u>						]						4.76	5.07	5.38	
			2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	
	1							5.68	5.89	6.10							
Father's	2							5.69	5.82	5.95							
Occup.	3	5.13	5.19	5.25	5.50	5.56	5.62	5.50	5.63	5.76	5.63	5.83	6.03	5.49	5.65	5.81	
	4										5.27	5.43		4.83	5.09	5.35	
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	
Non_	1																
Verbal	2				5.49	5.56	5.63	5.47	5.64	5.81	5.46	5.72	5.98	5.36	5.53	5.70	
1. Q.	3		ļ							[ ]				4.82	5.03	5.24	
	4								<u> </u>								
	1	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	
Verbal	2				5.49	5.56	5.63	5.54	5.73	5.92	5.42	5.66	5.90		5.43		
i. Q.	3				3.49	3.30	3.03	5.34	1	3.92	3.42	3.00		5.21 4.81	5.03	5.65	
	4													4.01	3.03	5.25	
	•	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1		3 4		2	3 4	
	$\overline{}$			34			3 4	5.89	6.02	6.15	<del></del> '		3 4	5.61	5.78	3 4 5.95	
Total	2				5.49	5.56	5.63	5.48	5.65	5.82	5.35	5.60	5.85	5.26	5.49	5.72	
1. Q.	3				J. 79		7.03	3.40	3.03	3.02	ا دد.د	5.00	2.02	4.78	4.96	5.14	
	4													7.70	7.70	3,14	
3	·		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	<del></del>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	
CDIC-					<u> </u>	L -	1			<u> </u>			<u> </u>			<del></del>	

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_\_

WORKSKILLS SUB-2 Reading Graphs & Tables

_																
Categor	Category		rge - Me Cities			Small Cities			Villages			Large Rurals		Small Rurals		
Curegoi	,	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3
	1							5.85	5.99	6.13						
Father's	2	5.05	5.22	5.39	5.27	5.38	5.49	5.37	5.52	5.67	5.54	5.75	5.96			
Educ.	3	5.01	5.08	5.15				5.30	5.44	5.58	5.26	5.54	5.82	4.99	5.28	5.57
	4	<b> </b>									5.08	5.25	5.42	4.69	5.01	5.33
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1					Ī		5.69	5.94	6.19					[ <b></b> -	
Mother's	2				5.31	5.39	5.47	5.54	5.66	5.78	5.53	5.73	5.93	5.12	5.38	5.64
Educ• 3	3	5.01	5.07	5.13				5.30	5.46	5.62	5.44	5.61	5.78	5.03	5.34	5.65
	4								<u> </u>					4.63	4.95	5.27
		1	2	3 4	_1	_ 2	3 4		2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 (
•	1				~			5.66	5.92	6.18						
	2							5.57	5.72	5.87						
Occup.	3	5.00	5.06	5.12	5.31	5.42	5.53	5.36	5.51	5.66	5.46	5.68	5.90	5.25	5.49	5.73
	4		1			1	[				4.94	5.17	5.40	4.62	4.92	5.2
		1	2	3 . 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
Non-	1	J								];						
Verbal	2				5.32	5.42	5.52	5.36	5.55	5.74	5.22	5.52	5.82	5.14	5.41	5.68
l. Q.	3							ļ		ļ				4.80	5.00	5.20
	4															
<del></del>		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 '
Verbal	2				5.32	5.42	5.52	5.42	5.63	5.84	5.14	5.43	5.72	5.07	5.34	5.6
i. Q.	3	[				3.42	3.32	3.42	3.63	3.64	3.14	3.43	3.72	4.82	4.98	5.1
	4							l						4.62	4.90	]:
	4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1 1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	_					<del> </del>	1	5.91	6.08	6.25			34	5.36	5.67	5.98
Total	2				5.32	5.42	5.52	5.36	5.54	5.72	5.12	5.40	5.68	5.19	5.47	5.75
1. Q.	3	}				3.72					7.12	J. 43	3.00	4.72	4.84	4.9
	4						İ		<b> </b>					7.72		7.0
•	-	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
C		<u> </u>		<u>,                                    </u>	<u> </u>		,	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Grade 5

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_\_

WORK SKILLS SUB-3 Use of Reference Material

Category		Lar	ge - Med Cities	li um		Small Cities			Villages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals		
	7	Q1	Q2	Q3	Qĩ	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	
Fother's 2 Educ. 3	2	5.06 5.08	5.23 5.20	5.40 5.32	5.32	5.38	5.44	5.76 5.34 5.28	5.92 5.52 5.39	6.08 5.70 5.50	5.60 5.32	5.82 5.52	6.04 5.72	5.14	5.32	5.50	
	4	1		3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	5.22	5.38 2	5.54 3 4	4.71	5.07	5.4 3	
Mother's Educ.	1 2 3 4	5.05	5.16	5.27	5.29	5.36	5.43	5.64 5.47 5.29	5.87 5.64 5.42	6.10 5.81 5.55	5.59 5.43	5.79 5.61	5.99 5.79 	5.23 5.18 4.64	5.40 5.37 5.00	5.5 5.5 5.3	
Father's Occupa	1 2 3 4	5.03	5.14	5.25	5.33	5.43	5.53	5.60 5.51 5.34	5.84 5.70 5.49	6.08 5.89 5.64 	5.45 5.18	5.68 5.35	5.91 5.52	5.29 4.71	5.45 5.03	5.6 5.3	
Non_ Verbal I. Q.	1 2 3 4		2	3 4	5.40	5.48	5.56  3 4	5.34	5.52	5.70	5.32	5.56	5.80	5.22 4.90	5.40 5.10	5.5 5.3 	
Verbal I. Q.	1 2 3 4			3 4	5.40	5.48	5.56	5.39  1	5.58  2	5.77	5.29  1	5.48	5.67	5.21 4.85	5.40 5.02	5.5 5.1	
Total I. Q.	1 2 3 4				5.40	5.48	5.56	5.88 5.33 	6.02 5.51	6.16 5.69	5.29	5.50	5.71	5.35 5.29 4.84	5.60 5.46 4.96	5.8 5.6 5.0	
	•	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4		2	3 4	-	2	3	



SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_\_

ARITHMETIC

Category		Lar	ge - Med Cities	lium	Smoll Cities			Villages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals		
		Qĭ	ଦ2	Q3	Ql	Q2	Q3	Qì	Q2	Q3	QI	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3
	1							5.44	5.57	5.70			[			
Father's	2	4.93	5.10	5.27	5.09	5.16	5.23	5.15	5.26	5.37	5.37	5.60	5.83			
Educ. 3	3	4.90	5.05	5.20				5.10	5.24	5.38	5.11	5.34	5.57	4.92	5.16	5.4
	4										5.05	5.18	5.31	4.57	4.90	5.
		1	2	3 4	1_	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4	_1	2	3
	1							5.37	5.55	5.73						
Mother's	2				5.23	5.23	5.23	5.24	5.34	5.44	5.38	5.60	5.82	4.87	5.09	5.
Educ• 3	3	4.88	5.02	5.16				5.11	5.26	5.41	5.16	5.34	5.52	4.99	5.25	5.
	4													4.51	4.82	5.
		<u> </u>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	m
Father's 3	1							5.37	5.56	5.75						
	2							5.26	5.37	5.48						
	3	4.88	5.00	5.12	5.10	5.19	5.28	5.15	5.28	5.41	5.27	5.50	5.73	5.13	5.34	5.
	4										5.01	5.16	5.31	4.54	4.83	5.
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3_
Non.	1					<b>]</b> -	]									
Verbal	2				5.10	5.20	5.30	5.13	5.27	5.41	5.10	5.34	5.58	4.97	5.23	5.
I. Q.	3			]		<b> </b>	]							4.70	4.95	5.
	4	<u></u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>						2	3 4	1	2	3
		1	2	3 4	<u> </u>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	<del>-</del>		<del></del>		۳-
	1	] <b>-</b>	]		l										5.22	5.
Verbal I. Q.	2				5.10	5.20	5.30	5.20	5.38	5.56	5.07	5.28	5.49	5.02 4.54	4.80	5.
1. 4.	3	]											]	4.54	4.00	]
4	4							1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1		3
		1	2	3 4	<del>]                                     </del>	2	3 4	<del></del>						5.14	5.40	5.
<b>-</b>	1		]					5.47	5.64	5.81 5.44	5.02	5.22	5.42	5.06	5.40	5.
Total I. Q.	2				5.10	5.20	5.30	5.14	5.29				3.42	4.49	4.71	4.
	3													1	4.71	١ 4٠
	4	1		3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3

Grade 5

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_

MATH SUB-1 Arithmetic Concepts

												Arit	hmetic	Conce	pts ———	
Catugor	v	Lar	ge - Med Cities	dium		Small Cities		,	Villages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals	
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3
Father's Educ•	1 2 3 4	 5.11 4.99 	5.30 5.17	5.49 5.35 	5.32	5.41	5.50  	5.68 5.36 5.30	5.82 5.47 5.46 	5.96 5.58 5.62 	5.56 5.28 5.22	5.81 5.53 5.37	6.06 5.78 5.52	5.14 4.71	5.34 5.03	5.54 5.35
	1						-	5.65	5.82	5.99					<del> </del>	
Mother*s Educ•	2	5.00	5.15	5.30	5.48 	5.48 	5.48 	5.41 5.33	5.53 5.49	5.65 5.65	5.55 5.37	5.79 5.57	6.03 5.77	5.14 5.18 4.63	5.35 5.40 4.95	5.56 5.62 5.27
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
Father's Occup.	1 2 3 4	5.00	5.14	5.28	5.33	5.44	5.55 	5.65 5.46 5.34 	5.83 5.59 5.47	6.01 5.72 5.60 	5.46 5.18	5.71 5.36	5.96 5.54	5.32 4.71	5.51 4.98	5.70 5.25 3 4
Non = Verbai I. Q.	1 2 3 4	  1		3 4		5.44	5.56  3 4	5.35	5.50	5.65	5.28	5.55	5.82	5.18 4.83	5.37 5.06	5.56 5.29
Verbal I. Q.	1 2 3 4	1	2	3 4	5.32	5.44	5.56  3 4	5.43	5.61	5.79  3 4	5.23  1	5.48	5.73	5.18 4.71 	5.34 4.94 	5.50 5.17
Total I. Q.	1 2 3 4					5.44	5.56 	5.66 5.37 	5.85 5.53 	6.04 5.69	5.17	5.39	5.61	5.44 5.23 4.69	5.69 5.42 4.88	5.94 5.61 5.07
ERÍC—		1.	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4

Grade 5

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_\_

MATH SUB-2 Arithmetic Problem Solving

Categor		Lar	ge - Med Cities	di um		Small Cities		\	/illages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals	
Curegor	у	Qī	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	QI	Q2	Q3	Ql	Q2	Q3
	1							5.19	5.32	5.45	<u> </u>					
Father's	2	4.79	4.91		4.86	4.92	4.98	4.93	5.06	5.19	5.17	5.40	5.63			
Educ.	3	4.80	4.93	5.06	]	<b> </b>		4.88	5.01	5.14	4.92	5.14	5.36	4.69	5.00	5.31
	4							<u> </u>			4.84	4.97	5.10	4.42	4.77	5.12
		1	2	3 4	11	2	3 4		2	3 4	<u> </u>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1		[					5.10	5.28	5.46			5.63	4.60	4.84	5.08
Mother's Educ	2				4.94	4.97	5.00	5.04	5.15	5.26	5.19	5.41		4.81	5.12	5.43
Educ.		4.76	4.89	5.02				4.88	5.03	5.18	4.93	5.11	5.29	4.81	4.70	5.04
	4								2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	5.09	5.29	5.49				<del></del> -		
	1							5.09	5.14	5.25						
Father"s	2	4.76	4.87	4.98	, 05	4.93	5.01	4.95	5.08	5.21	5.05	5.28	5.51	4.92	5.18	5.44
Occup•	4	ł	4.07	4.96	4.85	4.93	3.01	4.93	3.00		4.85	4.97	5.09	4.36	4.69	5.02
	4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
		<del></del>	<del> </del> -	1	<del></del>	<del> </del>			T							
Non <b>⊸</b> Verbal	2				4.87	4.36	5.05	4.90	5.05	5.20	4.91	5.13	5.35	4.74	5.09	5.44
I. Q.	3		l											4.54	4.83	5.12
4.	4		]	1							]				<u> </u>	<u> </u>
		1	2	3 4	<u>j i </u>	2	3 4	Ī	2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1			]												
Verbal	2		[		4.87	4.96	5.05	4.97	5.15	5.33	4.88	5.06	5.24	4.85	5.11	5.37
1. Q.	3													4.34	4.65	4.96
ţ	4	<u> </u>			<u> </u> -	<del> </del>			ļ <u></u>					1	2	3 4
		1_1_	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	_	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	<del></del>		
	1	<b> </b>		·				5.26	5.42	5.58		5.07		4.86	5.13	5.40
Total	2				4.87	4.96	5.05	4.91	5.06	5.21	4.85	5.04	5.23	4.88	5.15	5.42
	3													4.26	4.53	4.80
	4		<del> </del>			ļ <u>-</u>	1		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
)		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	Į _ ' _	1 4	3 4		1	13 4	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

Grade 5

## SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_\_


COMPOSITE


Categor	v	La	rge - Me Cities	dium		Small Cities		\	/illages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals	
	,	Q1	Q2	QC	Q1	Q2	Q3	QI	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3
	1							5.75	5.88	6.01						
Father's	2	5.06	5.25	5.44	5.25	5.32	5.39	5.35	5.48	5.61	5.55	5.74	5.93			
Educ.	3	5.02	5.11	5.20				5.29	5.38	5.47	5.27	5.48	5.69	5.05	5.28	5.5
	4			<u>i</u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		5.14	5.26	5.38	4.64	4.96	5.2
		1	2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1			}	]			5.64	5.85	6.06						
Mother's Educ.	2				5.30	5.36	5.42	5.49	5.60	5.71	5.56	5.74	5.92	5.10	5.34	5.5
	3	5.03	5.11	5.19		] <b>-</b>		5.28	5.37	5.46	5.34	5.49	5.64	5.10	5.34	5.5
	4	<u></u> -			<del></del>			1		3 4		2	3 4	4.58	4.87	5.1 3
	1	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	5.61	5.83	6.05			3 4			1
	2				}			5.51	5.65	5.79						٠
Father's	3	5.01	5.09	5.17	5.26	5.36	5.46	5.33	5.44	5.55	5.41	5.62	5.83	5.25	5.44	5.6
Occup.	4	3.01	15.09	3.17	3.20		3.40				5.09	5.23	5.37	4.66	4.93	5.2
	•	<del></del>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	ī						Ĭ									
Non _ Verbol	2		Ì		5.29	5.38	5.47	5.31	5.47	5.63	5.28	5.50	5.72	5.09	5.33	5.5
1. Q.	3													4.71	4.94	5.1
	4	<b> -</b>		<b> </b>	!			<u> </u>	<u></u> -							
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	i	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1						}									
Verbal	2				5.29	5.38	5.47	5.37	5.55	5.73	5.23	5.42	5.61	5.09	5.31	5.5
1. Q.	3							\ <b></b> -						4.62	4.85	5.0
	4	<u> </u>	<u> </u>											<u></u>		3
		1	2_	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2 5.61	
Total	1		[		5.29	E 20	5.47	5.80 5.31	5.95 5.47	6.10 5.63	5.19	5.39	5.59	5.33 5.16	5.37	5.8
1 0101 1. Q.	2				3.29	5.38	3.4/	12.21	3.4/	2.03	3.19	3.39	3.39	4.60	4.79	4.9
	3													4.00	4.79	]
	4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	<del></del> -	2	3


Appendix C
Section I, Grade 8
Total Sample Tables





RADE 8			\[ \sigma_{\text{s}}	T Co	ype	of sity			Fat Edu	her' catio	s on	/		ther'				ther' upati			Non-'	Verb Q.	al/	Ver			Total I. Q.
Vocabulary		\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	C. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	/ §/ !	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	Jon Periol	7	7/	//	//	//	7	/	7	$\sqrt{}$	$\overline{/}$	7	//	/	7	$\int_{I}$	7	7	/	$\int_{I}$	7	// v
Grade Equivalents	Ĥ				/ _	<u>/</u> -/	/ <sub>\}</sub>	/ <sub>\22</sub>	/ ħ	<u>/`</u> [_	$/^{\sim}$	/ <sub>3</sub>	<b>/</b> №		$\frac{/^{\sim}}{ }$	/%	<u>/</u>	<u>/`</u>		/^ <u>;</u>	<u>/·</u> .	$\frac{1}{2}$	/\ <sub>\</sub>		<u>/^</u>	·/ ^	Grade Equivalent
9.6																											<u> </u>
9.4 —																											<u> </u>
9.2 —																											9.2
9.0 —										$\vdash$				L				-									9.0
8.8 —																											8.8 
8.6 —			_												-						******						— 8.6 —
8.4 —		_		 _												_							*****				— 8.4 —
8.2 —	]			-				_												<u></u>							— 8.2 —
8.0 —																		******		_							— 8.0 —
7.8 <b>—</b>													<del>                                     </del>														7.8 
7.6 —																											7.6
7.4 —																											7.4
7.2 —																											— 7.2 —
7.0																							<b>!</b>				7.0






































Appendix D
Section,I, Grade 8
Community Type Tables



Grade 8

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_\_

VOCABULARY

Categor	v	Lar	ge - Med Cities	dium		Small Cities		\	/illages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals	
	,	Qī	Q2	Q3	QI	Q2	Q3	Q۱	Q2	Q3	Ql	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3
	1							8.90	9.08	9.26						
rather*s	2	8.36	8.58	8.80	8.25	8.35	8.45	8.44	8.51	8.58	8.35	8.46	8.57			
Educ.	3	7.97	8.07	8.17				8.19	8.29	8.39	7.90	8.14	8.38	7.85	8.23	8.61
	4										7.90		8.32	7.65	7.85	8.0
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1							8.82	9.06	9.30						
Mother's Educ.	2				8.37	8.40	8.43	8.48	8.63	8.78	8.36		8.58	8.21	8.43	8.65
Educ.	3	8.03	8.17	8.31				8.17	8.28	8.39	8.05	8.22	8.39	7.77	8.13	8.49
	4	<u> </u>	L											7.59	7.78	7.97
		<u> </u>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1 _	2	3
	1							8.80	9.06	9.32						
Fother's	2							8.60	8.77	8.94						
Occup.	3	8.06	8.19	8.32	8.27	8.38	8.49	8.22	8.33	8.44	8.18			8.05	8.34	8.63
	4										7.69		3.19	7.50	7.78	8.06
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
Non-	1															
Verbal	2				8.24	8.34	8.44	8.24	8.44	8.64	8.02	8.28	ŀ	8.01 7.50	8.25	8.49
I. Q.	4														7.72	7.94
	4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	7	2	3
	7									3			3 4			
Verbal	2				8.24	8.34	8.44	8.30	8.53	8.76	7.89	8 10	8.49	7.71	7.98	8.25
I. Q.	3	l			0.24	0.54	0.77	0.30	0.55	3.70	7.09	0.15	0.42	7.70	8.01	8.3
	4	l														0.52
		7	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	ž	3
	1							8.86	9.09	8.32				8.52	8.78	9.04
Total	2				8.24	8.34	8.44	8.24	8.43	8.62	7.96	8.16	8.36	7.81	8.09	8.3
I. Q.	3	í												7.55	7.78	8.0
	4											<u></u>				
3		1	2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	-,	2	3 4	1	2	3

Grade 8

## SYSTEM MEAN SCORE

READING

Categary		Lar	ge - Med Cities	lium		Small Cities		٧	'illages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals	
Caregory	,	Q1	Q2	Q3	QI	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Qì	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3
	1							8.81	8.94	9.07						
Father's	2	8.11	8.33	8.55	8.12	8.25	8.38	8.23	8.35	8.42	8.16	8.32	8.48			
Educ.	3	7.90	7.94	7.98				8.10	8.23	8.36	7.88	8.21	8.54	7.97	8.30	8.63
	4		]								7.87	8.05	8.23	7.78	7.94	8.10
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	ו	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1							8.75	8.92	9.09						
Mother's	2				8.17	8.25	8.33	8.35	8.52	8.69	8.24	8.42	8.60	8.09	8.39	8.69
Educ.	3	7.92	8.00	8.08				8.06	8.17	8.28	7.95	8.13	8.31	7.90	8.19	8.48
	4													7.75	7.88	8.01
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4			3 4
	1							8.77	8.95	9.13						
Father*s	2							8.43	8.61	8.79		0.21	8.53	8.10	8.36	8.62
Occup.	3	7.94	8.01	8.08	8.16	8.31	8.46	8.09	8.22	8.35	8.09	8.31 7.87	8.09	7.67	7.90	8.13
	4						3 4		2	3 4	7.65	7.87	3 4	1	2	3 4
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	<u>'</u> –		3 4	<u>'</u>			<del></del>		
Non -	1				8.14	8.28	8.42	8.10	8.28	8.46	8,00	8.27	8.54	7.94	8.20	8.46
Verbal	2				0.14	0.20	0.42	0.10	0.20	0.40	0.00	0.27		7.71	7.84	7.97
i. Q.	3															
	4	<del></del>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1		1													
Verbal	2			1	8.14	8.28	8.42	8.17	8.38	8.59	7.86	8.17	8.48	7.92	8.14	8.36
1. Q.	3		<b> </b>											7.66	7.88	8.10
	4								<u>-</u>							
		1	2_	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1					]		8.72	8.93	9.14	]			8.53	8.72	8.91
Total	2				8.14	8.28	8.42	8.13	8.30	8.47	7.92	8.13	8.34	7.95	8.15	8.35
I. Q.	3				l									7.63	7.69	7.75
	4		[		<u> </u>											\ <u></u> -
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1 1	2	3 1	1	2	3 4



Grade 8

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE

LANGUAGE

Category		Lar	ge - Med Cities	ium	l 	Small Cities		٧	'illages			Large Runals	`.		Small Rurals	
Curcyony		Qĭ	Q2	Q3	Qì	Q2	<b>Q</b> 3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Qï	Q2	Q3
Father's Educ.	1 2 3 4	8.14 7.81	8.47 7.91	8.80 8.01 	8.17	8.32	8.47  3 4	8.66 8.07 8.03	8.81 8.21 8.15	8.96 8.35 8.27	8.25 8.06 7.91	8.48 8.22 8.06	8.60	7.65 7.68	7.94	
Mother's Educ.	1 2 3 4	7.86	7.98	8.10	8.10	8.22	8.34  3 4	8.56 8.20 8.00	8.73 8.44 8.08	8.90 8.68 8.16	8.28 8.09	8.49 8.31	8.70	7.88 7.65 7.67	8.24 8.05 7.93	8.6
Father's Occup.	1 2 3 4	7.89	7.99	8.09 	8.22	8.39	8.56 	8.54 8.36 7.99	8.73 8.58 8.11 	8.92 8.80 8.23	8.23 7.75	8.45 7.92	1	8.10 7.37	7.70	
Non- Verbal I. Q.	1 2 3 4		2	3 4	8.13	8.29	8.45  3 4	8.01	8.19  2	8.37	8.06 	8.32	~	7.79 7.43	8.09 7.75	
Verbai I. Q.	1 2 3 4	1		  3 4	8.13	8.29	8.45  3 4	8.11	8.31	8.51  3 4	7.95	8.22	8.49	7.87 7.31	8.09 7.70	:
Total I. Q.	1 2 3				8.13	8.29	8.45	8.46 8.02	8.68 8.19	8.90 8.36	7.98	8.17	8.36	8.45 7.97 7.20	8.74 8.19 7.44	8.

Grade 8

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_

LANGUAGE SUB-1 Spelling

Categor		Lar	ge - Med Cities	lium		Small Cities	į	٧	'illages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals	
Curegor	y	QI	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Qi	Q2	Q3	Qī	<b>Q</b> 2	Q3
	1							8.62	8.79	8.96						
Father's	2	8.26	8.57	8.88	8.23	8.33	8.43	8.27	8.37	8.47	8.19	8.37				
Educ.	3	7.95	8.02	8.09				7.95	8.09	8.23	7.93	8.18		7.70	8.07	8.44
	4										7.77	7.94	8.11	7.54	7.83	8.12
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1							8.63	8.77	8.91						
Mother's	2				8.20	8.29	8.38	8.28	8.48	8.68	8.22	8.40		7.80	8.14	
Educ.	3	7.99	8.11	8.23				<b>7.</b> 87	7.97	8.07	7.96	8.13	8,30	7.74	8.08	
	4												~-	7.52	7.81	8.10
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
-	1						[	8.63	8.78	8.93						
Father's	2							8.36	8.57	8.78				0.10	0 21	8.52
Occup.	3	8 <b>.0</b> 6	8.11	8.21	8.24	8.34	8.44	7.96	8.10	8.24	8.11	8.30	i 1	8.10	1	
	4										7.63	7.81 2	7.99	7.31	7.64	7.97 3 4
		1	2	3 4	1		3 4	3	2	3 4	'		3 4	- ' -		-
Non-	1							0.04	0.04	8.44	7.95	8.18	8.41	7.75	8.08	8.41
Verbal	2				8.22	8.33	8.44	8.04	8.24	0.44		0.10	0.41	7.33	7.64	
1. Q.	3	~	[											7.33	7.04	7.93
	4	<del></del>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1		3 4	1	2	3 4
	<u> </u>	<del></del>	<del></del>													
Verbal	2				8.22	8.33	8.44	8.10	8.31	8.52	7.84	8.10	8.36	7.81	8.05	8.29
l. Q.	3													7.18	7.58	7.98
	4			i '												
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4
	1							8.46	8.68	8.90				8.34		1
Total	2				8.22	8.33	8.44	8.00	8.21	8.42	7.90	8 <b>.0</b> 8	8.26	7.92	8.15	
1. Q.	3													7.16	7.37	7.58
	4	L														
		1	2	3 4	1_	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	_ 1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4



Grade 8

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_

LANGUAGE SUB-2 Capitalization

Categor	v	Lar	ge - Med Cities	i um		Small Cities	:	٧	/illages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals	
Caregor	,	QI	Q2	Q3	Qì	Q2	Q3	Qĩ	Q2	Q3	Ql	Q2	<b>Q</b> 3	QI	Q2	Q3
Father's Educ.	1 2 3	8.41 7.82	8.72 8.08	9.03 8.34	8.31	8.57	8.83	8.79 8.23 8.28	9.01 8.43 8.41	9.23 8.63 8.54	8.57 8.35 8.22	8.86 8.64 8.36	8.93	7.82 7.93	8.34 8.28	
	4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1		3
	1							8.60	8.86	9.12						
Mother's Educ•	2 3	7.91	8.16	8.41	8.17	8.38	8.59	8.44 8.17	8.72 8.30	9.00 8.43	8.56 8.44	8.80 8.72	9.00	8.01 7.89	8.43 8.34	8.
	4											2	3 4	7.85	8.25 2	8.
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1 8.53	8.79	9.05	1	2	3 4			3
	2							8.63	8.91	9.19						
Father's Occup.	3	7.98	8.21	8.44	8.36	8.63	8.90	8.20	8.35	8.50	8.59 7.99	8.83 8.19		8.34 7.54	8.67 7.98	
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
Non - Verbal I. Q.	1 2 3				8.25	8.50	8.75	8.15	8.39	8.63	8.32	8. <b>5</b> 9	8.86	7.95 7.71	8.35 8. <b>0</b> 9	8.
	4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
Verbal I. Q.	1 2 3				8.25	8.50	8.75	8.24	8.52	8.80	8.20			8.13 7.47	8.41 7.98	8.
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	7	2	3 4	1	2	3
Total	1 2				8.25	8.50	8.75	8.68 8.17	8.83 8.41	8.98 8.65	8.25	8.48	8.71	8.63 8.22	9.00 8.49 7.66	
10 40	3 4													7.34	7.00	/.
3	-	1 2 3 4			1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1.	2	3 4	1	2	3

Grade 8

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE .

LANGUAGE SUB-3
Punctuation

Categor	v	Lar	ge - Med Cities	Jium		Smali Cities		\	/illages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals	
<b>G</b>	,	Qī	Q2	Q3	QI	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3
	1							8.39	8.57	8.75						[
Father's	2	7.86	8.29	8.72	7.95	8.15	8.35	7.67	7.92	8.17	8.01	8.29	8,57			
Educ.	3	7.63	7.76	7.89				7.78	7.96	8.14	7.91	8.25	8.59	7.42	7.97	8.52
	4										7.82	7.98	8.14	7.55	7.88	8.21
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	34
	1							8.22	8.43	8.64						
Mother's	2				7.82	7.97	8.12	7.88	8.21	8.54	8.04	8.31	8.58	7.63	8.04	8.45
Educ.	3	7.68	7.80	7.92				7.76	7.94	8.12	7.95	8.23	8.51	7.45	7.97	8.49
	4					_ <del></del>								7.53	7.84	8.15
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1			]			]	8.23	8.46	8.69	-~					
Father's	2							8.14	8.40	8.66						
Occup.	3	7.69	7.80	7.91	8.07	8.27	8.47	7.70	7.89	8.08	8.03	8.31	8.59	7.98	8.31	8.64
-	4										7.61	7.83	8.05	7.13	7.57	8.01
		1_	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
Non 🕳	1															
Verbal	2				7.88	8.09	8.30	7.75	7.96	8.17	7.87	8.19	8.51	7.71	8.08	8.45
1. Q.	3						[							7.15	7.57	7.99
	4		2		1	2	3 4	. 1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1	<del>- '-</del>		3 4			3 4						3 4			3 4
Verbal	2				7.88	8.09	8.30	7.86	8.10	8.34	7.80	8.10	8.40	7.69	7.96	8.23
l. Q.	3				7.00		0.50	7.80	3.10	0.34	7.80	0.10	0.40	7.04	7.60	8.16
	4												<b>-</b>	7.04	7.00	0.10
	7	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	7	2	3 4
			<del></del>					8.14	8.40	8.66				8.13	8.50	8.87
Total	2		!		7.88	8.09	8.30	7.77	7.98	8.19	7.82	8.05	8.28	7.84	8.11	8.38
1. Q.	3						1							6.89	7.27	7.65
	4						1									
	-,	<u> </u>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4



Grade 8

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_

LANGUAGE SUB-4 Usage

Category	u.	Lar	rge - Med Cities	dium		Small Cities		١	/illages			Large Rurals			Smalí Rurals	_
G-reger,	,	Ql	Q2	Q3	Qì	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3
	1							8.66	8.84	9.02						
Father's	2	7.99	8.30	8.61	8.15	8.25	8.35	7.96	8.11	8.26	8.16	8.41	8.66			
Educ.	3	7.71	7.78	7.85				7.94	8.15	8.36	7.99	8.25	8.51	7.59	8.00	8.4
	4										7.82	7.98	8.14	7.56	7.78	8.0
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1							8.62	8.84	9.06						
Mother's	2				8.15	8.23	8.31	8.09	8.33	8.57	8.19	8.44		8.06	8.36	8.
Educ.	3	7.75	7.86	7.97				7.95	8.10	8.25	7.98	8.17	8.36	7.48	7.83	8.
	4													7.59	7.81	8.0
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1							8.69	8.90	9.11						
Father's	2							8.23	8.43	8.63						
Occup.	3	7.76	7.86	7.96	8.21	8.34	8.47	7.89	8.08	8.27	8.12	8.36	8.60	7.87	8.17	8.
	4										7.72	7.86	8.00	7.35	7.62	7.
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	l l	2	3 4	_1	2	3
Non-	1												8.60	7.64	7.88	8.
Verbal	2				8.10	8.24	8.38	7.96	8.17	8.38	8.04	8.32	8.00	7.43	7.71	7.
1. Q.	3						[								/./1	<u> </u>
	4							~			~					
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	34	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1									4.00	7.00		0.50	7.64	7.92	8.
Verbal I• Q•	2				8.10	8.24	8.38	8.08	8.30	8.52	7,90	8.20	8.50	7.45	7.64	1
10 00	3															7.
	4		ļ										2	1	2	3
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	8.51	8.81	3 <u>4</u> 9.11	1	2	3 4	8.61	8.86	9.
Total	1					0.07			8.16	8.36	7.92	8.08	8.24	7.75	8.00	8.
1. Q.	2				8.10	8.24	8.38	7.96	0.10	0.30	1.92	0.08	0.24	7.73	7.46	7.
	3									]				/.23	7.40	<u>'</u>
	4		2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	<u> </u>	2	3 4	1	2	3

Grade 8

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_\_

WORK SKILLS SUB-1 Map Reading

: Categor	v	Lar	rge - Med Cities	dium ————		Small Cities		,	/illages			Large Rurals			Small Rurals	
	•	QI	Q2	Q3	Ql	Q2	Q3	QI	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	QI	Q2	Q3
	1							8.75	8.94	9.13						
Father's	2	8.17	8.42	8.67	8.24	8.43	8.62	8.37	8.53	8.69	8.29	8.46	8.63			
Educ.	3	7.68	7.91	8.14				8.34	8.51	8.68	8.26	8.62	8.98	8.16	8.53	8.90
	4		<u> </u>								8.07	8.24	8.41	7.95	8.14	8.33
	_	1	2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1							8.57	8.81	9.05						
Mother's Educ	2	i	{		8.23	8.31	8.39	8.55	8.75	8.95	8.33	8.53	8.73	8.34	8.69	9.04
Lebe.	3	7.78	8.00	8.22				8.23	8.42	8.61	8.39	8.59	8.79	8.03	8.33	8.63
	4			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u></u>	-~			7.92	8.12	8.32
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	ĭ		3 4
	1							8.56	8.81	9.06						
Father's	2	7.81	8.01	8.21	8.27	8.45	8.63	8.65 8.29	8.84 8.46	9.03 8.63	8.44	8.62	8.80	8.18	8.53	8.88
Occup.	•	1	0.01	0.21	0.2/	0.45	0.03	0.29		0.03	7.76	7.98	8.20	7.91	8.15	8.39
	4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	7.76	7.98	3 4	1	2	3 4
		'		3 4			3 4		<del> </del>	3 4			3 4			3 4
Non₌ Verbal	2		]		8.31	8.36	8.41	8.27	8.48	8.69	8.25	8.55	8.85	7.93	8.18	8.43
Verbai 1. Q.	3				0.31	0.30	0.41	0.27	0.40	0.09	0.25	0.55	0.00	7.92	8.16	8.40
ia Gra	4													7.52	0.10	0.40
	-	<del>                                     </del>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1															
Verbal	2				8.31	8.36	8.41	8.32	8.55	8.78	8.07	8.42	8.77	7.96	8.24	8.52
1. Q.	3				<b> </b> -									8.08	8.21	8.34
	4		<u> </u>	<u> </u>												
		1_	2	3 4	_ 1	2	3 4	1_	3	3 4	1	2	3 4	1		3 4
<b></b> .	1			[			~	8.57	8.77	8.97				8.57	8.84	9.11
Total I. Q.	2				8.31	8.36	8.41	8.29	8.49	8.69	8.06	8.39	8.72	8.01	8.25	8.49
	3													7.79	8.02	8.25
3	4															
<u> </u>		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4

Grade 8

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_\_

WORK SKILLS

Grade 8						•		WORK SKILLS								
Categor	<u> </u>	Lar	ge - Med Cities	lium		Small Cities			'illages			Large Rurals		Smalt Rurals		
Curegor	y	Q1	Q2	Q3	Ql	Q2	Q3	Qì	Q2	Q3	Qĩ	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3
	1							8.89	9.06	9.23						
Father's	2	8.31	8.53	8.75	8.42	8.49	8.56	8.40	8.51	8.62	8.42	8.56				
Educ.	3	7.96	8.08	8.20			'	8.32	8.49	8.66	8.27	8.59		8.23	8.58	
	4										8.09	8.26		8.00	8.19	8.3
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1							8.70	8.94	9.18						
Mother's Educ	2				8.48	8.48	8.48	8.56	8.76	8.96	8.45	8.62		8.45	8.78	9.
Luuc.	3	8.01	8.14	8.27				8.21	8.40	8.59	8.38	8.56	8.74	8.13 7.96	8.40 8.16	
	4							1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	7.90		3
	<del>-</del>	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	8.68	8.94	9.20			3 4			3-
	•	1						8.67	8.87	9.07						
Father's	2	8.05	8.17	8.29	8.45	8.55	8.65	8.28	8.45	8.62	8.49	8.66	8.83	8.28	8.60	8.
Occup.	4	3.03	3.17	0.27	0.45	0.55		0.20	0.45		7.84	8.05		7.96	8.20	8.
	٠,	<del></del>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1		3
	$\overline{}$															
Non 🕳 Verbal	2				8.42	8.52	8.62	8.27	8.50	8.73	8.30	8.58	8.86	8.08	8.31	8.
1. Q.	3													7.93	8.11	8.
	4															
			2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1															
Verbal	2				8.42	8.52	8.62	8.31	8.57	8.83	8.12	8.43		8.04	8,32	
I. Q.	3													8.12	8.19	
	4													1		3
		<del>  '-</del>	2	3 4	<del>                                     </del>	2	3 4	8.68	2	3 4 9.18	1	2	3 4	8.75	2 8.96	
Total	1				0 40	8.52	8.62	8.29	8.93 8.51	8.73	8.14	8.41		8.14	8.34	
1. Q.	2 3	i			8.42	8.52	0.02	0.29	0.51	0./3	0.14	0.41	0.00	7.83	8.00	i .
	3 4													7.65	8.00	
0	4	<del></del>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
ERIC-		<u>'</u>	L_ <u>-</u>	3 4	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1 4			- 4	_ <del>'</del>	-				Ľ

Grade 8

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_\_

WORK SKILLS SUB-2 Reading Graphs & Tables

Citata D								_								
Category		Lar	ge - Med Cities	lium		Small Cities			/illages			Large Rurals		Small Rurals		
Curegory	y	Qī	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	ହା	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3
	1							8.79	8.96	9.13						
Father's	2	8.22	8.42	8.62	8.27	8.37	8.47	8.32	8.39	8.46	8.26	8.49	8.72			
Educ.	3	8.03	8.10	8.17				8.08	8.32	8.56	8.16	8.49	8.82	8.16	8.57	8.9
	4										7.97	8.16	8.35	7.86	8.14	8.4
		1_	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1							8.65	8 <b>.8</b> 6	9.07			0.01		8.76	9.1
Mother's Educ.	2			[	8.38	8.44	8.50	8.40	8.62	8.84	8.35	8.58	8.81 8.60	8.35 8.09	8.38	8.6
Conc.	3	8.06	8.13	8.20				7.99	8.24	8.49	8.24	8.42	0.00	7.82	8.13	8.4
	4										1	2	3 4	1	2	3
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	8.63	8.85	9.07			3 4			3
	1						1	8.52	8.74	8.96						
Father*s	2	0.07	0 14	8.21	8.27	8.37	8.47	8.08	8.30	8.52	8.32	8.55	8.78	8.18	8.55	8.9
Occup.	3	8.07	8.14	8.21	0.27	0.3/	0.47		6.50	0.52	7.70	7.96		7.85	8.21	8.5
	4	<del></del>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1.70	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1		<del>  '</del>	3 - 4	<del>- '-</del>		3	<del></del>								
Non-	2				8.24	8.31	8.38	8.13	8.40	8.67	8.20	8.50	8.80	7.99	8.31	8.6
Verbai I. Q.	3				0.24	0.31	0.50	0.13						7.80	8.01	8.2
1. 02.	d.		}						]							
	•	1	2	3 4	<del></del>	2	3 4	. 1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1															
Verbal	2		]		8.24	8.31	8.38	8.16	8.46	8.76	7.98	8.30	8.62	7.90	8.28	8.6
I. Q.	3	l	<b> </b>											8.01	8.08	8.1
	4	(														
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1 70	2	3
	1							8.57	8.83	9.09				8.59	8 <b>.8</b> 8	9.1
Total I. Q.	2				8.24	8.31	8.38	8.14	8.40	8.66	8.04	8.30	8.56	8.06	8.32	8.5
10 020	3													7.69	7.89	8.0
	4		1	1											l	
		1 1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	] 1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1 1	2	3

Grade 8

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_

WORK SKILLS SUB-3 Use of Reference Materials

											-		<del></del>	<del></del>			
Category		Lar	ge - Med Cities	lium		Small Cities			'illages	1		Large Rurals		Small Rurals			
Calegory	•	Qī	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	
	1							9,10	9.28	9.46							
Father's	2	8.55	8.74	8.93	8.60	8.66	8.72	8.49	8.62	8.75	8.51	8.72	8.93				
Educ.	3	8.11	8.22	8.33				8.50	8.64	8.78	8.37	8.68	8.9 <b>9</b>	8.30	8.64	8.9	
	4									<u> </u>	8.21	8.33	8.55	8.10	8.30	8.	
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3	
	1					<u> </u>		8.88	9.16	9.44							
Mother's	2				8.66	8.69	8.72	8.68	8.90	9.12	8.55	8.75	8.95	8.61	8.89	9.	
Educ.	3	8.17	8.30	8.43		]		8.39	8.55	8.71	8.45	8.68	8.91	8.23	8.50	8.	
	4					l- <u></u>		<u></u>						8.06	8.25	8.	
		1	2	3 4		2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2 ·	3 4	1	2	3	
	1							8.87	9.17	9.47							
Father's	2						<b> </b>	8.82	9.02	9.22							
Occup.	3	8.22	8.35	8.48	8.57	8.81	9.05	8.43	8.58	8.73	8.59	8.80		8.45	8.74	9.0	
	4				<u> </u>						8.04	8.21	8.38	8.03	8.24	8.4	
_		11	2	3 4	1_	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1		-	
Nan 🕳	1										8.45	8.69	8.93	8.29	8.46	8.	
Verbal	2	}	\		8.63	8.88	9.13	8.39	8.62	8.85	8.45	8.09	8.93	8.12	8.27	8.	
1. Q.	3						}							0.12	0.27		
	4		1	2 4	<del> </del>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3	
		1	2	3 4	<del>  '</del> -		13 4	<del>                                     </del>	<del>  -                                   </del>	13 4	<del></del> -				<del></del>	۳_	
	1			ļ	8.63	8.88	9.13	8.44	8.71	8.98	8.28	8.56	8.84	8.21	8.44	8.	
Verbal I. Q.	2 3				8.63	10.00	9.13	10.44	0.71	0.70	0.20	0.50	0.64	8.12	8.27	8.	
	Δ.	{			1		[			]							
	*	<del>- , -</del>	2	3 4	<del>                                     </del>	1 2	3 4	<del>- , -</del>	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3	
	1	<del></del>		1	ļ		1	8.92	9.19	9.46				8.95	9.15	9.	
Total	2		]		8.63	8.88	9.13	8.41	8.63	8.85	8.32	8.55	8.78	8.29	8.45	8.	
i. Q.	3		1-4		1									7.93	8.09	8.	
3	4					1	1				]						
RIC	4	1	2	3 4	1 ,	- 2	3 4	1	2	3 4	-,-	2	3 4	<del>                                     </del>	2	13	

Grade 8

## SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_\_

ARITHMETIC

Category	,	Lar	ge - Med Cities	li um	Small Cities			Villages				Large Rurals		Small Rurals		
<b>4</b> =** <b>3</b> **,	,	QI	Q2	Q3	Qĩ	Q2	Q3	Q1	<b>Q</b> 2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3
	1							8.59	8.71	8.83						
Father's	2	8.09	8.35	8.61	8 <b>.3</b> 2	8.42	8.52	8.14	8.35	8.56	8.22	8.44	8.66			
Educ.	3	7.98	8.08	8.18				8.02	8.26	8.50	7.99	8.42		8.25	8.49	8.73
	4										7,90	8.14	-	8.07	8.31	
		1	2	3 4	! _	2	3 4	1		3 4	7	2	3 4	7	2	3 4
Mother*s	1				8.45	8.50	8.55	8.39 8.38	8.57 8.60	8.75 8.82	8.29	8.54	8.79	8.25	8.49	8.73
Educ.	2	7.99	8.08	8.17	0.43	8.30	8.33	8.02	8.20	8.38	8.29	8.34		8.19	8.40	
	4	7, 99	0.00	0.17				0,02	0.20	0.30	3,04	0.34	0.04	8,06	8.32	8.58
	4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4			3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1					~===		8.37	8.57	8.77						<del></del>
	2							8.50	8.64	8.73						
Father's Occup.	3	8.00	8.08	8.16	8.35	8.49	8.63	8.04	8.28	8.52	8.30	8.55	8.80	8.37	8.59	8.81
00000	4										7,56	7.86		8.04	8.24	
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	ī	2	3 4
Non-	1												4			
Verbal	2				8 <b>.3</b> 6	8.49	8.62	8.09	8.31	8.53	8.03	8.34	8.65	8.49	8.63	8.77
i. Q.	3													7.92	8.02	8.12
	4															
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4
	1					. = = = =										
Verbal I. Q.	2				8 <b>.3</b> 6	8,49	8.62	8.13	8.35	8.57	7.85	8.20	3.55	8.18	8.37	8.56
10 020	3 4													7.93	8.17	8.41
	4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4
	1			3 4			3 4	8.30	8.56	8.82	<del>:</del>		3 4	8.30	8.61	8.92
Total	2				8.36	8.49	8.62	8.10	8.31	8.52	7.84	8.17		8.20	8.39	8.58
1. Q.	3				0000	0,47	0.02	0.10	0.31	0,52	,.04		0.50	7.91	8.07	8.23
	4													7.001		
3	-	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3 4	1	2	3 4

Grade 8

## SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_\_\_\_

MATH SUB-1 Arithmetic Concepts

											Arithmetic Concepts					
Catanan		Lar	ge - Med Cities	ium		Small Cities		· V	illages			Large Rurals		Small Rurals		
Category	<b>'</b>	Q1	Q2	Q3	Qī	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3
	1							8.92	9.07	9.22						
Father's	2	8.31	8.66	9.01	8.62	8.71	8.80	8.38	8.69	9.00	8.54	8.84	9.14			
Educ.	3	8.23	8.38	8.53				8.23	8.50	8.77	8.15	8.71	9.27	8.48	8.80	9.1
	4								**		8.10	8.34		8.22	8.55	8.8
		1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1 .	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3
	1							8.76	8.97	9.18						
Mother's	2				8.80	8.86	8.92	8.63	8.91	9.19	8.61	8.95	9.29	8.47	8.81	9.1
Educ.	3	8.19	8.33	8.47				8.27	8.47	8.67	8 • 20	8.59	8,98	8.44	8.68	8.9
	4													8.21	8.58	8.9 3
			2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4		2	3
	1							8.75	8,98	9.21						
Father's	2							8.86	8.97	9.08			0.00	0.50	8.86	9.1
Occup.	3	8.22	8.55	8,48	8.64	8.74	8,84	8.20	8.51	8.82	8.54	8.91	9.28	8.53 8.26	8.54	
	4										7.74	8.02		0.20	2	3
		_	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4			<del>-</del> -
Non-	1											8.59	8.96	8.55	8.84	9.
Verbal	2				8.65	8.77	8.89	8.36	8.62	8.88	8.22	8.39	0.90	8.14	8.37	8.
1. Q.	3			)		)	}	]			]			0.14	0.37	
	4					2	3 4	1	2	3 4	<u> </u>	2	3 4	1	2	3
	_	1	2	3 4	1	- 2	3 4		<del>                                     </del>	-			<del>-</del>			
	1				0 65	0 77	0 00	8.40	8.66	8.92	8.06	8.45	8.84	8.31	8.61	8.9
Verbal	2				8.65	8.77	8.89	0.40		0.74	0.00			8.27	8.48	8.0
10 40	3															
	4	1	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	+ -	2	3 4	1	2	3 4	ī	2	3
	1				1		T	8.53	8.92	9.31				8.56	9.00	9.
Total	2				8.65	8.77	8.89	8.35	8.61	8.87	8.05	8.44	8.83	8.41	8.68	8.9
1. Q.	3				10.05									8.14	8.34	8.
	З А															
	4	,	1				1		1			2	3 4	1 7 -	2	3

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE \_

MATH SUB-2 Arithmetic Problem Solvi

		Larg	ge - Med Cities	ium		Small Cities	ļ	٧	illages			Large Rurals		Small Rurals		
Category	ſ	Q1	Q2	Q3	Qĩ	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Ql	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3
Father's 2 Educ. 3		7.87 7.75	8.04 7.79	8.21 7.83	8.01	8.13	8.25  3 4	8.18 7.85 7.78	8.35 8.01 8.02	8.52 8.17 8.26	7.89 7.83 7.69	8.05 8.13 7.95	8.21 8.43 8.21	7.89 7.82	8.17 8.08	8.45 8.34 3 4
Mother's 2 Educ. 3		7.76	7.82	7.88	8.01 	8.15  2	8,29	7.97 8.10 7.75	8.17 8.30 7.93	8.37 8.50 8.11	7.93 7.85	8.12 8.10 	8.31 8.35 	8.00 7.80 7.82	8.19 8.11 8.06	8 38 8 42 8 30 3 4
Father's 2 Occup. 3	2	7.77	7.82	7.87	8.06	8.24	8.42	7.94 8.13 7.82	8.16 8.31 8.04 	8.38 8.49 8.26 	8.06 7.40	8.19 7.72	8.32 8.04 3 4	8.10 7.68	8.32 7.94	8.54 8.20
Non = Verbal I. Q. 3	2	1	2	3 4	8.04	8.21	8.38	7.80  	8.01	8.22	7.81	8.08	8.35	8.31 7.50	8.43 7.68	8.5. 7.86
1. Q.	2 3 4		2	3 4	8.04	8.21	8.38	7.84 	8.04	8.24  3 4	7.64	7.96	8.28	7.90	8.13 7.88	8.3 8.2 
10	1 2 3			3 4	8.04 	8.21	8.38	8.01 7.81 	8.20 8.01  2	8.39 8.21  3 4	7,60	7.90	8.20	8.04 7.84 7.59	8.23 8.10 7.80	8.4 8.3 8.0



Grade 8

Grade 8

SYSTEM MEAN SCORE

COMPOSITE

Categor	·	Lar	ge - Med Cities	dium	Small Cities			\	/illages			Large Rurals		Small Rurals		
	,	Qī	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3	Qī	. Q2	Q3	Qĩ	Q2	Q3	Q1	Q2	Q3
Father's Educ.	1 2 3 4	8.20 7.96	8.45 8.02 	8.70 8.08 	8.30 	8.37	8.44	8.80 8.31 8.14	8.92 8.39 8.28 	9.04 8.47 8.42	8.31 8.03 7.95	8.45 8.34 8.13	8.59 8.65 8.31	8.02 7.88	8.34 8.05	8.66 8.22 3 4
Mother's Educ.	1 2 3 4	7.99	8.08	8.17 	8.37	8.37	8.37	8.65 8.41 8.11	8.84 8.59 8.22 	9.03 8.77 8.33 	8.35 8.12	8.51 8.31	8.67 8.50	8.21 7.96 7.86	8.47 8.24 8.02	8.73 8.52 8.18
Father's Occup.	1 2 3 4	8.02	8.09	8.16 	8.32	8.42	8.52 	8.65 8.53 8.16	8.85 8.69 8.28	9.05 8.85 8.40	8.28 7.71	8.46 7.93	8.64 8.15	8.22 7.75	8.45 7.97	8.08 8.19
Non = Verbal I• Q.	1 2 3 4		2	3 4	8.27	8.38	8.49  3 4	8.16	8.34	8.52  3 4	8.10	8.36	8.62	8.10 7.74	8.30 7.89	8.50 8.04 
Verbal I. Q.	1 2 3 4		2	3 4	8.27	8.38	8.49	8.23 	8.43  2	8.63	7.95	8.24	8.53	7.97 7.77 	8.18 7.99	8.39 8.21 
Total i. Q.	1 2 3 4			3 4	8.27 	8.38	8.49  3 4	8.62 8.18 	8.84 8.35  2	9.06 8.52  3 4	7.98	8 · 21  2	8.44	8.61 8.05 7.67	8.76 8.23 7.80	8.91 8.41 7.93

Appendix E

Section II, Grade 5

Total Sample Tables



# VOCABULARY

	Total Number	P 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
All Students Boys Girls		4.46 4.37 4.56			;	6.33 6.32 6.35			
Father's Ed. High Mid <b>L</b> ow		5.29 4.54 3.77				7.05 6.13 5.64			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.32 4.56 3.66				7.14 6.18 5.51			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.35 4.50 3.86				7.07 6.27 5.77			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.82 4.95 3.75				7.45 6.39 5.47			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.23 4.99 3.57				7.46 6.20 5.10			-
Total IQ High Mid Low		6.06 4.93 3.58				7.44 6.31 5.20			

133

GRADE 5

READING

	Total Number	P 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
All Students Boys		4.35 4.20				6.31 6.21			
Girls	1	4.51			1	6.41			•
Father's Ed.									
High		5.15	1	1		7.09	1	1 1	
Mid	i i	4.37	1	1		6.24		ì	
Low		3.86				5.51		i	
Mother's Ed.									
High		5,18	1	1		7.17	}	) )	
Mid		47	1			6.28		1	
Low	1	3.78	1			5.43		1 1	
20"	Į ,	31,70			·	J•+3	ł		
Father's Occp.									
High	1	5.18	1	1		7.12	1	}	
Mid		4.41		i i		6.32	}	1	
Low		3.99				5.66			
Non Verbal IQ				ļ					
High	İ	5.83	1	1		7.56	ł	1	
Mid	1	4.88	1	}	'	6.50	}	1 1	
Low	] .	3.84				5.33			
Verbal IQ									
High	1	6.27	i	i	'	7.70	1	1	
Mid	j	4.88	J	1		6.26	1.	1	
Low	1	3.64	ł			5.06	1		
LOW	1	3.04		1		3.00	1	1	
Total IQ		1							
High	1	6.01				7.64		]	
Mid		4.84	1			6.37	1	i i	
Low	1	3.62	1	1		5.11	}	1	
IC	L	<u> </u>	<del></del>	1	L	L	<u> </u>	1	

GRADE 5

# LANGUAGE

	Total Number	P 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
All Students		4.30							
Boys	1	3.99	]	! !		6.63	ł	j †	
Girls		4.75	ł	[		6.22	ļ	1 1	
	i i	7.75	l	!!	j	7.00	Ĭ	1 1	
Father's Ed.	•			! !			1	! !	
High	i i	5.28		1 1			1	[	
Mid		4.37		1		7.34	j	í í	
Low	[ ]	3.79		[		6.55 ·	ļ		
		3.19		1		5.75		ŀ	
Mother's Ed.								1	
High	Ì	5.32		İ					
Mid		4.42		1		7.32		i	
Low		3.65				6.65			
		3.03		1	1	5.61			
Father's Occp.			l l		Ī				
High	ł	5.33		ļ	İ			ı	
Mid	į	4.44	ľ	1	i	7.38	i	}	
Low	1	3.93	j	1	1	6.61		ļ	
	1	3.93	Ì	1		5.96		1	
Non Verbal IQ	- 1			i		}		i	
High	į.	6.01		ļ	ı		Į	į.	
Mid	I	4.88		İ	ŀ	7.67	ł	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Low	1	3.71	j	į	i	6.77		ĺ	1
		3./1	ì			5.46	!	į.	Ì
Verbal IQ	1	1	- 1		i	ĺ	ĺ	- 1	í
High		6.36	1	1	1	i	1	ı	İ
Mid	- 1	5.03	į.	1	İ	7.75	J	i	į
Low	1	3.57	İ	1	2	6.63	- 1	į.	ĺ
	- 1	3.3/	1	j	ı	4.97	1		i
Total IQ	1	j	j	j	- 1	1		1	- 1
High	j	6.14	ľ	j	1		[	1	1
Mid		4.82	1	1	1	7.73	- 1	1	[
Low			1	1	- 1	6.67	- 1	1	
	1 .	3.56		J	1	5.15	1	- 1	

LANGUAGE SUB-1
Spelling

	Total Number	P 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	F 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
All Students Boys Girls		4.03 3.70 4.51				6.71 6.20 7.10			
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		4.93 4.07 3.55				7.39 6.60 5.89			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		4.98 4.15 3.46				7.44 6.61 5.79			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		4.99 4.18 3.68				7.44 6.62 6.05			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.70 4.47 3.43				7.79 6.82 5.61			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.06 4.71 3.14				7.98 6.67 5.05			
Total IQ High Mid Low		5.85 4.43 3.23				8.01 6.74 5.37			

LANGUAGE SUB-2 Capitalization

	Capitalization								
	Total Number	P 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75		Percent A <b>b</b> ove	Scale Value
All Students Boys Girls		4.24 4.04 4.51				6.80 6.51 7.04			
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.07 4.27 3.78				7.45 6.79 6.03			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		4.98 4.28 3.77				7.50 6.81 5.80			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.11 4.32 3.98			5	7.47 6.85 6.16			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.80 4.62 3.68				7.86 7.07 5.58			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.07 4.78 3.52				7.95 7.03 5.12			·
Total IQ High Mid Low		5.78 4.57 3.62				7.85 6.90 5.30			



LANGUAGE SUB-3
Punctuation

	,						Luncu	acion	
	Total	P	Number	Percent	Scale ·	P	Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	25	Under	Under	Value	75	Over	Above	Value
	Number		Onder	CHUCL	value	/-3	Over	Above	value
	1		1	1		i		j	
All Students		4.24	1			6.78			
Boys		3.98	l			6.40			
Girls		4.68 .				7.12		1	
01110		1000		[		7.12			
B .1 . B.			İ						
Father's Ed.			}				ŀ		
High		5.14	İ			7.43	İ	!	
Mi d		4.37	ŀ			6.75			
Low		3.85							
LOR		3.05	1	i i		6.02			
			}						
Mother's Ed.			i						
High		5.24	<b>}</b>			7.46			
Mid		4.38				6.78			
Low		3.70							ł
LOW		3.70	Ì	i		5.95			i
Father's Occp.								į	i
High		5.17	j :			7.46			
Mid		4.44				6.83			1
Low .		3.96							j
Low .		3.90	i			6.15			
								ļ J	1
Non Verbal IQ		_		1				i 1	
High		6.01				7.92			
Mid	1	4.87	ľ			6.98			
Low		3.74							
LOW		3.74	i i			5.61			
								1	
Verbal IQ									- 1
High		6.25				8.00			i
Mid	•	4.95		į .		7.01			
		3.58							
Low		3.36			7	5.38			
	j	•						1	l
Total IQ	1				į		·		ŀ
High		6.14		1		7,90		l	į
) Mid	ŀ	4.71				6.81		1	ì
Low	ł	3.53							1
C LOW	Į.	در.ر				5.39		1	ŀ
lw FBIG									1



LANGUAGE SUB-4 Usage

	_					_	ı,	sage	_
	Total Number	P 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
All Students Boys Girls		4.09 3.79 4.60		***.	·	6.90 6.62 7.14			
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.58 4.21 3.50	:			7.54 6.79 5.75			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.62 4.25 3.41				7.58 6.83 5.57			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.68 4.34 3.60				7.54 6.85 5.99			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.13 4.86 3.44	·			7.80 7.08 5.63			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low	,	6.51 5.07 3.29				7.94 6.99 5.04			
Total IQ High Mid Low		6.33 4.85 3.27				7.90 6.97 5.24			

WORKSKILLS

	Total Number	P 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <b>7</b> 5	Number Over	Percent A <b>b</b> ove	Scale Value
All Students Boys Girls		4.48 4.44 4.56				6.33 6.26			
Father's Ed.		4,00				6.36			
High		5.19				6.98	l		
Mid		4.59	ļ			6.22	1	<b>!</b>	
Low		4.19				5.57			
Mother's Ed.								i i	
High		5.23	ļ			7.01	ł	1	
Mid	i	4.56				6.23	ł		
Low		4,10	ļ			5,46	ļ		
Father's Occp.				Ì			·		
High		5.22	1			7.03		ł i	
Mid	}	4.60				6.25		1	
Low		4.25	}	1		5.68	į		
Non Verbal IQ				<u> </u>					
High		6.14	1	I		7.46	ì	1 . 1	
Mid		5.06	Ì			6.49	[		
Low	·	4.16	Ì	l		5.30		ł	e
Verbal IQ			1	1					
High	1	6.21		1		7.46			
Mid	1	5.01	1	1		6.31	Ì	1	
Low		4.11		1	,	5.1.3			
Total IQ									
High	1	6.14	1			7.46			
Mid	1	4.92		1		6.33	1		
Low	<b>!</b>	3.97	Į.	1		5.07	[	(	



WORK SKILLS SUB-1
Map Reading

	Total Number	P .25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	
All Students Boys Girls		4.60 4.63 4.57				6.41 6.43 6.29	٠.		
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.23 4.63 4.28			•	6.99 6.24 5.61			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.33 4.64 4.18				7.01 6.27 5.56			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.26 4.77 4.27				7.03 6.39 5.84			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.95 5.05 4.08				7.56 6.61 5.51			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.09 4.97 4.19				7.51 6.48 5.42			
Total IQ High Mid Low		5.94 4.90 3.97				7.51 6.35 5.26			



GRADE 5

# WORK SKILLS SUB-2 Reading Graphs & Tables

								<b>u</b> 1	
	Total	Р	Number	Percent	Scale	P	Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	25	Under	Under	Value	75	Over	Above	Value
			<del>1</del> —				0.00	71DOVC	varue
All Students		4.28	Ì	1		6.32	1	]	
Boys		4.26	1			6.29	l		
Girls	[ ]	4.29	i	<u> </u>		6.36		i i	
	1 1		ļ	1 1		0.30	]	l i	
Father's Ed.	1						İ	ļ l	
High	] }	5.15	i	}		7.37		, ,	
Mid		4.31		! !		6.23	[	1 1	-
Low		3.83	ł	1 1		5.73			
<del></del> .		3,03				2.73		j ł	
Mother's Ed.	ļ į							ļ <u> </u>	
High		5.24	ł	1		7.40		1 1	- 1
Mid		4.32		1		6.27		1	ł
Low		3.66	ļ					ľ	j
201		3.00	j ·			5.48		į	1
Father's Occp.			}	l l					ŀ
High		5.20	İ	[		7 07			Į.
Mid		4.37				7.27		ì	ŀ
Low		3.92	i i	1		6.28			1
LOW		3.92				5.87		1	I
Non Verbal IQ	ı			]	i				ľ
		6.11							Į.
High Mid	ı	4.98	ļ	l i		7.82			1
				İ		6.57			į
Low	i	3.73				5.33			[
77 1 70				İ			i		- 1
Verbal IQ	ļ	6 04			ľ				
High	1	6.24			•	7.85			1
Mid		4.98		j	Į	6.46	ĺ	}	1
Low	1	3.71		ì	1	5.31		l	
m- + 1 TO	ſ						ĺ	1	- 1
Total IQ	. }				j			ł	. 1
High		6.18			į	7.84		ı	
Mid		4.71	l	ĺ	1	6.31	ļ	l	i
CLow	ı	3.64		į	i i	5.25	ļ	1	
FRIC	1								



GRADE 5

WORK SKILLS SUB-3
Use of Reference Materials

	Use of Reference Materials								
	Total Number	P 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent A <b>b</b> ove	Scale Value
All Students Boys Girls		4.54 4.38 4.67				6.40 6.14 6.60			
Father's Ed. High Mid <b>L</b> ow		5.15 4.52 4.14				7.03 6.29 5.66			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.13 4.52 4.09				7.02 6.30 5.58			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.17 4.54 4.22				7.07 6.35 5.79			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.88 4.91 4.08				7.43 6.55 5.45		•	
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.10 4.95 3.99				7.49 6.41 5.26			
Total IQ High Mid Low		5.94 4.78 3.86				7.43 6.37 5.20			

GRADE 5

## ARITHMETIC

	Total Number	P 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent A <b>b</b> ove	Scale Value
111 G. 1 .			<del>                                     </del>			,-			
All Students	]	4.44	1	•		6.03		1 1	
Boys	ì	4.39	}	1 1		5.99			
Girls	1 1	4.45	l			6.01			
Father's Ed.			ŀ				ŀ	1 1	
High	]	4.97	Ī	1 1		6.47	i	l i	
Mid		4.49	1	1		5.97	1	1 1	
Low		4.07	İ	1		5.49		i 1	
						3.47	ł		
Mother's Ed.	j i		l	1 1			l	!!!	
High	1 1	5.05	1	]		6.55	İ	1 1	
Mid		4.45	j	1		5.96	ļ	1 1	
Low	1	4.04				5.41	ļ		
Father's Occp.	]								
High	ŀ	5.01	ł	1 1	i		l	1 1	
Mid		4.54	•	1 i		6.49	<b>l</b> .	1 1	
Low	i l	4.15	j	1 1		6.02	ļ	i i	
2011	1	7013				5.55			
Non Verbal IQ								1	
High		5.67	1	]		6.89	l	i 1	
Mid		4.84	ĺ	1 1		6.07		i I	
Low		4.01				5.18	ļ	1	
Verbal IQ					i				
High		5.80		l i			Ì	1	
Mid	j			1		6.86	l	1 1	
Low	1	4.81		l		6.08		]	
TOM		3.95				5.08		]	
Total IQ						ı			
High	} <b>I</b>	5.68				6.86			
Mid		4.67		[	i	5.96	ł		
Low	ļ l	3.86				5.08		1	
FRIC	L			<u> </u>				<u> </u>	



MATH. SUB-1 Arithmetic Concepts

									<del>-</del>
	Total Number	P 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent A <b>b</b> ove	Scale Value
All Students Boys Girls		4.46 4.50 4.47				6.33 6.27 6.32			
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.26 4.65 4.13		·		6.81 6.25 5.68			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	·	5.29 4.57 4.09				6.84 6.20 5.58			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.16 4.70 4.32				6.80 6.31 5.83			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.05 5,08 4.11				7.18 6.45 5.41			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.07 5.04 4.11				7.15 6.37 5.25			·
Total IQ High Mid C Low		6.05 4,87 4.04		·		7.14 6.22 5.22			



MATH - SUB-2

	Arithmetic Problem Solving								
	Total Number	P 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75		Percent A <b>b</b> ove	_
All Students Boys Girls		4.18 4.09 4.42				5.82 5.75 5.90			
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		4.89 4.21 3.76				6.20 5.79 5.53			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		4.80 4.21 3.96				6.12 5.81 5.35			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		4.89 4.27 3.80				6.21 5.83 5.59			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.23 4.53 3.69				6.64 5.89 5.16			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.38 4.70 3.54				6.70 5.90 5.02			
Total IQ High Mid Low		5.29 4.33 3.52				6.72 5.77 5.06			·

# COMPOSITE

	Total Number	P 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
All Students		4.46			ì	6.24			
Boys Girls		4.35 4.59				6.13 6.37			·
Father's Ed.			İ	ļ Ì			İ		
High	l i	5.25		i !				l i	
<b>Mi</b> d	i i	4.54	ł	!!		6.94	ł		
Low		3.99				6.18 5.53			
Mother's Ed.									
High		5.28		! !					
<b>Mi</b> d	1 1	4.51	1	! J		6.93		]	
Low	] ]	3.92	}	İ		6.20 5.41			
Father's Occp.									
High	i i	5.30							
Mid	i <b>I</b>	4.59				6.97			
Low		4.11		1		6.25 5.64		i	
Non Verbal IQ				·	ı			İ	
High	ł <b>[</b>	6.00			· ·	7.00		į	
Mid		4.97				7.32			
Low	] ]	4.07		ļ	İ	6.36 5.22		ŀ	
Verbal IQ					i			l	
High	i i	6.34		ļ				į.	
Mid	1	5.05		1		7.40		j	
Low		3.87		. [		6.23 4.90			i
Total IQ				1			. [		
High	1	6.18		į	- 1			ľ	
Mid		4.92	1	Î	5	7.36	Í	1	- 1
RICLOW		3.85	į	į		6.22	j	1	
at Provided by ERIC	f					5.01		ĺ	

147

Appendix F
Section II, Grade 5
Large-Medium Cities Tables



	GRADE !	5				VOCABULARY			
	Total	-	Number	Percent	Scale	5	Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P 75	Over	Above	Value
Father's Ed.			l	[			ĺ	1 1	
H <b>i</b> gh		5.06		1		6.91		[	
Mid		4.24				6.03	İ	i i	
Low		3.61	1	[		5.50			
Mother's Ed.									
High		5.12	ł	i :		6.95	ļ	1 1	
Mid		4.17	Ī	į l		6.01	1	1 1	
Low		3.53		]		5.42			
Father's Occp.			1						
H <b>i</b> gh		5.22	1			7.08		1	
Mid		4.34	ļ	}		6.13	ļ	į į	
Low		3.74	]	}		5.52			
Non Verbal IQ									
High		*	1			*			
Mid		*	1	1		*	ļ	1	
Low		*	ļ			*	1		
Verbal IQ									
High		*				*	}	1	
Mid		*		į.		*			
Low	·	*			:	*	'		
Total IQ				}	}				
High		*	1			*	1	í	
Mid		*		1	l i	*	1		
Low		*		Ĭ		*	Ì		



Fath	er's High Mid Low	Ed.
Moth	ner's High Mid Low	Ed.
Fath	ner's High Mid Low	Occp.
Non	Verba High Mid Low	al IQ
Ve	erbal High Mid <b>L</b> ow	IQ
Т	otal : High Mid	ιQ

Low

GRADE. 5

	Total Number	P . 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
	·	4.92 4.23				6.94 5.98		·	
Į		3.70				5.41		,	
			·		,				
ì		5.06 4.18				6.98 6.10			
١		3.70				5.34			
		,							
		5.01 4.31				7.03 6.12			
		3.82				5.46			
Ì		*				*			
	:	*				*	]		
		*				*	l l		
		*	]			*	1		
		*	1	]		*			
1		*		<b>l</b> . '		*	Ì	·	
١			}				}		
		*	1		į	*			
1		*		]		· *			
- 1		l "	Į	1	[ ]	l <u>"</u>	İ	L	

\* Insufficent data


**READING** 



CR	ADE	ŧ
OI/	aur	-

т 4	NC	ΠΔ	GE
L.	มเบ	U.	ن ک

	<u> </u>		Number	Percent	Scale	<u> </u>	Number	Percent	Scale
	Total	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P 75	Over	Above	Value
	Number	25	olider	onder	value	- /3	Over	Abtive	value
F-451- 77-1			•				•		
Father's Ed.			ł				]		
High Mid		5.05	ł	<u> </u>		7.13	1		
		4.15	i	}		6.36		i l	
Low		3.69				5.54	}	1	
Mother's Ed.			ŀ						
High		E 10				7 00		1	
M/d		5.13				7.28	Ī	1 1	
Low		4.18	i	į l		6.36			
LOW		3.61		1		<b>5.</b> 43	1	1	
Father's Occp.			1						
High		5.22	1			7.20			
Mid		4.30		<u> </u>		6.46			ļ
Low		3.69				5.65			
20		3.09				3.03	İ		
Non Verbal IQ							ļ		
High		*	l	1		*		1	i
Mid		*				*	ļ	[	
Low		*	1			*		1 .	Į
•			1						
Verbal IQ				1				ł	<u> </u>
High		*		1		*			1
Mid		*	<u> </u>	1		*	1	1	
Low		*		ł		*	ĺ		
			[	i				1	
Total IQ				İ		1			
High		*		1		*	1		
Mid		*		l		*			
Low		*	Ì	į.		*		1	
į			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		L	<u> </u>		





GRADE 5

LANGUAGE SUB-1 Spelling

							Spell:	ing	
	Total		Number	Percent	Scale	В	Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Over	Above	Value
Father's Ed.				ļ					
High	İ	4,64		<b>'</b>		7.25			
Mid	i	3.87				6.46			1
Low		3.37				5.78			
Mother's Ed.									
High		4.68	Ì			7.28			}
Mid		3.98	ł	•		6.46		f I	ĺ
Low		3.34	}			5.77			
Father's Occp.									
High		4.87	1			7.35		}	
Mid		4.06	1	[	1	6.50		1	İ
Low		3.42	}			5.84			
Non Verbal IQ			ĺ						
High		*	İ	1		*		•	
Mid		*	1	1		*		<u> </u>	1
Lcw		*				*			
Verbal IQ			]	ļ					
High		*		1		*			
Mid	ł	*	Ì	1		*		<b>]</b>	
Low		*	Į	l		*	į		
Total IQ		ļ							
High		*	<u> </u>		i i	*	Į.		
Mid		*		į		*	1		
Low		*		l	[	*	ł		
		•	Į.	ļ	j l	I	1		



GRADE 5

LANGUAGE SUB-2 Capitalization

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Numbar Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed.			1	l					
			l	<u> </u>		- 00			
High		4.76	ŀ			7.32			
Mid	i	4.20	ŀ	j l	j	6.41	1	]	
Low		3.69				5.76		ŀ	
Mother's Ed.									
High		4.78		1		7.42	Į.	[ ]	
Mid	i	4.08	1	1		6.54	l	1	
Low							İ		
FOM	1	3.70	Ì			5.60	1		
Father's Occp.			1						
High	}	4.84		l i		7.38			
Mid		4.26				6.53	İ	1	
Low		3.78	1			5.74	<u>i</u>	1	
Dow		3.70				3.74	İ	!	
Non Verbal IQ							1	.	
High	i l	*	Ī	-		*		1	
Mid		*	1	1		*	l		
Low		*				*	}		
<b>20</b> ** ,				1 .			ļ		٠.
Verbal IQ				}					
High		*	1			*	ł		
Mid		*	ŀ	ŀ		*	ŀ		
Low		*	1	1	1	*	ŀ		
2011				1				<u> </u>	
Total IQ				1			<b>j</b>		
High		*	l .	1	l l	*	j	1	
Mid		*	1	1	l i	*	1		
Low		*	1	1		*	l	1	
<b>10</b> **			1	}	<b>i</b>	l	1	l	



GRADE 5

LANGUAGE SUB-3 Punctuation

							Pui	actuation	<u> </u>
	Tota1		Number	Percent	Scale		Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P 75	Over	Above	Value
•	-		<del>                                     </del>		_		_		
Father's Ed.	j			ļ			1		
High	ł	4.88	ţ			7.29	<b>,</b>		
Mid		4.21				6.51	ļ		
Low		3.59	1	i l		5.74	ĺ		
	1		)	1		3.,.	)		
Mother's Ed.						•			
H <b>i</b> gh	1	5.06	Į.	į į		7.35	ľ		
Mid	1	4.19	l			6.53	ŀ		
Low		3.55		ŀ		5.66	]		
	1	·	}	1			<u> </u>		
Father's Occp.			1				1		ì
H <b>i</b> gh		5.06	l			7.36	l		
Mi d		4.30	J			6.62	ļ		i
Low		3.74	ł	]		5.85	ļ.		
			1	1			}		
Non Verbal IQ			1	į į			i		
H <b>i</b> gh		*	l			*	[		
Mid		*	ł	i i		*	1		
Low		*	ļ			*			
			<b>\$</b>	1			}		
Verbal IQ					· ·	1	[		
High		*	]	1		*	Ì		
Mid		*	1	1		*	}		
Low		*		i .		*			
m				<b>[</b>			1		
Total IQ			1				]		
High		*	1	[		*			
Mid		*	Î			*	ì		
Low		*	l			*	ļ		



GRADE 5

LANGUAGE SHB-4


							Usage			
	Total		Number	Percent	Scale		Number	Percent	Scale	
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P <b>7</b> 5	Over	Above	Value	
	Number						0,07			
Father's Ed.			1							
High		5.37	ŀ	<u> </u>		7.46				
Mid		4.05	ļ	1		6.53	1			
Low		3.44	l			5.50			l	
10"		3.44	1	!		3.30	]			
Mother's Ed.							ł			
High		5.50		}		7.55	l			
Mid		4.06	}	ļ		6.55	į .			
Low		3.39		<b>]</b>		5.43	ĺ			
20"		3.37				J.43	!			
Father's Occp.			Ì				•	·		
High		5 <b>.</b> 57	1			7.51	i			
Mid		4.22		1		6.70	ł			
Low		3.45	1	1		5.71	Į	]		
						J•//-				
Non Verbal IQ				1			ŀ			
High		*	İ	Į į		*		]		
Mid		*	1	1		*	!			
Low		*		1		*	1	1		
			ļ	į į			ļ			
Verbal IQ	1		1	i						
High		*		İ		*	1			
$\mathtt{Mid}$		*	1	l		*	l			
Low		*	i	1		*		l		
			1					]		
Total IQ				I			1	Ţ		
High		*		I		*		1		
Mid		*	}	ŀ		*	1			
Low		*	1	ŀ		*				
			1	1	P I	•	l			



GRADE 5

WORKSKILLS

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Perce <b>nt</b> Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.00 4.35 4.09				6.85 5.93 5.27			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.02 4.37 3.94				6.94 5.97 5.19			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.14 4.44 4.04				6.93 6.05 5.36			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		* * *				* * *			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		* * *		:		* * *			
Total IQ High Mid Low		* * *				* *			





# GRADE 5

WORK	SKILLS	SUB-1
Ma	n Roadi	· n ~

		т`——			,		мар ке	ading	
	Total	В	Number	Percent	Scale		Number	Percent	Scale.
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Over	Above	Value
		<del>                                     </del>		-		<del></del>	OVEL	ADC.VE.	varue
Father's Ed.		1	1		1	i	j	]	
High	ı			l	<b>j</b>		i	ł	
Mid	Į.	5.04	l			6.81	l .		
Low	1	4.51	l			5.96		l :	
TOM	1	4.15	İ	ł		5.51	1	i	
Mother's Ed.		1	İ	ł		1			ļ
	İ						}	Į į	
High Mid	l	5.02	<u> </u>			6.89	j		
	ì	4.56	Ĭ	<b>i</b> .		6.04	1	ł	}
Low	ł	4.11	İ			5.41	ŀ		Į.
77 . 1 . 0	ł			1		3.41			
Father's Occp.	i		i .						i
High		5.16	Ì			6 00			ł
Mid		4.56	ĺ	1		6.89			
Low	· .	4.11		Į l	•	6.02			1
		7.11	1			5.43		1	- 1
Non Verbal IQ	l i		ĺ						- 1
High	1	*							1
Mid	i i	,				*			
Low	f l	*				*		i	1
10**		*				*			I
Verbal IQ				1					- 1
High									.
Mid		*				*			I
		*		1		*			1
Low		*				*			Ĩ
Total IQ								:	•
High		. i	4		ì			ļ	i
		. *			ı	*		1	1
Mid		*			ı	*		I	- 1
Low		*				*	į	1	İ
Į.						. "		- 1	ł



CDADE	
GRADE	-

WORK	SKILLS	SUB-2
Reading	Graphs	& Tables

						Keadi	les		
	Total	_	Number	Percent	Scale		Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	P 25	Under	Under	Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Over .	Above	Value
	Municer		- Circle 1	- Silder	Varae	,,,	0001	AUCTU.	- 1022
Father's Ed.	,			]	•		ŀ	]	
	1		i	i '			1	1	1
High		4.78	Ĭ		i	7.16			i
Mid	[	4.08	<b>.</b>	1		6.04	Í	1	1
Low		3.54		1		5.46			
Mother's Ed.	]		[						
High		4.92	ì	1		7 20	1		]
Mid	1 1	4.07	ł	i i		7.20	f	]	i i
Low .		3.57	1	} i		6.06			
		3.3/		[		5.22			
Father's Occp.							<u>.</u>		
High	1	5 <b>.0</b> 6	1	1	,	7.09		1	1 1
Mid		4.32	1	į			'		! !
Low	1	3.72	Î	1		6.12	ì	]	i i
	]	3.72	]	į l		5.53	į	<b>[</b> ]	
Non Verbal IQ			]						
High	[	*	<b>!</b>			*	ł		}
Mid		*				*	l	[	
Low		*	}	1		*	ì	)	
			l	1 :		*		<b>}</b> .	i
Verbal IQ			}	<u> </u>				j i	
High		*				*	ł	[ :	ł
Mid		*	İ	1		*		]	
Low		*	1			*	ł	1	!
			1	]		a a	1		
Total IQ			]	]					
High	[	*		1		*		Į i	
Mid		*	1			*			
Low		*	<u> </u>	[		*			
			j.	1 !		~	!	j l	



CP	ADE	5
GΛ	AUL	_

WORK SKILLS SUB-3 Use of Reference Materi


						Us	e of Ref	<u>erence M</u>	<u>laterials</u>
	Total	_	Number	Percent	Scale	ì		Percent	
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P <b>7</b> 5	Over	Above	Value
	Municel		O TICLE T	Onder	- Tarue		-0461	ADOVE	
Father's Ed.			Į						
		4.89		ĺ		6.04			
High			ł			6.94	t		
Mid		4.37	1	<b>!</b>		6.07			
Low		4.01				5.41			
Mother's Ed.									
High		5.01	1	ł		6.92			
Mid		4.37				6.10			
Low		3.95		i i		5.34			
TOM		3.93		Ì		3.34			
Father's Occp.									
High		5.06				6.96			
Mid		4.40	1	ļ		6.16			
Low		4.11	i			5.56			
20#			!	1		3.30			1
Non Verbal IQ		·		ŀ					
High		*		[		*			i
Mid		*	l			*			
Low		*	]			*			1
FOM			1						
Verbal IQ									
High		*	1	1		*	i '		1
Mid		*		i		*			
Low		*				*			
EOW.			1						
Total IQ									
High		*		j l		*			
Mid		*	l	1		*			
Low		*	1	1	,	*	,		1
TOM			I			~	Ì		



GRADE 5

ARITHMETIC

	1								
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
									·
Father's Ed.	1		1	1			1		
High	1	4.77	1			6.37	i	<b>i</b> '	
Mid	) i	4.29	Ì	1		5.80		Ì	
Low		3.98				5.29	ļ		
Mother's Ed.				[					
High		4.80	ì	1		6.35	1	1	
Mid	[	4.28	ŀ			5.78	l	1	
Low	1	3.98	ì	i i		5.28	,	]	
			l			3.20			
Father's Occp.	]						1		
High	i i	4.91	Ì	i i		6.40	[	Į i	
Mid	1	4.38	ì	1		5.84		1 .	
Low		3.97	{			5.36	}		
Non Verbal IQ			Ì						i
High	1 1	*		]		*	]	1	
Mid	1	*	•	}		*	l		
Low	) i	*	Ì	]		*	ì		
			ľ	. [			ĺ		
Verbal IQ	[								
High	1 1	*	ļ			*	į	•	
Mid	[ ]	*		1 1		*	l	1 !	
Low		*	İ			*	İ	1	
m . 1 TO .							[		
Total IQ		_		1			i	1	
High		*		ļ .		*		1 1	
Mid		*	1	1		*	1	]	
Low		*	[			*	ļ		
			L	I			L		





CRADE	r
GKADE	

Father's Ed. High Mid Low

Mother's Ed. High Mid Low

Father's Occp.
High
Mid
Low

Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low

> Verbal IQ High Mid Low

Total IQ High Mid Low

	GRADE 5	Number   Percent   Scale   Property   Number   Percent   Above				epts		
	Total Number	P . 25		Percent Under		P <sub>75</sub>		Scale Value
•		4.39				5.99		
		4.37				5.97		
		4.50				6.10		
		*				*		
		*				*		
		* * *			·	* * *		


ERIC

CP	ADE	

	GRADE 5					A	MATH cithmetic	. SUB-2 c_Problem	Solving
	Total Number	P <sub>.25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <b>7</b> 5		Percent Above	
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		4.71 4.14 3.81				6.14 5.69 5.33			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		4.78 4.17 3.73				6.15 5.67 5.34	·		
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		4.82 4.20 3.80				6.16 5.77 5.31			·
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		* * *				* * *			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		* * *				* *			
Total IQ High Mid Low		* * *				* * *			



	GRADE !	5	_		COMPOSITE				
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.03 4.34 3.88				6.79 5.90 5.33			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.09 4.34 3.84				6.85 5.93 5.27			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.14 4.40 3.94				6.81 6.01 5.36			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		* * *				* * *			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		* * *				* * *			
Total IQ High Mid Low		* * *				* * *			





Appendix G
Section II, Grade 5
Small Cities Tables



164

# SMALL CITIES

GRADE	,
GKAUL	

VOCABULARY

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Perce <b>nt</b> Above	Scale Value
								j	
Father's Ed.		i	ļ	1				1	i
High		5.43	1			7.23	l	i i	
Mid	<b>j</b>	4.43	1	1 1		6.18	1	1	
Low	ì	3.86	1						
Low		3.00	1	!!		5.68	1		ĺ
Mother's Ed.				i l			i		·
		5.44	1			7.06	l	i i	·
High Mid	·	4.52		i i		7.26	l		. [
			ł	ł l		6.25	ł	ł i	ł
Low		3.76	l	1 1	1	5.43	1		
			l	ł į			1		
Father's Occp.		5 00	<b>{</b>	1			l	[ ]	t
High	l i	5.38	1	1 :		7.20	1	]	
Mid		4.59	1	}		6.34	ł	!	. 1
Low	]	4.07		]		5.81		]	
			Ì				Ì	ļ	1
Non Verbal IQ		<b>5</b> 00	l	1 .			Į	1	
High		5.90	ì	1		7.42			
Mid		4.79	}	1 1		6.40	i	j .	]
Low		3.70	ļ			5.45			
11 1 1 70					,			)	}
Verbal IQ			1	1			l		i
High		6.23	j	j		7.46		1 .	
Mid		4.94	}	ł		6.13	Ī	1	
Low		3.48	]			5.03	1	1	
T-4-1 TO			1		•			]	
Total IQ	[		}	1		l	i	)	
High		6,03	}			7、34	ļ		
Mid	<b>j</b>	4.75	ŀ			6.23	]	]	
Low		3.60		1		5.19		1	1
		l	1	l i		L	J	i	



#### SMALL CITIES

	GRADE S	3					REA	DING	
•	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.30 4.37 3.78				7.21 6.22 5.54			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.23 4.38 3.66				7.19 6.29 5.36			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.23 4.42 4.01				7.24 6.30 5.63			:
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.79 4.60 3.60				7.53 6.40 5.24			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.31 4.80 3.40				7.78 6.19 4.81			
Total IQ High Mid Low		6.04 4.64 3.47				7.64 6.20 4.94			



# SMALL CITIES

GRADE	5
-------	---

L,	ANG	UAG	E
----	-----	-----	---

				_	LANGUAGE				
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.33 4.22 3.74		·		7.33 6.38 5.70			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.27 4.31 3.54				7.35 6.54 5.52			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.29 4.26 3.83				7.34 6.45 5.87			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.91 4.66 3.57				7.50 6.58 5.21			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.29 4.77 3.51				7.69 6.45 4.81			
Total IQ High Mid Low		6.03 4.58 3.45				7.58 6.42 4.98			



# SMALL CITIES

GRADE 5

LANGUAGE SUB-1 Spelling

	Spelling								
	Total		Number	Percent	Scale	_ '	Number	Percent	Scale
		P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P 75	Over	Above	Value
	Number	25	under	Guder	varue	7.5	over	Anna	verrie
			l	1 1	ĺ				İ
Father's Ed.	]		1	i i					
High		5.03	ļ	! !		7.44			
Mid		3.96	l	i		6.46			
Low			}	1			1		i
200	1	3.44	l	1		5.75			
Mother's Ed.			]	]		1			
			ţ				}	1	
High		4.99	l			7.51			
Mid		4.05	Ì	]	!	6.54			
Low		3.32	l	( (	:	5.46			
			}	1		J	l		
Father's Occp.			1	1	i		ŀ		
High		5.03	l	ļ i		7 / 5			
Mid			l	i l		7.45			
Low		3.93	ļ	1 1		6.55	1		
LOW		3.54		[ ]		6.02			
Non Verbal IQ			İ	ļ i		·			
High		5.60	Ì	1					i
Mid			l	l		7.65			
		4.38		1 1		6.73			
Low		3.21	}	)		5.39			
Verbal IQ			<b>!</b>						
High		6 12	1	]		7.01			
Mid	ľ	6.12	I	1		7.91	ł	l i	
		4.52	Į.	{		6.42	ļ		
Low		2.97	ļ	[		4.73			
Total IQ									
High		5 70	Ì			7.60		1	
		5.70	ļ			7.68			
Mid	Í	4.29	İ	]		6.55	ł	l i	
Low		3.09	i	1		5.12	}		
						_	L		



GRADE 5

LANGUAGE SUB-2 Capitalization

	Capitalization						<u> </u>		
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>7</sub> 5	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed.			}	1				1	1
High		4.9:	1	<u> </u>		- 40			
Mid		4.19	ł			7.43			1
Low		3.74	l '	i l		6.45			l
20**		3.74	ŀ			5.91		<b>!</b>	į
Mother's Ed.							}	ļ	
High		4.85	į.	1		_ , ,	l	i 1	
Mid		4.13	1	1 1		7.40	l	1	Ì
Low			ļ			6.52	1	1	1
20**		3.65				5.50	1		
Father's Occp.							i		
High		4.88				- 00		]	J
Mid		4.08	Í	1 1		7.38	ĺ	1	1
Low		3.92		1		6.55			
20#		3.92	1			5.92		!	
Non Verbal IQ									
High		5.64	Į.	Į į		7 70	l	İ	l
Mid		4.38	į.	<b>1</b>		7.70	ĺ		
Low		3.57	ľ	i l		6.56	l	1	
20"		3.07	l			5 <b>.3</b> 1			
Verbal IQ			]	1			1		
High		5.84	l .	l I		7 70	1		
Mid		4.49	i			7.79	1		
Low	}	3.47	ł	1	i	6.47	<b>{</b>	1	
LOW		3.4/		1		4.98	Ì	1	
Total IQ							}		
High		5.55	1	ţ		7.66	1		
Mid		4.29	1	1		7.66			
Low		3.42	İ	1	i	6.43			!
TOM	,	3,42	ļ	1.		5.20		L	



GRADE 5

LANGUAGE SUB-3
Punctuation

							Functu	acion	
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low Mother's Ed. High		5.14 4.34 3.66				7.41 6.63 6.02			
Mid Low		4.27 3.50				6.76 5.61			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.09 4.22 3.93				7.41 6.74 6.13		·	
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.96 4.71 3.58				7.83 6.67 5.43			
Verbal IQ High Mid <b>L</b> ow		6.13 4.59 3.43			·	7.93 6.58 5.20			
Total IQ High Mid Low		5.98 4.49 3.44				7.75 6.66 5.22			



GRADE 5

LANGUAGE SUB-4 Usage

								usage	
	Total Number	<sup>2</sup> 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
•									
Father's Ed.			l					1	
High	1	5.64	}	1		7.58	ł	1	
Mid		3.98				6.73	ļ	1	
Low		3.26		ł		5.83	j	1	
10"		3.20	ļ			5.03	[	1	
Mother's Ed.	ł						ł		
High		5.60	j			7.60	1		
Mid		4.09	1				į		
Low						6.97	1		
LOW	•	3.07		]		5.56	1	1	
Father's Occp.									
		5.68		]		7.60	ŀ	<u>i</u>	
High Mid	i			i i		7.60	ļ		
		4.28		ŀ		6.76	1		
Low		3.39	]	1		5.93		1	
Non Verbal IQ				1					
High		6.09		1		7.80	l		
Mid	Ìì	4.66	]	1 .		7.05	ļ	}	
Low		3.33		1 :		5.53	ł	j i	1
TOW		3,33	l	!		2.23	ĺ	]	
Verbal IQ			1	1			}	1	
High	}	6.49	}	1		8.03		1	
Mid		4.88		1		6.90	l		
Low	}	3.02	1	1	1	4.97	1	1	
FOM		3,02	1	1		4.9/	1	1	
Total IQ		Ì	1	!	ľ		1	1	
High	!	6.30	1	1		7.86		1	
Mid		4.76		1		6.85			
	]	3.03		1	1	5.19	1	i	
Low		3,03	1	l	[	3.19	l		



GRA	DE 5	

wo	RKSI	KT T	T.S.

				<del>, -</del>					
1	Total	-	Number	Percent	Scale	T.	Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Over	Above	Value
			<del>                                     </del>						
Father's Ed.			1	<b>i</b> j				)	·
High		5.28	!	{		7.09	[	ļ ļ	l l
Mid		4.51	1	i !			l		- 1
Low			j	) ]		6.18	}	1	ì
TOM		4.22		1		5.66	<b>,</b>	1	
Mother's Ed.			Į				į	1	
		- 0.	}					!!!	ŀ
High		5.34	Ì	]		7.16	1	1 1	1
Mid		4.59	İ	1		6.22	l	1	ļ
Low		4.06		[ [		5.34	[	'	l
Esthauta Ossa				i i			1		
Father's Occp.				) i			1	]	1
High		5.30	1			7.15	1	<b>!</b>	- 1
Mid		4.59	Ì	Į į	Į	6.20	ł	1 1	į
Low		4.30		j l		5.75	}	ļ	'
Non Verbal IQ				i i		:	<b> </b> *	[ ]	j
High		F 00	ì	1 1		7.00	Ì	1 1	1
Mid		5.89	<u> </u>	, ,		7.29	1	! !	
Low		4.82	ļ	! I		6.23	<b>[</b>	ļ [	ŧ
row		4.01	Ì	i i		5.07	ļ	! !	
Verbal IQ			1	1 1			)	}	j
High		6.10	}	1	1	7 /2	1	)	1
Mid			Į.	į į		7.43	ļ	[	
		4.92	ļ	ŀ		6.12	l	)	
Low		3.98	1			4.97	]		ì
Total IQ			}	1				1	
High		6.05	1	}		7.37	•	}	1
Mid		4.82	1	1		6.15	1	; 1	1
Low		4.00	1	]		4.98	1	, 1	1
TOM		7.00	1			4.70	1		
,									



GRADE 5

WORK SKILLS SUB-1 Map Reading

								b Keadin	
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Perce <b>n</b> t Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.38 4.75 4.30				7.00 6.31 5.82			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.38 4.67 4.18				7.00 6.26 5.65			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.37 4.62 4.27				7.00 6.43 5.85			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.81 4.95 3.99			·	7.36 6.15 5.30			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.98 4.82 3.96				7.37 6.32 5.23			
Total IQ High Mid Low		5.91 4.85 3.94				7.32 6.17 5.17			



GRADE 5

WORK SKILLS SUB-2 Reading Graphs & Tables

	Reading Graphs & Tables						les		
	Total	P <sub>25</sub>	Number	Percent	Scale	P <sub>75</sub>		Percent	
	Number	25	Under	Under	Value	/5	Over	Ahove	Value
			l					}	
Father's Ed.	]			)		-1			1
High	}	5.24	ſ			7.35	}		
Mid		4.27	ţ			6.25			
Low		3.93				5.75			
Mother's Ed.				1					
High		5.24		1		7.35	}	{	
Mid	[	4.34	l	1		6.30	ļ	[	
Low		3.76	Į			5.35	l	[	
			}	ļ į		3,03			
Father's Occp.				]					1
High		5.22	]	}		7.34	Ì		1
Mid		4.33	ì			6.28	1		1
Low	•	4.08	}	}		5.77		<b>[</b>	1
			ļ						
Non Verbal IQ	ĺ	•	l	Į į				į į	ļ
High		5.92	1	Ì		7.72			
Mi d		4.62	1	]		6.45	Ì	] i	Ì
Low		3.66				5.12	1	) 1	1
			l I	1			1	1	}
Verbal IQ	İ		İ	<b>,</b>				}	}
High		6.15	ļ	Į į		7.80	ļ		
Mid		4.54	}	Į į		6.30	]		i
Low		3.64	]			5.06	Ì	]	Ì
•								j i	
Total IQ			}				}		]
High		6.22	1			7.84	İ	<b>,</b>	İ
Mid		4.48	1		ļ l	6.29	ľ	(	
Low		3.67		1		5.16	1	[	
i		i '	ı	1			ŀ	1	



GRADE 5

WORK SKILLS SUB-3 Use of Reference Materials

							036	Or Were	terice Ha
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed.	1			1					
High		5.11		i l		7.06			
Mid	1	4.46	l			6.21	Ī		
Low		4.22				5.76	•		
Mother's Ed.			Ì						
High	1 1	5.13	ļ			7.07	•	1	
Mid	1 1	4.52	ļ	1		6.29	ŀ		
Low	1 1	4.14				5.54			
Father's Occp.									
High	1 1	5.07		1		7.07	1	į	
Mid	1	4.59	ļ	1		6.31	ł		
Low		4.23	1	l		5.85	ļ	ŀ	
	i i			ŀ		J. 0 J	l	ŀ	
Non Verbal IQ	1			1			l .	j i	
High	1	5.71		1		7.33	į		
Mid	1 1	4.72	Į.			6 <b>.3</b> 8	1		
Low		4.04	I			5.29	İ		
Verbal IQ				]			Ì		
High		6.03	}	ì		7.47	Į.	1	
Mid	1 1	4.76	1	1		6.21	1		
Low		<b>3.</b> 98		[		5.17			
Total IQ			1						-
High		5.85	1	1		7 <b>.3</b> 6	1	1	i
Mid	] ]	4.70	}		Į	6.23			
Low	1	4.00				5.18		1	
101/		7.00	1	1		5.10	1	1	



Α٣.	Tጥዝገ	NO.	ידת

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Num <b>b</b> er Over	Per <b>c</b> ent Aboye	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.13 4.43 4.10				6.55 5.89 5.44			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		4.97 4.48 3.96				6.50 5.94 5.33			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.13 4.48 4.18				6.56 5.91 5.59			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.62 4.67 4.02				6.78 5.95 5.12			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.72 4.70 3.95				6.84 5.85 5.01			
Total IQ High! Mid Low		5.62 4.73 3.96				6.75 5.96 5.03			



GRADE 5

MATH. SUB-1 Arithmetic Concepts

			_				Arı	thmetic	Concepts
	Total		Number	Percent	Scale	ł	Number	Percent	Scale
		P				P			Value
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P 75	Over	Above	varue
				1					!
Father's Ed.	!			1		i			1
High	!	5.32	ŀ	i i		6.80	i .		
Mid		4.64		<b>!</b>		6.20	i		
	ļ j		1			_	İ	1	i i
Low		4.17				5.67			
Mother's Ed.			}						
High		5.34	!			6.82		i I	1
Mid			l	]	ļ				
		4.73	]			6.26			1
Low		4.11				5.57			
Father's Occp.									
	·					6 00			
High	1	5.22	1	l i		6.83	]		
Mid		4.73	}	1		6.26	į		
Low		4.28	1			5.74			
N 47- 1 - 1 TO			}						
Non Verbal IQ			ļ				ļ.		
High		5.95		<u> </u>	j	7.05			i
Mid	ł	4.81	Į	]		6.30	:		1
Low		4.06		]		5.23	Ì	1	[
			Į			1	l	Į	
Verbal IQ	1			1			!		
High	]	6.07	}	1		7.23	i	1	
Mid	f	4.95	l	1			l		
				1		6.18	1		
Low		4.02	<b></b>			5.09			
Total IQ			1						
High		5.96		1		7.14	l		
			]				l	1	
Mid		4.89				6.18	l		!
Low		4.03				5.09	ŀ		
			1	f i	1	1	Ī	I	1



GRADE 5

MATH SUB-2 Arithmetic Problem Solving

								THE TE	ODECHI DO.
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Ahove	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		4.76 4.22 3.96				6.21 5.70 5.36			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		4.77 4.26 3.85				6.21 5.77 5.30			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		4.76 4.27 3.97				6.21 5.73 5.44			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.20 4.28 3.73	,			6.52 5.77 5.09			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.34 4.30 3.53				6.61 5.69 4.99			
Total IQ High Mid Low		5.15 4.44 3.53				6.45 5.71 5.00			



GRADE 5

COMPOSITE

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Perce <b>nt</b> Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.36 4.47 4.00				6.92 6.08 5.54			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.37 4.52 3.90				6.97 6.15 5.22		·	
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.30 4.50 4.17	·			6.94 6.15 5.62			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.90 4.78 3.88				7.20 6.21 5.09			·
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.26 4.92 3.80				7.35 6.07 4.72			
Total IQ High Mid Low		6.12 4.80 3.83				7.30 6.08 4.82			



Appendix H

Section II, Grade 5

Village Superintendencies Tables



### GRADE 5

#### VOCABULARY

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.39 4.78 4.13				7.06 6.19 5.90			
Mother's Ed. High Mid		5.41 4.76				7.09 6.39			
Low Father's Occp.		3.92			!	5.76			
High Mid Low		5.40 4.79 4.27				7.08 6.28 5.91			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.92 5.04 3.94				7.38 6.45 5.62			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.21 5.06 3.82				7.53 6.24 5.22			
Total IQ High Mid Low		6.05 5.05 3.74				7.48 6.42 5.29			



GRADE 5

READING

	Gradi.	•				READING				
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Ahove	Scale Value	
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.21 4.56 4.14				7.13 6.27 5.79				
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.27 4.58 4.05				7.30 6.39 5.60				
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.24 4.58 4.31				7.15 6.35 5.95				
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.82 4.94 3.87				7.61 6.55 5.41				
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.27 4.90 3.78				7.71 6.28 5.10				
Total IO High Mid Low		6.05 4.91 3.67				7.73 6.39 5.09				



GRADE 5

LANGUAGE

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
B1									_
Father's Ed.		<b>.</b>					ŀ		į
High Mid		5.42		ļ .		7.34	ľ		
Low		4.54				6.72		1	
LOW		3.98				6.13			
Mother's Ed.							İ		
High		5.52	Į	(		7.44	Į	[	1
Mid	{	4.67				6.79		1	
Low		3.83				5.90			
Cathaula Ogan								j l	j
Father's Occp. High		F 40	<b>\</b>			7	}		
Mid		5.43 4.64				7.43 6.82			:
Low		4.23	İ			6.24	1	]	
		4.23	ļ	}		0.24			
Non Verbal IQ			1	}					
High		6.07				7.76		1	
Mid		5.00	ł			6.80			
Low		3.85	ļ			5.58			
1 70									
Verbal IQ		6.36				7.84	1		
High Mid		5.08				6.73			
Low	1	3.68	1	1	'	5.09	1	}	
LOW	1	1 3.00				3.07			
Total IQ									
High		6.30				7.85	ł		
Mid		4.99				6.68	1	1	
Low		3.75			Ī	5.31	]		
	ì	,	1	1	i ·	1	I	1	



GRADE 5

LANGUAGE SUB-1 Spelling

						Spelling			
1	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Perce <b>nt</b> Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.05 4.24 3.76				7.53 6.74 5.99			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.07 4.37 3.57				7.44 6.77 5.83			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		4.99 4.32 4.05				7.44 6.75 6.22		÷	
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.71 4.56 3.57				7.91 6.85 5.71			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.03 4.74 3.41				8.03 6.72 5.21			
Total IQ High Mid Low		5.91 4.63 3.44				8.04 6.72 5.41			



GRADE 5

LANGUAGE SUB-2 Capitalization

								pilaiiza	C 1011
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Va <b>l</b> ue	P 75	Number Over	Perce <b>nt</b> Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed.									
High Mid		5.13 4.45				7.58 7.02			
Low		4.05				6.30			
Mother's Ed.									
High		5.16	ļ			7.59			
Mid Low	/	4.50		t l		7,12	ļ		ł
HOW		3.90	}			6.31			1
Father's Occp.			}						
High		5.29	}			7.59	Ì		
Mid Low		4.50		<b>i</b>		7.04			ĺ
TOM		4.19	{	]		6.57	ĺ	1	
Non Verbal IQ			 	1			ł		
High		5.90	ļ			7.98	ļ		
Mid		4.88	}			7.21	ļ		ł
Low		3.73		<u> </u>	1	5.64			
Verba <b>l</b> IQ			•		ĺ		ł		
High		6.18	į.			8.04	1		1
Mid		4.94	1			7.09	]		
Low		3.61	}	}		5.36	]		, ,
Total IQ			}						]
High		6.02				7.99	1		Ì
Mid		4.81	Ī	1		7.13	(		i
Low		3.52				5.35			



GRADE 5

LANGUAGE SUB-3 Punctuation

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.29 4.51 4.18				7.50 6.89 6.49			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.42 4.61 4.00				7.57 7.01 6.34			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.28 4.62 4.26				7.54 6.96 6.56			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.14 4.98 3.74				7.99 6.96 5.63			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.33 4.98. 3.77				8.07 7.04 5.49			
Total IQ - High Mid Low		6.20 4.89 3.56				8.02 6.88 5.53			



		_					
3-4	Scale Value						
LANGUAGE SUB-4 Usage	Percent Above						
LAN	Number Over						
	P <sub>75</sub>	7.57 6.87 5.96	7.63 6.98 5.81	7.56 6.94 6.33	7.86 7.10 5.92	8.07 7.09 5.31	7.94 7.02 5.33
	Scale Value			<b>\</b>			
	Percent Under						
	Number Under			-			
τ. 2	P <sub>25</sub>	5.70 4.48 3.61	5.82 4.64 3.60	5.79 4.63 4.02	6.16 5.05 3.62	6.55 5.25 3.21	6.41 5.08 3.27
GRADE 5	Total Number						
		Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



GRADE 5

WORK SKILLS

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
						Total Number
6.24 5.04 4.09	6.28 5.10 4.20	6.19 5.07 4.22	5.29 4.76 4.50	5.36 4.77 4.29	5.32 4.75 4.38	P <sub>25</sub>
						Number
						Percent Under
			·			Scale Value
7.53 6.43 5.22	7.50 6.38 5.25	7.57 6.54 5.43	7.15 6.43 5.89	7.16 6.46 5.68	7.11 6.35 5.89	P <sub>75</sub>
						Number
			<u>-</u>			Percent Ahove
						Scale Value



	Scale Value						
WORK SKILLS SUB-1 Map Reading	Percent Above						
WORK SKILLS S Map Reading	Number Over					<u> </u>	·,
,	P <sub>75</sub>	7.16 6.52 5.93	7.08 6.61 5.89	7.19 6.52 6.08	7.61 6.64 5.71	7.54 6.59 5.53	7.60
	Scale Value			S. 1		V	
!	Percent Under						
	Number Under						
GRADE 5	P <sub>25</sub>	5.36 4.84 4.55	5.33 4.87 4.50	5.31 4.82 4.53	5.97 4.99 4.42	6.05 5.04 4.33	6.11 4.98 4.20
GR.	Total Number	,					
	-	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ - High Mid Low

e,



	GRADE 5	
 Reading	W	
Grap	WORK SKILLS SUB-2	

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
			1			Total Number
6.34 4.92 3.65	6.31 5.05 3.72	6.27 5.06 3.99	5.29 4.68 4.11	5.38 4.57 3.83	5.30 4.66 4.17	P <sub>25</sub>
					•	Eumber Under
						Percent Under
						Scale Value
8.03 6.57 5.14	8.02 6.58 5.20	8.00 6.67 5.48	7.38 6.51 6.06	7.45 6.55 5.74	7.35 6.42 5.85	P <sub>75</sub>
			-	<del>-</del>		Number Over
						Percent Above
						Scale Value

			- <del></del>				·
3 erials	Scale Value						
WORK SKILLS SUB-3 of Reference Materials	Percent Above						
WORK SKI of Refer	Number Over					- <u>-</u>	
Use	P <sub>75</sub>	7.08 6.43 5.87	7.17 6.54 5.78	7.11 6.53 6.01	7.49 6.56 5.55	7.54 6.41 5.33	7.48 6.47 5.31
	Scale Value						
	Percent Under						
	Number Under				··		·
E 5	P <sub>25</sub>	5.26 4.67 4.30	5.26 4.72 4.33	5.28 4.74 4.44	5.96 5.02 4.06	6.15 5.05 4.03	6.08 4.93 3.93
GRADE 5	Total Number						
		Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



GRADE 5	
ARITHMETIC	

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
				7		Total Number
5.82 4.86 4.01	5.77 4.93 4.07	5.74 4.88 4.07	5.08 4.67 4.36	5.17 4.67 4.17	5.09 4.63 4.23	P <sub>25</sub>
						Number Under
						Percent Under
						Scale Value
6.88 6.01 5.14	6.85 6.07 5.13	6.88 6.14 5.27	6.43 6.10 5.64	6.42 6.15 5.52	6.41 6.06 5.58	P <sub>75</sub>
				<del></del>	<del></del>	Number Over
						Percent Above
						Scale Value

ADE 5	
GRA	

-	
ď	
5	
Ξ	
MAT	١
Σ	

į

							Arithm	Arithmetic Concepts	epts
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Number Percent Over Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed.		1				,			
high Mid		5.32 4.79				6.35			
Low		4.43				5.91			
Mother's Ed.			_						
High Mid		5.38				6.81			
Low		4.24				5.69			
Father's Occp.									
High		5,30				6.81			
Mid Low		4.83				6.40			
Or Verbal TO									
High		60.9				7.16			
Mid		5.14				6.48			-
Low		4.08				5.37			<del></del>
Verbal IQ		,							
High Mid		5.10		-		7.19 6.40			
Low		4.21				5.40			<del></del> -
Total IQ									<del>· =</del>
High		6.15 5.08			,	7.13			
Low		4.03			· .	5.27			



GRADE 5 MATH SUB-2
Arithmetic Problem Solving

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
						Total Number
5.39 4.55 3.70	5.36 4.55 3.59	5.27 4.61 3.78	4.91 4.37 4.18	4.96 4.33 3.79	4.92 4.29 3.99	P <sub>25</sub>
			- · · ·			Number Under
						Percent Under
						Scale Value
6.78 5.84 5.18	6.67 5.84 5.05	6.68 5.91 5.19	6.21 5.84 5.62	6.23 5.86 5.54	6.20 5.82 5.58	P <sub>75</sub>
						Number Over
						Percent Above
					1:	Scale Value



GRADE 5

COMPOSITE

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Ahove	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.35 4.66 4.25			***************************************	6.97 6.23 5.78			
Nother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.41 4.77 4.13				7.03 6.33 5.58			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.37 4.69 4.42				7.02 6.30 5.90			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.06 5.06 4.17				7.35 6.41 5.26			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.30 5.19 4.08				7.35 6.32 5.06			
Total IQ High Mid Low		6.24 5.04 4.03				7.36 6.27 5.06			



### Appendix I

Section II, Grade 5

Large kurals Tables



GRADE 5

VOCABULARY

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.19 4.74 4.00				6.88 6.19 5.75			-
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.25 4.58 3.92			<del>-</del>	6.87 6.25 5.57			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.39 4.65 4.18	-	/		7.04 6.31 5.92			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.68 5.04 3.88				7.33 5.63 5.37			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.25 5.10 3.71				7.46 6.27 5.04			
Total IQ High Mid Low		6.23 5.00 3.79				7.55 6.22 5.18			



GRADE 5	
READING	

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid 1.ow	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
						Total Number
6.22 4.90 3.76	6.40 5.02 3.79	5.76 4.91 3.85	5.32 4.69 4.26	5.12 4.69 3.97	5.25 4.68 4.15	P <sub>25</sub>
						Number Under
						Percent Under
						Scale Value
7.56 6.48 5.16	7.63 6.34 5.03	7.48 6.65 5.33	7.25 6.52 5.88	7.00 6.43 5.58	7.18 6.45 5.77	P <sub>75</sub>
			`			Number Over
						Percent Above
						Scale Value



GRADE 5

LANGUAGE

Scale				<del></del>		
		<del>-</del>	<del></del>	<del></del>		···
Percent Ahove						
Number Ower			·			
P <sub>75</sub>	7.45 6.85 5.98	7.38 6.82 5.87	7.41 6.88 6.29	7.68 7.09 5.63	7.73 6.93 5.20	7.82 6.98 5.28
Scale Value			•			
Percent Under						
Number Under						
P <sub>25</sub>	5.55 4.76 3.97	5.48 4.66 3.79	5.68 4.71 4.12	6.22 5.14 3.81	6.67 5.40 3.79	6.65 5.22 3.69
Total Number						
	Ed.	Ed.	Occp.	11 IQ	10	ợ.
	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



GRADE 5

LANGUAGE SUB-1

Spelling

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
						Total Number
6.22 4.83 3.47	6.39 5.02 3.39	5.67 4.70 3.70	5.08 4.45 3.88	5.05 4.32 3.65	5.02 4.38 3.80	P <sub>25</sub>
		- 1				Number Under
						Percent Under
						Scale Value
8.08 6.95 5.35	8.05 6.84 5.11	8.02 7.00 5.71	7.29 6.78 6.31	7.28 6.65 5.78	7.33 6.67 5.99	P <sub>75</sub>
						Number Over
						Percent Above
						Scale Value

GRADE 5

LANGUAGE SUB-2 Capitalization

ent Scale				·		
r Percent Ahove				<del> </del>		
Number Over						
P <sub>75</sub>	7.69 7.21 6.35	7.58 7.19 6.09	7.67 7.24 6.59	8.00 7.30 5.78	8.00 7.37 5.48	8.04
Scale Value						
Percent Under						
Number Under						
P <sub>25</sub>	5.15 4.60 3.92	5.15 4.52 3.69	5.34 4.59 4.05	6.59 5.05 3.79	6.49 5.12 3.76	6.54 5.14 3.61
Total Number						
	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid



JKAUE
U

LANGUAGE SUB-3
Punctuation

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
						Total Number
6.55 5.27 3.76	6.60 5.34 3.88	6.26 5.18 3.79	5.77 4.77 4.29	5.48 4.68 3.97	5.56 4.76 4.15	P <sub>25</sub>
						Number Under
						Percent Under
						Scale Value
8.12 7.35 5.52	8.09 7.26 5.50	8.00 7.36 5.83	7.66 7.13 6.66	7.47 7.07 6.17	7.56 7.12 6.32	P <sub>75</sub>
						Number Over
						Percent Ahove
						Scale Value





ď	
_	
ᅜ	
9	
٩	
$\alpha$	

	Scale Value					-	.,
<b>a</b>	Percent Above						
Usage	Number Over					100 m	
	P <sub>75</sub>	7.64 7.02 5.79	7.60 6.91 5.63	7.81 7.03 6.28	7.80 7.24 5.59	7.91 7.05 5.04	7.82 7.08 5.20
	Scale Value						
	Percent Under					2160	
	Number Under	-				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	P <sub>25</sub>	5.80 4.67 3.50	5.70 4.54 3.50	6.01 4.56 3.75	6.08 5.03 3.37	6.51 5.10 3.42	6.72 4.96 3.38
	Total Number						
		Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



GRADE 5	
WORK SKILLS	

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
						Total Number
6.63 5.11 4.12	6.46 5.16 4.20	6.38 5.10 4.30	5.45 4.81 4.49	5.38 4.78 4.21	5.40 4.82 4.36	P <sub>25</sub>
						Number Under
						Percent Under
					7	Scale Value
7.66 6.48 5.20	7.53 6.50 5.25	7.57 6.62 5.40	7.23 6.55 5.96	7.06 6.44 5.68	7.16 6.54 5.76	P <sub>75</sub>
		•			•	Number Over
						Percent Ahove
						Scale Value



WORK SKILLS SUB-1 Map Reading

Scale Value	-				. ;	
Percent Above						
Number Over						
P <sub>75</sub>	7.33 6.71 5.88	7.25 6.60 5.77	7.39 6.77 6.27	7.98 6.83 5.55	7.94 6.81 5.45	7.91 6.71 5.48
Scale Value						
Percent Under						
Number Under						
P <sub>25</sub>	5.57 4.88 4.44	5.40 4.84 4.31	5.63 4.95 4.47	6.42 5.11 4.21	6.34 5.20 4.31	6.74 5.08 4.25
Total Number						
	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



LARGE RURALS

Total Q High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low		
						Total Number	G
9.63 7.28 5.87	9.45 7.20 5.87	9.36 7.63 6.10	8.19 7.22 6.50	8.40 7.34 6.08	8.09 7.27 6.31	P <sub>25</sub>	GRADE 8
						Number	
						Percent Under	
						Scale Value	
11.59 9.68 7.84	11.36 9.64 7.84	11.49 9.81 8.08	10.76 9.79 9.19	10.62 9.78 8.73	10.73 9.88 9.01	P <sub>75</sub>	Rea
						Number Over	WORK SKILLS
						Percent Above	WORK SKILLS SUB-2 ling Graphs & Tables
						Scale Value	.2 ıbles



GRADE	
5	

ARITHMETIC

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Nother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
						Total Number
6.05 5.01 3.94	5.95 4.94 4.06	5.76 4.97 4.01	5.22 4.74 4.46	5.16 4.79 4.23	5.15 4.71 4.24	P <sub>25</sub>
						Number Under
						Percent Under
						Scale Value
7.15 6.14 5.13	7.02 6.18 5.20	7.03 6.19 5.21	6.58 6.19 5.89	6.47 6.21 5.63	6.56 6.18 5.68	P <sub>75</sub>
						Number Over
				, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		Percent Ahove
						Scale Value



apts	Scale Value		-				
MATH SUB-1 Arithmetic Concepts	Percent Above						
MATH Arithme	Number Over						
	P <sub>75</sub>	6.83 6.42 5.97	6.75 6.47 5.99	6.81 6.49 6.13	7.29 6.51 5.35	7.22 6.49 5.38	7.37 6.43 5.22
	Scale Value						
:	Percent Under						
	Number Under						
E 5	P <sub>25</sub>	5.35 4.90 4.45	5.39 4.97 4.32	5.47 4.95 4.57	6.22 5.23 4.17	6.01 5.11 4.24	6.35 5.19 4.14
GRADE	Total Number					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



LARGE RURAL

MATH SUB-2
Arithmetic Problem Solving

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High did Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
						Total Number
5.71 4.79 3.56	5.68 4.68 3.69	5.51 4.71 3.56	4.91 4.60 4.23	5.02 4.43 4.18	5.04 4.60 3.93	P <sub>25</sub>
		-	•			Number Under
						Percent Under
						Scale Value
7.07 6.05 5.20	6.96 6.08 5.13	6.57 6.04 5.28	6.33 5.98 5.72	6.27 5.91 5.51	6.24 5.96 5.68	P <sub>75</sub>
	-	_				Number Over
				_		Percent Above
						Scale Value



COMPOSITE

P Number Percent Over Above	6.97 6.37 5.65	6.91 6,34 5.58	7.00 6.42 5.87	7.38 6.57 5.22	7.34 6.37 4.89	7.45 6.34 4.98
Scale P	ပပ က	o o in	7.0.0	7.9.6	7 9 4	7, 4
Percent Under						
Number Under						
P <sub>25</sub>	5.36 4.78 4.22	5.34 4.69 4.12	5.48 4.78 4.36	6.08 5.10 4.09	6,49 5,29 4,01	6.53 5.15 4.01
Total Number						
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			- <del>-</del>	



Appendix J

Section II, Grade 5
Small Rurals Tables



GRADE 5

VOCABULARY

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		5.30 4.43 3.70		,		7.01 6.27 5.52			
Nother's Ed. High Mid Low		5.25 4.33 3.75				6.97 6.12 5.60			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.18 4.41 3.91				7.06 6.26 5.68			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.00 4.63 3.57				7.41 6.31 5.08			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.24 5.03 3.48				7.48 6.22 4.79			
Total IQ High Mid Low		6.14 4.79 3.56				7.41 6.23 4.96			



GRADE 5

READING

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed, High Mid Low	
						Total Number
6.05 4.84 3.48	6.12 4.94 3.52	5.95 4.77 3.55	4.96 4.39 4.01	5.06 4.30 3.86	5.15 4.38 3.82	P <sub>25</sub>
·					•	Number Under
						Percent Under
						Scale Value
7.71 6.43 4.88	7.68 6.24 4.80	7.59 6.33 5.03	7.12 6.26 5.83	7.18 6.21 5.54	7.21 6.35 5.50	P <sub>75</sub>
						Number Over
						Percent Above
						Scale Value



GRADE 5

LANGUAGE

Scale Value				· ——		
Percent Above						
Number Over						
P <sub>75</sub>	7.39 6.59 5.53	7.50 6.38 5.64	7.40 6.55 5.84	7.82 6.59 4.96	7.82 6.60 4.54	7.79 6.66 4.80
Scale Value					, . <del></del>	
Percent Under						
Number Under						
P <sub>25</sub>	5.31 4.18 3.70	5.26 4.11 3.69	4.81 4.24 3.81	6.28 4.51 3.48	6.37 4.94 3.43	6.36 4.71 3.42
Total Number						
	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Nother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



GRADE 5

5

LANGUAGE SUB-1 Spelling

								C	
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		4.99 4.07 3.47	_			7.61 6.61 5.70			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Lov		4.83 3.89 3.46				7.49 6.39 5.84			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		4.71 3.95 3.56				7.67 6.63 5.86			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		5.94 4.20 3.18				8.02 6.73 5.21			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		6.28 4.67 3.07				8.21 6.66 4.70			
Total IQ High Mid Low		5.92 4.43 3.20				7.88 6.81 5.00			



ď	1
Ë	
2RA	
_	•

01 -4	Scale Value						
LANGUAGE SUB-2 Capitalization	Percent Above						
LANGU Capit	Number Over						
	P <sub>75</sub>	7.66 6.68 5.62	7.60 6.44 5.72	7.60 6.77 5.85	8.07 6.81 5.12	7.89 7.00 4.77	7.96 6.95 4.93
	Scale Value			_	-		
;	Percent Under						
	Number Under						
DE 5	P <sub>25</sub>		4.86 4.08 3.80	4.70 4.17 3.80	6.14 4.42 3.42	5.96 4.71 3.33	5.92 4.53 3.41
GRADE	Total Number						
		Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



GRADE 5 LANGUAGE SUB-3
Punctuation

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
						Total Number
6.23 4.69 3.43	6.26 4.88 3.39	6.20 4.52 3.58	4.69 4.37 3.93	5.04 4.14 3.80	5.12 4.25 3.68	P <sub>25</sub>
						Number Under
						Percent Under
						Scale Value
7.93 6.94 5.23	7.89 7.02 5.04	8.03 6.76 5.35	7.47 6.82 6.03	7.61 6.59 6.12	7.55 6.80 6.00	P <sub>75</sub>
						Number Over
						Percent Above
						Scale Value



LANGUAGE SUB-4 Usage

5	
FF.	
2	

Number Percent Scale Over Above Value						
P <sub>75</sub> Ov	7.59 6.85 5.49	7.69 6.66 5.69	7.58 6.83 5.89	7.94 7.00 5.07	7.95 6.92 4.63	8.00
Scale Value						
Percent Under						
Number Under				<del></del>		
P <sub>25</sub>	5.51 3.99 3.27	5.62 3.88 3.20	5.23 4.12 3.38	6.42 4.41 3.05	6.58 4.89 2.94	6.49
Total Number						
	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid



GRADE 5

WORK	
SKILLS	

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbaı IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
						Total Number
6.21 4.95 4.08	6.27 5.04 4.03	6.23 4.91 4.09	5.06 4.57 4.26	5.20 4.54 4.18	5.31 4.65 4.21	P <sub>25</sub>
						Number Under
						Percent Under
						Scale Value
7.40 6.26 5.08	7.30 6.25 4.95	7.45 6.17 5.01	6.93 6.15 5.80	6.96 6.08 5.62	6.90 6.22 5.46	P <sub>75</sub>
						Number Over
						Percent Above
						Scale Value



	Scale Value						
SUB-1 E	Percent Above						
WORKSKILLS SUB-1 Map Reading	Number Over						
WOR	P <sub>75</sub>	6.94 6.39 5.66	6.90 6.19 5.75	6.95 6.17 5.87	7.32 6.10 5.17	7.25 6.26 5.31	7.37 6.18 5.37
	Scale Value				• • • •		
	Percent Under						
	Number Under						
10	P <sub>25</sub>	5.31 4.67 4.25	5.07 4.52 4.21	5.09 4.60 4.36	6.02 4.85 3.92	5.81 5.04 3.92	5.90 4.92 4.02
GRADE 5	Total Number				_	<u> </u>	
		Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



WORKSKIILS SUB-2 Reading Graphs & Tables

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
						Total Number
6.28 4.84 3.58	6.29 4.93 3.54	6.38 4.89 3.75	5.05 4.22 3.98	5.10 4.21 3.90	5.26 4.45 3.87	P <sub>25</sub>
	V		11-			Number Under
						Fercent Under
						Scale Value
7.81 6.44 5.09	7.71 6.37 5.24	7.78 6.27 5.18	7.26 6.35 5.95	7.18 6.28 5.68	7.16 6.28 5.63	P <sub>75</sub>
						Number Over
						Percent Above
						Scale Value

ø	Scale Value	<del></del>		<del></del>			
WORKSKILLS SUB-3 of Reference Materials	Percent Sc Above Ve						
WORKSKILLS SUB-3 of Reference Mát	Number   E						
jo əs <u>n</u> Ose of	P <sub>75</sub>	6.98 6.23 5.65	6.92 6.18 5.74	6.91 6.22 5.87	7.39 6.39 5.28	7.40 6.33 5.06	7.46 6.45 5.24
	Scale Value						
	Percent Under						
	Number Under						
	P <sub>25</sub>	5.17 4.59 4.21	5.10 4.54 4.21	4.96 4.62 4.18	5.88 4.87 4.02	5.99 4.97 3.91	5.86 4.94 4.03
GRADE 5	Total Number					<u> </u>	
•		Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low		
						Total Number	GRADE 5
5.67 4.66 3.88	5.77 4.76 3.94	5.93 4.63 3.87	4.84 4.46 4.05	4.90 4.34 3.96	4.90 4.38 3.97	P <sub>25</sub>	
						Number Under	
						Percent Under	
						Scale Value	
6.96 5.97 4.97	6.94 6.04 4.88	7.19 6.03 4.96	6.44 6.00 5.52	6.50 5.89 5.47	6.44 5.91 5.47	P <sub>75</sub>	
						Number Over	AI
						Percent Above	ARITHMETIC
						Scale Value	

					,		
-	Scale Value						
MATH SUB-1 Arithmetic Concepts	Percent Above						
MATH SUB-1 rithmetic (	Number Over				<u>-</u> 4		
Ar	P <sub>75</sub>	6.68 6.17 5.54	6.72 6.07 5.54	6.64 6.24 5.70	7.44 6.28 5.11	7.17 6.27 5.16	7.38 6.31 5.10
	Scale Value						
	Percent Under						
	Number Under						
GRADE 5	P <sub>25</sub>	5.10 4.63 4.08	5.00 4.48 4.17	4.99 4.48 4.23	6.07 4.86 3.95	5.96 4.82 3.96	6.08 4.90 3.84
GRAI	Total Number						-
		Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Nother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



GRADE 5

MATH SUB-2 Arithmetic Problem Solving

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Lov	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
						Total Number
5.33 4.31 3.60	5.50 4.51 3.87	5.50 4.28 3.75	4.51 4.26 3.87	4.63 4.20 3.73	4.80 4.13 3.83	P <sub>25</sub>
						Number Under
			<del>-</del>			Percent Under
						Scale Value
6.97 5.81 5.03	7.00 5.93 4.97	7.01 5.90 5.12	6.31 5.82 5.53	6.20 5.75 5.48	6.18 5.85 5.48	P <sub>75</sub>
						Number 5 Over
						Percent Scale Above Value
						Scale Value



COMPOSITE	Number Percent Over Above						
	P <sub>75</sub>	6.8 <b>5</b> 6.15 5.39	6.95 6.02 5.42	6.90 6.09 5.65	7.42 6.17 4.93	7.38 6.17 4.65	7.41
	Scale Value			•			
	Percent Under						-
	Number Under						
	P <sub>25</sub>	5.25 4.48 4.02	5.17 4.38 4.04	5.11 4.47 4.06	6.17 4.79 3.85	6.22 5.00 3.83	6.20
GRADE 5	Total Number						
		Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid



Appendix K

Section II, Grade 8

Total Sample Tables

VOCABULARY

	Total Number	P 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
All Students Boys Girls		7.36 7.36 7.35				9.63 9.66 9.59			
Father's Ed. High Nid		8.34 7.37 6.61				10.55 9.48 8.80			
Nother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.38 7.37 6.21				10.59 9.51 8.79			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.34 7.38 6.70				10.53 9.58 9.05			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		8.87 7.73 6.21				10.85 9.55 8.45			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.31 7.82 5.73				11.17 9.36 8.04			
Total IQ High Mid S.75 Low		9.29 7.77 5.75				11.18 9.41 8.14			



READING	

Low	Mid	Total IQ	Low	Mid	High	Verbal IQ	Low	Mid	High	Non Verbal IQ	Low	Mid	High	Father's Occp.	t	Tow	High	Mother's Ed.	EC .	100	N: 7	rather's Ed.		Girls	Boys	All Students	
																											Total Number
5.76	7.54	5	5.85	7.60	9.48		5.97	7.45	9.02		6.39	7.11	8.20		01,0	6 16	8.33		76.0	3 0	7 00	•		7.16	6.83	6.98	P 25
								_					_									-				_	Number Under
																											Percent Under
																											Scale Value
7.71	9.41		7.66	9.33	11.06		7.98	9.50	10.83		8.83	9.49	10.52		α.υ	9.52	10.52		<b>∞.6</b> 3	9.45	10.53		•	9.71	9.50	9.61	P 75
																											Number Over
																											Percent Above
																							_				Scale Value

LANGUAGE

P Number Percent 25 Under Under
6.78 6.22 7.37
8.07 6.80 6.06
8.14 6.82 5.88
8.08 6.79 6.20
8.92 7.19 5.57
9.33 7.43 5.48
9.38 7.34 5.43



LANGUAGE SUB-1 Spelling

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	All Students Boys Girls	
							Total Number
9.19 7.08 5.32	9.22 7.20 5.27	8.49 6.94 5.49	7.85 6.75 6.13	7.92 6.70 5.91	7.80 6.76 5.99	6.60 6.09 7.39	P 25
							Number Under
							Percent Under
							Scale Value
11.32 9.82 7.97	11.30 9.64 7.57	10.93 9.90 8.32	10.76 9.91 9.34	10.78 9.90 9.07	10.77 9.93 9.14	9.97 9.40 10.44	P 75
							Number Over
							Percent Above
							Scale Value



$\infty$
뙫
8
똕

LANGUAGE SUB-2 Capitalization



LANGUAGE SUB-3
Punctuation

Total IQ 9.20 High 6.78 Mid 6.78 Low 4.72	Verbal IQ 9.12 High 9.12 Mid 6.88 Low 4.84	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low  8.84 6.89 4.82	Father's Occp. 7.68 High 6.33 Mid 6.33 Low 5.74	Mother's Ed. 7.73 High 6.38 Mid 6.38 Low 5.32	Father's Ed. 7.67 High 6.30 Mid 6.35 Low 5.55	All Students 6.21 Boys 5.68 Girls 7.02	Total P Number 25 Ur
							Number Percent Under Under
							Scale Value
11.33 9.72 7.68	11.34 9.74 7.68	11.23 9.80 8.09	10.71 9.84 9.20	10.77 9.67 8.95	10.73 9.81 9.07	9.90 9.40 10.19	P 75
		<del>-</del>					Number Over
							Percent Above
							Scale Value



LANGUAGE SUB-4 Usage

	Total Number	P 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Students Boys Girls		6.44 6.10 6.86				9.90 9.56 10.28			
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		7.99 6.61 5.63				10.93 9.82 8.99			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.19 6.51 5.41				11.03 9.80 8.80			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.00 6.64 5.82				10.91 9.87 8.98			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		8.77 6.97 5.43				11;25 10,11 7,83			
10		9.17 7.05 5.21				11.35 9.90 7.74			(**************************************
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.36 6.95 5.02				11.38 9.89 7.75			



WORKSKILLS

		7.95				6.10		Low
		11.12 9.57				9.69 7.81		High Mid
								Total IQ
		8.02				6.22		Low
,		9.58			-	7.84		Wid
		0				9_54		High
					-			
		8.07				6.27		Low
		9.65				7.82		Miď
		2		_	_	。 〉		Non Verbal IQ High
		9.09				6.69		
	_	9.66				7.34		no 1
		10.57				8,40		High
		•		•				Father's Occp.
		8.81	-		-	6.44		Low
		9.73				7.38		Mid.
		-	-			8		Mother's Ed. High
		8.93		-		6.55		MOT
_	_	9.62			_	7.33		Mid
_		; ;				0 ၁ ၈		High
		9.73				7.35		Girls
		9.71			_	7.18 7.08		All Students Boys
Above Value	Over	, 75	Value	Under	Under	25	Number	
Percent Scale	Number	ש	Scale	Percent	Number	ъ	Tota1	

All Students

Girls Boys

Father's Ed.

High Mid Low

Mother's Ed.

High Mid Low

Scale Value WORK SKILLS SUB-1 Mag Reading Percent Above Number Over 10.57 9.56 9.01 10.42 9.55 9.15 P 75 11.06 9.63 8.48 9.64 9.73 9.49 10.50 9.57 9.13 10.96 9.56 8.53 11.03 9.61 8.42 Scale Value Percent Under Number Under P 25 7.19 7.25 7.14 8.32 7.29 6.44 8.32 7.31 6.27 9.13 7.79 6.20 8.29 7.31 6.67 9.31 7.74 6.09 9.33 7.85 5.93 GRADE 8 Total Number

Father's Occp. High Mid Low

Non Verbal 1Q

High Mid Low

Verbal IQ

High Mid Low

Total IQ

High Mid Low



GRADE 8 WORK SKILLS SUB-2
Reading Graphs & Tables



WORK SKILLS SUB-3 Use of Reference Materials

Scale Value							
Percent Above							
Number Over						and the second s	
P 75	10.15 9.82 10.29	10.75 10.05 9.27	10.82 10.05 9.10	10.82 10.00 9.41	11.17 10.10 8.44	11.28 9.87 8.24	11.32 9.89 8.22
Scale Value							
Percent Under							·
Number Under		·					
P 25	7.25 6.80 7.75	8.55 7.41 6.48	8.55 7.37 6.33	8.59 7.35 6.73	9.46 8.01 6.02	9.77 8.04 6.04	9.84 8.02 5.84
Total Number							
	AM Students Boys Girls	Father's Ed. High Mid	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	All Students Boys Girls	
							Total Number
9.19 7.55 6.33	9.07 7.61 6.36	8.95 7.59 6.36	8.07 7.29 6.79	8.13 7.21 6.58	7.97 7.26 6.70	7.18 7.09 7.22	P 25
							Number Under
							Percent Under
		·					Scale Value
10.57 9.31 8.09	10.57 9.38 8.08	10.59 9.42 8.15	10.14 9.49 8.87	10.22 9.44 8.68	10.09 9.46 8.84	9.50 9.54 9.44	P 75
							Number Over
							Percent Above
							Scale Value

					,		1	
	Scale Value							
B-1 Concepts	Percent Above		_					
MATH SUB-1 Arithmetic Concepts	Number Over							
Ari	P 75	9.98 10.12 9.87	10.84 9.89 9.22	10.89 9.93 8.92	10.81 9.94 9.28	11.37 9.82 8.34	11.28 9.82 8.38	11.34 9.78 8.11
	Scale Value			·				·
	Percent Under							
	Number Under							
GRADE 8	P 25	7.26 7.31 7.21	8.21 7.32 6.78	8.30 7.34 6.55	8.21 7.36 6.73	9.42 7.64 6.39	9.54 7.67 6.41	9.72 7.62 6.29
5	Total Number							
		All Students Boys Girls	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



GRADE 8

MATH SUB-2
Arithmetic Problem Solving

			0.07				7007		t
			9.12				5 84		Mid
		•	10.23				8.47		Total IQ High
		_	8.19				5.87		Low
	_		9.18				7.13	-	Miď
			10.13				8.39		Verbal IQ High
			6						
	_		9.24				5.94		Low
	_		9.95		·		8.45 7 10		High
						-			Non Verbal IQ
_			8.80				6.38		Low
			9.10				7.12		Mid
			9.80				7.53		Father's Occp. High
			8.68				6.18		Low
			9.85				7.52		High Mid
<u></u>									Mother's Ed.
		,	8.73				6.37		Low
			9.07				7.10		Mi G
			9.70				7.48		Father's Ed. High
			i						
			9 9	,			7.32		Girls
			9.14				7.00		All Students
Value	Above	Over	75	Value	Under	Under	25	Number	
Scale	Percent		ъ	Scale	Percent	Number	ы	Total	
6	0.00								

•	Scale Value							
COMPOSITE	Percent Above							
Ö	Number Over							
	P 75	9°58 9°45 9°66	10,39 9,45 8,71	10,45 9,48 8,56	10.32 9.47 8.84	10.73 9.46 7.87	10.81 9.24 7.62	10.84 9.25 7.64
	Scale Value							<u>.</u>
	Percent Under							
GRADE 8	Number Under							
	P 25	7.16 6.98 7.43	8.31 7.33 6.61	8.37 7.35 6.47	8.31 / 7.29 6.72	9.17 7.73 6.27	9.48 7.87 6.19	9.56 7.80 6.08
GR	Total Number							
		All Students Boys Girls	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



Appendix L

Section II, Grade 8

Large-Medium Cities Tables

	Scale Value			-		-	
VOCABULARY	Percent Above						
VOC.	Number Over						
	P <sub>75</sub>	10.44 9.45 8.82	10.51 9.47 8.84	10.45 9.49 8.85	* * *	* * *	* * *
	Scale Value						
	Percent Under						
	Number Under						
8	P <sub>25</sub>	8.33 7.42 6.37	8.45 7.30 6.25	8.45 7.43 6.75	* * *	* * *	* * *
GRADE	Total Number					_	
<b>T</b>		Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



GRADE 8

READING

	Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
							Total Number
	* * *	* * *	* * *	8.02 7.10 6.31	8.04 6.96 6.19	8.02 6.94 6.20	P <sub>25</sub>
		<u> </u>					Number Under
							Percent Under
							Scale Value
	* * *	* * *	* * *	10.44 9.47 8.67	10.43 9.38 8.55	10.44 9.29 8.52	P <sub>75</sub>
* In							Number Over
Insufficient data							Percent Above
nt data					72		Scale Value

7.92 Under Under Value P75 Over Above 6.67 6.75 8.80 8.80 7.96 6.77 8.80 8.80 7.10 6.77 8.95 8.95 8.95 8.95 8.80 8.95 8.80 8.95 8.80 8.95 8.80 8.95 8.95 8.95 8.95 8.95 8.95 8.95 8.95		GRADE 8		Nimbor	Percent	Scale		Number	TANGUAGE	Scale
10.63 9.55 8.80 10.67 9.61 10.69 9.71 8.95	1	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Over	Above	Value
10.67 9.61 8.80 10.69 9.71 8.95 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			7.92 6.67 5.98	·			10.63 9.55 8.80			
10.69 9.71 8.95			7.90 6.75 5.92				10.67 9.61 8.80			
**** ***			7.96 6.77 6.17				10.69 9.71 8.95			
			* * * *				* * * *	.·		
			* * *				* * *			
			4c -5c -3c		,		* * *			

ERIC

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low		
						Total Number	GRA
* * *	* * *	* * *	7.72 6.81 6.22	7.84 6.74 5.95	7.69 6.75 6.20	P <sub>25</sub>	GRADE 8
						Number Under	
						Percent Under	
·						Scale Value	
* * *	* * *	* * *	10.83 9.92 9.33	10.77 9.91 9.17	10.81 9.87 9.27	P <sub>75</sub>	
						Number Over	LANGU! Spe
						Percent Above	LANGUAGE SUB-1 Spelling
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Scale Value	

;



			·				
	Scale Value						
STJB-2 ition	Percent Above						
LANGUAGE SUB-2 Capitalization	Number Over						
2 5	P <sub>75</sub>	11.09 10.11 9.20	10.94 10.30 9.23	10.98 10.09 9.31	* * *	* * *	* * *
	Scale Value						
	Percent Under						-
	Number Under						
GRADE 8	P <sub>25</sub>	7.84 6.52 <b>5.</b> 83	7.95 6.64 5.84	7.98 6.62 6.14	* * *	* * *	* * *
GRAI	Total Number						
		Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low		
						Total Number	
* * *	* * *	* * *	7.67 6.53 5.48	7.55 6.21 5.12	7.72 6.31 5.17	P <sub>25</sub>	GRADE 8
						Number Under	
						Percent Under	
						Scale Value	
* * *	* * *	* * *	10.81 9.62 9.17	10.73 9.69 8.92	10.77 9.55 8.96	P <sub>75</sub>	
					_	Number Over	LANGUAG Punct
						Percent Above	LANGUAGE SUB-3 Punctuation
						Scale Value	

ĺ					<del> </del>		
	Scale Value						
LANGUAGE SUB-4 Usage	Percent Above						
LANGUAC	Number Over						
	P <sub>75</sub>	10.89 9.74 8.88	11.00 9.82 8.63	10.88 9.90 9.00	* * *	* * *	* * *
	Scale Value						
	Percent Under						
	Number Under						
GRADE 8	P <sub>25</sub>	7.76 6.46 5.46	7.95 6.47 5.55	7.84 6.57 5.65	* * *	* * *	* * *
æ	Total Number						
		Father's Ed. High Nid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



GRADE 8

WORKSKILLS

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High		8.14 7.18				10.53			
Low	•	6.34				8./6			
Mother's Ed.						1			
High		8.21 7.18				10.53			
Low		6.38	-			8.77			
Father's Occp.		9							
High Mid		8.26 7.21				10.51 9.59			
Low	_	6.53				8.88	•		
Non Verbal IQ		ţ.				F			
Mid		* :				* :			
Low	į	*			·	*			
Verbal IQ									
High		*				*			
Low		* *				* *			
Total IQ									
High		*				*			
Mid		*				*			
£0		*				*			



. . . .

 	Scale Value						
SKILLS SUB-1 Map Reading	Percent Above						
WORKSKILLS SUB-1 Map Reading	Number Over						
٠.	P <sub>75</sub>	10.29 9.40 8.91	10.45 9.42 8.85	10.41 9.46 9.03	* * *	* * *	* * *
	Scale Value						
	Percent Under						
	Number Under						
)E 8	P <sub>25</sub>	8.13 7.06 6.04	8.14 7.06 6.04	8.16 7.08 6.29	* * *	* * *	* * *
GRADE	Total Number						
		Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Nother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low



GRADE 8

œ

WORKSKILLS SUB-2 Reading Graphs & Tables

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Ed. High Mid Low	
						Total Number
* * *	* * *	* * *	8.21 7.16 6.43	8.16 7.01 6.34	7.98 7.04 6.32	P <sub>25</sub>
						Number
						Percent Under
						Scale Value
* * *	* * *	* * *	10.65 9.60 9,24	10.65 9.59 9.02	10.57 9.53 9.05	P <sub>75</sub>
						Number Over
						Number Percent Scale Over Above Value
						Scale Value

I.s							
JB-3 Materia	Scale Value						
WORKSKILLS SUB-3 Use of Reference Materials	Percent Above						
WORKS Jse of Re	Number Over						
	P <sub>75</sub>	10.78 9.92 8.96	10.88 9.94 8.96	10.73 9.93 9.15	* * *	* * *	* * *
	Scale Value						
	Percent Under						
	Number Under						
ω 	P <sub>25</sub>	8.38 7.30 6.21	8.38 7.24 6.46	8.37 7.16 6.59	* * *	* * *	* * *
GRADE 8	Total Number						
		Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Total IQ High Mid Low




LARGE-MEDIUM CITIES

Total IQ High Mid Low	Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	Father's Occp. High Mid Low	Mother's Ed. High Mid Lov	Father's Ed. High Mid Low		
						Total Number	GRADE 8
* * *	* * *	* * *	7.90 7.17 6.69	7.80 7.17 6.54	7.82 7.08 6.52	P <sub>25</sub>	
						Number Under	·
						Percent Under	
						Scale V. e	
* * *	* * *	* * *	10.14 9.35 8.69	10.03 9.36 8.60	10.02 9.25 8.67	P <sub>75</sub>	
						Number Over	AR
				, ,		Percent Above	ARITHMETIC
						Scale Value	

GRADE 8

ARITHMETIC

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed.									
High		7.82	1	i i		10 00		l i	
Mid		7.08		1		10.02 9.25			
Low		6.52	1	]		8.67	ł		
HOW.		0.52		1 1		0.07			
Mother's Ed.				1 1					
High		7.80	į			10.03	ļ		
Mid		7.17	ł			9.36	l	1 1	
Low		6.54	1	i i		8.60	<b>1</b>	1	
			į.	! !		3,33		Į į	
Father's Occp.				i		1			
High		7.90	)	1 1		10.14	ł	)	1
Mid		7.17	ĺ			9.35		į i	
Low		6.69		1		8.69	ŀ	1	
									ì
Non Verbal IQ			İ				•	1	
High		*				*		1 1	
Mid		*		]		*	ļ	1	
Low		*	]	1		*			
			ļ	{		į	Į.	į i	
Verbal IQ						Ï			
High		*	İ	j '		*	<u> </u>		
Mid		*	Į.	L i		*	l		
Low		*				*		1	
W- 4-1 TO			1						
Total IQ			ļ			١.			
High		*	1	1		*		<b>[</b>	
Mid		*				*	1	1	
Low		*				*	l	1	





GRADE 8

MATH SUB-1 Arith. Concepts

						111	1011	cepes	
	Total	P <sub>25</sub>	Number	Percent	Scale	P <sub>75</sub>	Number	Percent	
	Number	<sup>-</sup> 25	Under	Under	Value	75	Over	Above	Value
								1 1	<u> </u>
Father's Ed.			i	1 1				ł į	: I
High		8.09	ł	ţ .		10.77	Ì	1 !	i 1
Mid		7.02	ļ	]		9.67	}	1 1	
Low		6.49	1	<u> </u>		9.02	ļ	1 1	i 1
						7.02	ĺ		
Mother's Ed.			1				[	1	i 1
High	ļ	8.07	<u> </u>	1 1		10.74	ŀ	{	1
Mid		7.03	Ì	1		9.73	ì		i l
Low		6.48	ŀ			8.88	1	1	1
		0.40	Į	}		0.00	]		
Father's Occp.			l				Ì	1	
High		7.92	j	<u> </u>		10.72	1	<u> </u>	
Mrd	į.	7.15	1	i 1		9.76	i	į l	
Low	1	6.54	ļ	i '		9.00		į į	
		0.54	i .	f !		9.00	Ì	<b>{</b>	
Non Verbal IQ	1		1				ļ	j ,	i l
High	ł .	*	ł	l i		*	į	]	1
Mid		**		1		*			l i
Low	)	*	j	<b>)</b>	}	*	)	1	
	1	l "	ŀ	1	-	Î Î		1	
Verbal IQ	1	1	1	ļ.				}	
High	[	*	{	[	1	rk.	•	Ţ	•
Mid	1	*	İ	i	[	*	l .	1	1
Low		*	1	l .	ł	*	1	l .	
	1				}	"		}	
Total IQ	j	l	1	1	!		}	1	
High		*		1		*	1		į į
Mid "		*	}	j	i	*	1	1	
Low		*	i	1		*			



GRADE 8

MATH SUB-2 Arith. Problem Solving

							LICHT LI	oolem 50.	.ving
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed.	<b>!</b>			<b>i</b> !			}	1	
High	[ ]	7.23	Ţ			9.53	<b>{</b>		
Mid	1	6.87	ŀ			9.15	{	i i	
Low		6.37	ł			8.58	[		
Mother's Ed.				i i			ļ		
High		7.20	į	1		9.49	[		
Mid	<b>i</b> 1	6.63	1	1 1		8.96	i	i i	ł
Low	1	6.17	1	1		8.62		}	
	<b>(</b>	0.17	l	<u> </u>	i	0.02	1		1
Father's Occp.				[ ]			<u> </u>		
High	ł	7.33	ļ	i 1		9.56			
Mid		6.97	]	1		9.23	ļ	1	İ
Low		6.54	1	•		8.80			
Non Verbal IQ			}	i i					1
High		*	į	]		*		1 {	. [
Mid		*	1	i i		*	f ,		
Low		*	Ì	{		*		)	1
		-	]	1 '			·	l 1	1
Verbal IQ				]			1		
High	i	*	ί	[		*	i		
Mid		*	l			*	l		
Low		*				*	Ì		
Total IQ				l i					
High		*		]		*		[	
Mid	İ	*	}	1 .		*	Ì	] ]	ĺ
Low		*		1		*		j 1	· .
20"			l					1 (	



GRADE	8
-------	---

COMPOSITI	

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub> _	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
	1.0								
Father's Ed.				1 1					1
	·	8.19		! !		10.32	l		İ
High Mid		7.08				9.33	1	1	
		6.49				8.52	l	]	1
Low		0.49				0.32	1		
Mother's Ed.				1			ļ	]	
High		8.17	1	i		10.35	l		
Mid		7.17	ì	]		9.37	1	1	Ì
Low		6.50	ļ			8.57	}	ł	1
TOM	,	0.50		l I		1 0.57	1	į	]
Father's Occp.			1	1		ł			
High		8.20	I	ł	ì	10.38	ł		ŀ
Mid		7.22	ł	1	ļ	9.43	1	1	l }
Low	1	6.59	l	1	<u> </u>	8.61	1	i	1 1
LOW	1	0.59	Ì	1	Ì	1	1	1	1
Non Verbal IQ	ł		1					1	1 1
High	<b>{</b>	*	Į.	1	1	*	ì	1	!!
Mid	1	*	1	1	ł	*	Į.	j	i
Low	<b>,</b>	*	l	1	i	*	1		Ì
2011	1	1 "	1	1	ì	1	}	1	)
Verbal IQ		l		1	1			1	
High	1	*	ĺ	1	1	*	i	1	1
Mid	Į	*	1	1	1	*	1	I	
Low	ţ	*	1	1	1	*	ļ	i	1
		1	ł	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total IQ	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1
High	I	*		1	ľ	*	1	1	1
Mid	1	*	i	1		*	1	1	i
Low		*		1		*		1	1
	ļ	1	ł	1	1	1			



Appendix M
Section II, Grade 8
Small Cities Tables



260

## SMALL CITIES

GRADE 8

## VOCABULARY

Total P Number Percent Scale P Number Punder Under Value 75 Over	Above	17. 9
, <del></del>		Value
Father's Ed.		
High 8.53 10.69		1
Mid 7.44 9.40		
Low 6.70 8.78		
Mother's Ed.		
High 8.62 10.80		]
Mid 7.42 9.41		
Low 6.14 8.56		
Father's Occp.		
High 8.51 10.62		
Mid 7.40 9.47		İ
Low 6.91 9.08		
Non Verbal IQ		
High 8.83 10.84		
Mid 7.83 9.64		
Low 6.05 8.41		]
Verbal IQ		
High 9.36 11.35		İ
Mid 7.93 9.43		
Low 5.57 7.98		
Total IQ		1
High 9.27 11.29		
Mid 7.78 9.37		
Low 5.58 8.06		



റാ	A D	·E'	- 0
GΛ	AD	Ľ	c

READING

	GRADE 8	3					REA	DING	
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.34 7.13 6.24				10.69 9.53 8.67			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.53 7.13 6.03				10.75 9.52 8.47			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.43 7.19 6.42				10.63 9.56 8.89			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.02 7.55 5.92				10.94 9.58 7.96			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.57 7.72 5.76				11.09 9.37 7.57			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.54 7.59 5.72				11.09 9.35 7.59			



GRADE	8
-------	---

T 4	320		OB
$\mathbf{L}P$	ING	·UA	GE

·	Total		Number	Percent	Scale		Number	Percent	Scale
j	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P 75	Over	Above	Value
								1150 V.	
Father's Ed.							1		ļ
				<b>i</b> 1			(	[	ļ
High	i i	8.22		1 !		10.83	1		
Mid		6.79		<u> </u>		9.74	1	1 1	ł
Low		6.00				8.97	1		
Mother's Ed.				1				! 1	
			}				l	1	
High		8.25	l			10.79	İ	i i	ľ
Mid		6.83	ļ .	1		9.89	1		
Low		5.75		i i		8.58	l		
Father's Occp.			İ				ŀ		
High		8.15	ĺ	(		10.76	ĺ		
Mid			1			10.76	l	1 1	1
		6.82	Į	1		9.77	ł	1	}
Low		6.20	ļ			8.98	]		
Non Verbal IQ			į .						
High		8.83	1			11 00	]		
Mid			ĺ	(		11.00	i	1 1	ľ
		7.19	ļ			9.83	1		
Low		5.47	1			7.90			
Verbal IQ							1		
High		9.31	[	1		11 15	ŀ	i i	i
Mid			ì			11.15	1	}	1
		7.48	ł	1		9.70	I	1 i	
Low ·		5.33				7.35			
Total IQ				{	,		1		
High		9.37		1		11.16	l	1	•
Mid			Į.	[			l		1
		7.27	1	ł	i	9.60	l	[	l l
Low		5.30	l	}		7.40	j		
į (			L	1 1		L	L	1	L



GRADE 8

LANGUAGE SUB-1 Spelling

							P	erring	
	Total	D	Number	Percent	Scale	P	Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	P 25	Under	Under	Value	P 75	0ver	Above	Value
					_				
Father's Ed.				i l					
High		7.93				10.73		1	1
Mid		6.69		j		10.03			İ
Low		6.14				9.29			1
Mother's Ed.									
High		7.82	Ì	ì	j	10.71			ł
Mid		6.73							I
Low		5.86				10.05 9.03			
		2.00				9.03			
Father's Occp.				1					1
High		7.98	Į	1		10.61			1
Mid		6.71	!	İ		9.93		İ	
Low		6.19	,	]		9.28	!		
			•	]		7.20			
Non Verbal IQ		1		1 i					ì
High		8.41				10.92			İ
Mid		6.98				10.02			l
Low		5.68		<b>!</b>		8.46			ţ
				[					1
Verbal IQ				1		1	i '		i
High		9.17	· •	į i		11.34			i
Mid		7.27	Ì	]		9.84			
Low		5.32			·	7.47			
Total IQ			1						
High		9.13	1	]		11.25	ί	ļ i	
Mid		7.07	<b>[</b>	1		9.76			
Low		5.35	Ī			7.73	l		
20						,,,,			



GRADE 8

LANGUAGE SUB- 2 Capitalization

							Capitali	zation	
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.15 6.63 6.11				11.30 10.38 9.52			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		7.93 6.74 5.81		·		11.33 10.39 9.24			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.12 6.87 6.14				11.28 10.45 9.40			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		8.80 7.24 5.56				11.49 10.34 8.44			,
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.16 7.46 5.39				11.59 10.39 7.98			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.27 7.25 5.56				11.59 10.25 7.81			



GRADE 8

LANGUAGE SUB-3

	GRA	NDE O			Usage					
	i			-						
	Total	D	Number	Percent	Scale	p	Number	Percent		
,	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P 75	Over	Above	Value	
Father's Ed.	[		ł			i			į	
High	l i	8.14	1			11.02	l	ľ		
Mid		6.47	l			9.67	ľ	į		
Low		5.44				9.22				
Mother's Ed.										
High		7.91	1	1		11.00	<b>i</b>		i 1	
Mid		6.42	}	} 1		9.86	[			
Low	1	5.18	j	1 1		8.91		1		
			ì	} i					. ,	
Father's Occp.										
High		7.96	1	1 1		10.83	}	i i	1	
Mid		6.34	1	1 1		9.70	\	1	}	
Low		5.63	1			9.22	}			
Non Verbal IQ				<u> </u>						
High		8.77	1	[		11.19	ĺ			
Mid		6.66	1	1		9.74	ł,			
Low	į	4.71	ļ			8.03	·			
			<b>,</b>							
Verbal IQ		0.70	}	} i			1			
High		9.12	1	<b>!</b>		11.31	1	}		
Mid		6.94	Į.	<b>.</b>		9.73				
Low		4.58		<b>!</b>		7.47				
Total IQ			1	}			}			
High		9.23	1	]		11.31	1			
Mid		6.68		)		9.61	}			
Low		4.57	1	) )		7.44	}			
		1	i	, i			•	1		



GRADE 8

LANGUAGE SUB-4 Usage

			_					•	
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
					·				·
Father's Ed.	,	8.52	ļ	<b>,</b>			}		
High Mid	1	6.50	]			11.10	l		
Low	[	5.89	Í			10.01	1	!!	
LOW		3.89	Ì			8.97	Ì		
Mother's Ed.	}		i						
High	}	8.62				11.15	}	]	
Mid	j i	6.85	}	]		10.00	İ	1 1	
Low	1	5.58	•			8.58	1	1 1	
			1	ļ				1	
Father's Occp.	i i		i	•		ĺ	i		
High		8.37		1		11.10	ì	1 1	
Mid		6.91	1	!		10.01	1	}	
Low	]	5.94	ļ			9.09	]	]	
Non Verbal IQ	<u> </u>			]			}	]	
High	[	8.73	1	Ì		11.26		1	
Mid	í l	6.99		1		9.97 -	•	[ [	
Low		5.27		1		8.21			
		31-7	}	1		0.21	]		
Verbal IQ	Į į		}			ł	Į	]	
High		9.37	j	]		11.33		]	
Mid		7.28		1		9.85	1		
Low		5.11		(		7.73	İ	1	
W-4-1 TO						1	1		
Total IQ	{	0.40	1	l i		l	ł .		
High Mid		9.40 7.16		1		11.31		1	
mia Low	]	5.03	}	1		9.73	}		
FOM		J.03	ļ	]		7.75		i I	



GRADE 8

WORKSKILLS

	·	<del></del>				Γ-		<del></del>	
	Total	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent	Scale Value	P 75	Number	Percent	Scale Value
:	Number	25	under	Under	value	/3	Over	Above	value
Father's Ed.								!	
High		8.58				10.65	İ		
Mid		7.39				9.65	1		}
Low		6.55				8.99	<u> </u>	1	į
Mother's Ed.						Ì	İ		
High		8.64				10.71	ł		ļ
Mid		7.40				9.69	}	1	j
Low		6.47				8.78		1 1	ļ
Father's Occp.							Ì	1	Ì
High		8.51				10.61	l		ł
Mid		7.32				9.73		]	
Low		6.75				9.08	<b>[</b>		İ
Non Various TO			·	1			}	}	1
Non Verbal IQ High		9.34		1		11.01		1	}
Mid		7.79				9.62	]	1 1	1
Low		6.20	(			8.09	1	1	1
				]				ļ	-
Verbal IQ		9.52	}	<b>!</b>		11.02	1	1	- 1
High Mid		7.85		1	1	9.60		i i	
Low		6.11	j	1	ľ	7.96		1	j
			}	}			}		1
Total IQ							l		Ì
High		9.66	{	[		11.09	!		
Mid Low		7.83 6.03		]		9.46 7.82	}		
∵OM		0.05				7.02	1		



GRADE 8

WORK SKILLS SUB-1 Map Reading

							мар ке	aurng	
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.40 7.28 6.47				10.52 9.67 8.95			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.46 7.29 6.50				10.71 9.68 8.77			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.39 7.44 6.52				10.61 9.69 9.14			E
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.07 7.74 6.02				11.04 9.63 8.28			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.34 7.79 5.88				10.97 9.73 8.44			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.4i 7.81 5.87				11.13 9.44 8.10			



GRADE 8

WORK SKILLS SUB-2 Reading Graphs & Tables

	Total	P <sub>25</sub>	Number	Percent	Scale	P <sub>75</sub>		Percent	
	Number	25	Under	Under	Value	75	Over	Above	Value
Father's Ed.	]								
High		8.43		<b>i</b>		10.78			
Mid		6.81				9.86		1	ì
Low	1	6.28		(		9.03			[
	}	0.20		}		9.03		j	
Mother's £d.								į	
High	ļ .	8.47		{		10.85			
Mid	1	6.87		1		9.70		}	1
Low	1	5.93		t i		8.99		1 1	1
E-451- 0	}			}				j	1
Father's Occp.		0.00	}	1 1		/			1
High Mid		8.38		{		10.74		į	
Low		6.87		ł		9.68			
TOM		6.40		]		9.11		1	
Non Verbal IQ									
High		9.01		1		11.36			1
Mid		7.46		1 1		9.74		1	1
Low		6.06		, ,		8.06		( (	- 1
			}						
Verbal IQ			Į	l i				1 1	1
High		9.32	{	ļ ļ		11.36	1		j
Mid		7.12	1			9.73		}	
Low		5.96	}	i i		8.01	Ì		ì
Total IQ									}
		9.50	}	į l		., .,	l		į.
High Mid		7.43		[		11.61		{	ſ
Low		5.72	}			9.62	}		
FOM		2.12	Ì	]		7.84			



GRADE 8

WORK SKILLS SUB-3 Use of Reference Materials

						l l	DSG OT VE	rerence	Material
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
				1 -			<u> </u>		
Father's Ed.			l	1 1			l		1
High		8.81	Ì			10.85	ł		1
Mid	1		!	1			)		
Low		7.60	l		÷	10.07			
LOW		6.71				9.36			
Mother's Ed.			1	1					
			ì	!			i	}	
High		8.80	]	i i		10.94	i		
Mid		7.47	1	1		10.16		ĺ	
Low	f l	6.55	ļ	Ĭ i		9.10			
Fotheric Ocea			İ	1					
Father's Occp.			!	1			ł		
High	i	8.73	i	1		10.83	1		1
Mid		7.62				10.07	•		
Low		6.80		}		9.50			
New Weeks 1 TO		}							
Non Verbal IQ		1	1				ļ		
High	1	9.43	l			11.11	1		
Mid		7.87	İ	]		10.09			
Low		5.96		1		8.50			
			}	1		ł			
Verbal IQ				1			[	}	
High		9.74		4		11.28			
Mid		8.00			! :	9.93		1	
Low		5.84		1		8.31		}	
		l	ł						
Total IQ			Į	j l					
High		9.85	ļ	1		11.23	1		
Mid		7.98	1	1		9.82	]		
Low		5.77	1	1	Ĭ	8.21	į .	1	
		1	ł	1		· · · · ·	1	i	į



	GRADE 8	GRADE 8 ARITHMETIC								
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value	
Father's Ed. High Mid		8.33				10.20				
Low		7.31 6.73				9.47 8.91				
Mother's Ed, High Mid Low		8.36 7.34 6.63				10.27 9.55 8.75				
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.27 7.33 6.70				10.17 9.50 9.01				
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.07 7.59 6.31				10.50 9.32 8.26				
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.07 7.70 6.22				10.48 9.39 8.10				
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.19 7.07 6.13			·	10.59 9.28 8.01				



GRADE 8	1			MATH. SUB-1 Arith. Concepts					
Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>		Percent Above		
	8.58 7.46 6.66				10.95 9.99 9.28				
	8.71 7.42 6.59				11.01 10.10 9.06				
	8.54 7.47 6.91				10.92 10.17 9.37				
	9.63 7.80 6.30				11.27 9.93 8.46				
	9.68 7.78 6.34				11.27 9.93 8.42				
	9.74 7.75 6.19				11.33 9.86 8.22				



Father's Ed. High Mid Low

Mother's Ed. High Mid Low

Father's Occp. High Mid

Low

Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low

> Verbal IQ High Mid Low

Total IQ High Mid Low

GRADE
-------

MATH. SUB-2
Arith. Problem Solving

,							Arith.	Problem S	Solving
	Tota!		Number	Percent	Scale	_	Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P 75	Over	Above	Value
1	- Admire 2								
Father's Ed.			ļ					(	j
High		7.77	•	<u> </u>		9.64	<b>,</b>		
Mid		6.83	•	!		9.02	ļ	<b>i</b>	
Low		6.41	1	1		8.93	İ	1 1	
Low		0.41	1	•		0.93	1	j	
Mother's Ed.			]						i
High	l i	7.80	Ì	1 1		9.67	1	1	i
Mid		6.80		1		9.07	Ì	l i	
Low		6.33	ì	1		8.68	ļ	1 1	1
2011		0.33	ì	]		0.00		1 1	
Father's Occp.				[			]	<b>,</b>	
High		7.80	Į.	<b>!</b> !		9.57	Į.		
Mid		6.84	ł	1 1		9.09	<u> </u>	(	1
Low		6.07	Į.	[ ]		8.89	İ	i i	
		0,0,	1	<b>1</b>			İ	<b>!</b>	
Non Verbal IQ			1	1					1
High		8.40		)		10.10	]	}	ì
Mid		7.14	1	}		9.02	1	}	ì
Low		5.98		1		8.27	)	1 1	
			l	1			ļ	1	}
Verbal IQ			(	Į l			1	[	ĺ
High		8.37	ł	l .		10.03	ļ	<u> </u>	l l
Mid		7.37	l	l .	i	9.09	Į.		į
Low		5.62	ł	1		8.02	ŧ .	1 .	į
20"		3.02	i	Į į		0.02		<b>j</b> :	
Total IQ			1	{				1	
High		8.47	l	<b>f</b>		10.19		{ i	
Mid		7.21	1	1 :		8.99	}	1	1
Low		5.51	}	}		8.26	·	]	1
20"		J.J-	1	1		1	1	1	;



	GRADE 8				COMPOSITE					
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value	
Father's Ed. High		8.48				10.49				
Mid Low		7.31 6.53				9.46 8.74				
Mother's Ed. High		8.55				10.58				
Mid Low		7.37 6.30				9.55 8.53				
Father's Occp.		8.45				10.45	}			
Yow Wid		7.42 6.67				9.48 8.83				
Non Verbal IQ High		0.1/				10.74				
Mid Low		9.14 7.67 6.19				10.74 9.47 8.00				
Verbal IQ High		9.53			,	10.00				
Mid Low		7.89 6.05	•			10.82 9.29 7.61				
Total IQ										
High Mid Low		9.56 7.76 5.99				10.82 9.29 7.57				
Į			<u>i</u>	l			<u> </u>	1		



Appendix N

Section II, Grade 8

Village Superintendencies Tables



GRADE 8

# VOCABULARY

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
P-411- P4			l						
Father's Ed. High		8.44	1			10.62	i		
Mid		7.50		]		9.56			1
Low		6.66	<u> </u>	1		9.13		i i	
				1			1	1 1	
Mother's Ed.			ļ	}			Ì		
High	i !	8.54	}	1		10.68		{	
Mid		7.54		!		9.65	ĺ	1	j
Low		6.42		1 1		8.92	ł	1	1
Father's Occp.			ļ	i I				1	
High		8.41	ţ	ļ i		10.56	l	1	1
Mid	ļ	7.48	ì			9.62	1	}	1
Low		7.09	ĵ			9.17	1		
Non Verbal IQ							ļ		
High		8.89	j	Ţ I		10.80	}	i i	
Mid	ľ	7.79				9.61	ł	1 1	
Low		6.55		}		8.54	}	1	
					. •		j		
Verbal IQ			1				1		
High		9.33	i	1 1		10.99	ł	1 1	1
Mid		8.00				9.51	1		
Low		6.28				8.14		İ	
Total IQ			1				1		
High		9.27	Ī	[		11.01	[	1	
Mid		7.89				9.40	1	1	
Low	1	6.03		]		8.30	1		
20%							1		



	GRADE 8				READING				
	Total	ъ	Number	Percent	Scale	D	Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Over	Above	Value
							,		
Father's Ed.			1				1	1 1	
High		8.38		, ,		10.55	<u> </u>	1 1	
Mid	1	7.26		}		9.57	ĺ	1	i
Low		6.56	•			8.88	1		1
Mother's Ed.									]
High		8.42		(		10.72	İ	1	}
Mid		7.30				9.66	}	1	ŀ
Low	1	6.31		1		8.60	}	i i	j
			j			0.00	İ	1	ł
Father's Occp.				[ [			Ì	1 1	į
. High		8.38		( (		10.52	ł	! !	į
Mi d		7.22	}			9.61	ļ	} {	1
Low		6.75		1		8.97	}	1	
Non Verbal IQ			1				Į	1 1	1
High		8.93	İ	( (		10.00		1 1	ľ
Mid		7.61	ļ	!		10.92 9.53	1	1 1	{
Low		6.12	}	{		8.09	•	1	1
			1	1		0.09		1	j
Verbal IQ			<u> </u>	)				1 1	
High		9.42		}		11.10	Ì	1 1	1
Mid		7.66	ł	(		9.35	l	1 1	-
Low		6.06	[	1		7•75	ł	1	į
Total IQ			Ì				1	1	ŀ
High		9.41	l	[ ]		., , ,	l	!!!	ł
Mid		7.63		1		11.14	l	l l	Į
Low		5.94	ļ			9.40	ļ		Į.
DOW			Ĺ			7.71			



GRADE 8

LANGUAGE

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed.							ł	1 1	
High		8.06			i	10.74	1	]	
Mid		6.89				9.74	1	i i	
Low		6.13		]		8.93	!	]	ļ
Mother's Ed.							· ·		
High		8.18		ł i		10.78	i	1	
Mid		7.01		]		9.78	İ	1	
Low		5.80				8.58			[
Father's Occp.							İ	}	
High		8.05		}		10.61	ļ	]	
Mid		6.88		1		9.80	}	l	
Low		6.33				9.12	ł		
N				<u> </u>			j	]	
Non Verbal IQ		0.00				10.06	İ	1 1	
High		8.93		•		10.96	}	į l	
Mid		7.25		1 1		9.62		1 1	ļ
Low		5.69		ł i		8.01			
Verbal IQ			]			İ	l		
High		9.37		1		11.21		1	
Mid		7.36	ł			9.56	i	}	
Low		5.58				7.59			
T-4-1 TO			-				1		
Total IQ		9.37	1	1		11.11	ł	<b>}</b>	
High Mid		7.26				9.49			
Low		5.40	Í	1		7.49	1	į i	
TOM		2.40		1		(•49	l		



GRADE 8

LANGUAGE SUB-1 Spelling

						Spelling			
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		7.80 6.77 6.00		•		10.75 9.92 9.30			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		7.98 6.86 5.81				10.69 9.94 9.18			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		7.91 6.75 6.35				10.70 9.95 9.40			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		8.48 5.89 5.60			·	10.93 9.68 8.48			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.12 7.18 5.57				11.41 9.62 7.66			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.16 6.98 5.40				11.29 9.73 7.97			



GRADE 8

LANGUAGE SUB-2 Capitalization

•	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		7.86 6.87 6.19				11.15 10.44 9.36			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.13 6.99 6.04				11.23 10.31 9.36			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		7.93 6.98 6.44				11.12 10.33 9.56			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.09 7.34 5.56				11.44 10.29 8.47			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.59 7.46 5.69				11.55 10.28 8.18			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.61 7.24 5.31				11.59 10.11 8.08			



GRADE 8

LANGUAGE SUB-3 Punctuation

		<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			,	<del></del>	<u>.                                      </u>	
	Total	P	Number	Percent	Scale	P 75	Number	Percent	
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	75	Over	Above	Value
Father's Ed.	Ì								1
High		7.54				10.68	1		
Mid		6.42				9.58		, ,	
Low		5.44	ļ	1		8.95			
	}			ļ .			(	1	
Mother's Ed.			į				ł		
High		7.69				10.75	ļ	, ,	
Mid		6.40		<u> </u>		9.63	ł	{	
Low		5.51		{		8.82	{		
LOW		3,32	<u> </u>			0.02		{	1
Father's Occp.			<b>\</b>			•	}		
High		7.55	{	1		10.61	}	<u> </u>	
Mid		6.36	}	1		9.66	}	}	1
		5.79	l			9.07	1	<b>.</b> .	1
Low		2019		Ì		9.07	İ	1	
			1				<b>j</b>	i i	
Non Verbal IQ		8.59	<b>{</b>	) :		11.20	ŀ	j j	
High		6.74				9.69		1	
Mid				1			1	<b>.</b>	
Low		4.76		<b>i</b>		7.84		}	
			<b>\</b>	1			}	} ]	1
Verbal IQ			<b>\$</b>	1			ł	1	1
High		9.08	}	1		11.32	<b>{</b>		
Mid		6.92				9.74		!	
Low		4.90	}	1		7.70	<b>S</b>	1 1	
			1	}			1	1 1	
Total IQ			}	<b>i</b> i		1	<b>{</b>	1	
High		9.07	1	1		11.34	I	1 1	
Mid		6.69	1	1		9.64		}	
Low	l	4.57	}			7.40	<b>{</b>	1 1	
2011				i					



GRADE 8

LANGUAGE SUB-4 Usage

	·								
	Total	D	Number	Percent	Scale	D	Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Over	Above	Value
Father's Ed.	1		ł						
High	] [	7.92	[	ļ		10.90	ļ		
Mid		6.81		[		9.81			
Low		5.80				9.11			
Mother's Ed.			1	{					
High		0 00	1				1		
Mid		8.22		]		11.02			
Low		6.63	[	]		10.03			
DOM	1	5.58	į	1		8.87			
Father's Occp.			1	1			ł		
High		8.03	1			10.89	}		
Mid		6.76	ļ	j :		9.90	}	]	
Low		5.87	1	<b>i</b>		9.34	}		
			[						
Non Verbal IQ			İ					[	
High		8.72	ł	1		11.20	}	}	
Mid	]	6.93	ļ	)		9.90	}	<b>)</b>	
Low		5.59	]			8.00	ł	}	
Verbal IQ			1				ļ		
High	[	9.03	{	1				[	
Mid		7.07	ļ.	1		11.35	l		
Low		5.22	)	1		9.86	}	<b>.</b>	
DOM		5,22	j	}		7.65	}		
Total IQ			1						
High		9.08	{	<b>S</b>		11.31	1	(	
Mid		6.87	l	l		9.73	ł	!	
Low		4.98	j			7.65	ļ	1	
	1	1	Į.	ł		/.05	i		



GRADE 8

WORK SKILLS

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.44 7.46 6.80				10.69 9.71 9.13			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.57 7.53 6.58				10.71 9.79 8.93			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.53 7.47 6.99				10.60 9.79 9.30			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.40 7.83 6.41				11.10 9.66 8.18			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.58 7.95 6.41				11.14 9.65 8.17			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.74 7.85 6.23				11.18 9.62 7.97			



GRADE 8

WORK SKILLS SUB-1 Map Reading

							TIQD IV	eaurng	
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.31 7.56 6.83				10.52 9.82 9.27			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8,53 7,47 6,65				10.66 9.73 9.10			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.45 7.42 6.96				10.56 9.73 9.52			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.24 7.76 6.53				11.14 9.57 8.45			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.23 7.79 6.63				11.09 9.69 8.60			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.40 7.88 6.41				11.22 9.71 8.47			



GRADE 8

	GRADE C	•					WORK : Reading	SKILLS SU Graphs	JB-2 & Tables
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.34 7.19 6.40				10.75 9.69 9.09			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.20 7.40 6.37				10.91 9.85 8.95			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.14 7.28 6.69				10.76 9.81 9.50			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.18 7.26 5.45				11.51 9.69 8.52			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.37 7.31 5.71				11.42 9.62 8.38			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.42 7.25 5.80				11.72 9.68 8.14			



GRADE 8

WORK SKILLS SUB-3 Use of Reference Materials

							Use of R	eference	Materials
	Total	n .	Number	Percent	Scale		Number	Percent	Scale
İ	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Over	Above	Value
Father's Ed.			ŀ						
High		8.66	j	j		10.83	<u> </u>	j	<b>,</b>
Mid		7.62		ļļ		10.12	l	i l	
Low		6.78	1			9.53		1	
Mother's Ed.									
High		8.76	Ĭ	1 1		10.86	•	i i	' I
Mid		7.64		ļ l		10.26	ľ	! !	: 1
Low		6.58	ĺ	1		9.33	ĺ	1 1	Ì
D. Marita Oraș									
Father's Occp.			•	1				1 1	j
High Mid		8.71	ļ	j :		10.80	ļ	1 1	;
Low		7.50	1	}		10.21		{	
LOW		7.12	ļ			9.71	l	ļ i	
Non Verbal IQ			Ì				[		
High		9.54	1	1 ' !		11.21	i	1 1	' i
Mid		8.06			ĺ	10.13	ĺ	ł i	
Low		6.33	İ	İ		8.45		[ [	
Verbal IQ		}		}			}		
High		9.91				11.30	į		
Mid		8.00	1	}		10.07	ł	)	ı
Low		6.17		1		8.46	İ	1	
				1		f	ł	1	
Total IQ		l		1		ŀ	1		
High		10.09	1	1		11.24	Í		
Mid	i	7.97	1	1		10.06	1		
Low		6.13	1			8.31	1		



GRADE 8

ARITHMETIC

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.04 7.37 6.87				10.09 9.45 9.04			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.20 7.40 6.67				10.23 9.49 8.78			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.04 7.35 6.98				10.17 9.47 9.06			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		8.80 7.53 6.38				10.47 9.36 8.02			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		8.97 7.58 6.37				10.50 9.32 8.06	,		
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.12 7.52 6.28				10.58 9.23 7.84			



GRADE 8

MATH SUB-1 Arithmetic Concepts

						Ar	ithmetic	Concept	5
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.26 7.30 6.84				10.84 9.83 9.37			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.44 7.37 6.78				10.96 9.96 9.11			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.28 7.22 6.92				10.81 9.88 9.44			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.28 7.51 6.28				11.29 9.67 8.03			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.45 7.58 6.51				11.25 9.73 8.12			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.53 7.52 6.24				11.35 9.68 7.92			



GRADE 8

MATH SUB-2 Arithmetic Problem Solving

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		7.48 7.27 6.63		,		9.73 9.17 8.92	·		
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		7.67 7.27 6.39	•			9.92 9.11 8.83			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		7.55 6.88 6.85				9.75 9.25 9.06			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		8.41 7.24 5.97				9.86 9.23 8.37			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		8.38 7.09 5.97				10.16 9.17 8.28			
Total IQ High Mid Low		8.45 6.95 5.97				10.24 9.08 8.24			·



GRADE 8

COMPOSITE

	Total		Number	Percent	Scale	, D	Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P 75	Over	Above	Value
			<u> </u>						
Father's Ed.	<u> </u>		[					]	
High		8.38	Ì	<b>!</b>		10.42	ļ		
Mid	i	7.45	Į.	1		9.48		}	
Low	1	6.72				8.82			
Mother's Ed.									
High	i l	8.53				10.50	1		
Mid	i l	7.51	}			9.53			
Low		6.51	l	1		8.59	ļ		
			* *** **			0.09			
Father's Occp.			1	[					
High		8.45		i l		10.43	[	l	
Mid		7.45	<u> </u>	i i	ļ	9.55	1	1	
Low		6.93	į	i		8.99			
Non Verbal IQ				f i					
High		9.21	i	]	i	10.69	l		
Mid		7.71	[	[		9.38		1 1	
Low	1	6.39	l	Į.		7.95		i	
20.11		0.37	l	1 !		7.95		1	
Verbal IQ									
High		9.52	1	i i		10.85		i i	
Mid		7.84				9.30			
Low		6.37	1	1		7.72	İ		
Total IQ				]			1		
High		9.56				10.82	1	i i	
Mid		7.76	1	]		9.27			
Low		6.16				7.69	i		
			•			7	I .	. 1	



Appendix O
Section II, Grade 8
Large Rurals Tables



GRADE 8

#### VOCABULARY

i	Total		Number	Percent	Scale	ъ	Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Over	Above	Value
Father's Ed.							1		. [
High		8.35	1	1		10.41	ł	1 1	i
Mid		7.40		i i	:	9.52	l	1 1	l l
Low		6.65	ŀ	ł l		8.72	}	}	ŀ
20%		0.05	1			0.72	l	! !	1
Mother's Ed.			ł	l i			ł	1 1	· l
High		8.31				10 00	Ì	į l	1
Mid			1	ł i		10.23	l	}	
Low		7.32	1			9.48		1 1	
Low		6.24	ł	1		8.49	ł	1 1	
Eshbania Osan			1	1			İ	1 1	
Father's Occp.			ł				ļ	!!	
High		8.35		<b>l</b> 1		10.19	1	1 1	
Mid		7.20	j	1		9.39	Ì	}	
Low		6.89				9.01	i	ļ j	l
			ł				1	ł i	1
Non Verbal IQ		_	1					<b>!</b>	
High		8.94	ŀ	1		10.63	ł	}	Į
Mid		7.67	}			9.48	i	1 1	
Low		6.00		1		8.23	l	į į	l
	1		<b>\</b>	}			1		Į Į
Verbal IQ		1	ł	Į į				1 1	
High		9.33	1	1		10.82		1	
Mid		7.63	l	Į.		9.32	1		
Low		5.56	Į.	1		7.92	1	1	1
		}	1	ļ		ŀ	1		
Total IQ			ļ	Į.		1	ļ	]	ļ
High		9.34	i	ł		10.91	1	1	1
Mid		7.78	1	1		9.36	1	1	i i
Low		5.67	1	l		7.90	l	1	
		}	ł .	l .			<u>i</u>	<u> </u>	



# GRADE 8

# READING

	Tota1		Number	Percent	Scale	I ——	Number	Percent	Carla
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Over	Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed.									
High Mid		8.19 7.09				10.37			
Low		6.44				9.55			
						8.72			
Mother's Ed.								<u> </u>	ĺ
High Mid		8.34 7.06		j		10.40			
Low		6.14				9.45			
						8.45			ļ
Father's Occp.				İ				1	j
High Mid	i	8.35				10.35	- [	ł	ļ
Low	1	7.05 6.51			1	9.48		Ì	
	]	1		}	1	8.89	-	1	Ì
Non Verbal IQ	- 1			I	1			i	ŀ
High Mid	I	9.05 7.41	-		i	10.85		Į.	Ī
Low	1	5.92	İ		ŀ	9.49		1	1
	Į		1		ı	7.95			- 1
Verbal IQ	l		ĺ		ı		1	ŀ	
High Mid	i	9.43 7.45	- 1		ı	11.01	1	1	
Low	1	5.72			ł	9.27		l	
	1		į	İ	1	7.56		j	ł
Total IQ	ŀ		ļ	ł	i	j	]	ļ	
High Mid	1	9.48 7.42	I	1		10.96		i	į
Low	1	5.69	]	j	ŀ	9.31		İ	ļ
<u>L</u>						7.60	1	1	1



GRADE 8

# LANGUAGE

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
	Thomas	2.5	brider	onder	VA106	<u>'</u>	over	Aucove	-4200
Father's Ed.									j
High	1	8.28		<b>i</b> 1		10.69	ļ		i
Mid	1 1	7.00		i i		9.95	i		i
Low		6.13				9.07			
Mother's Ed.									
High	1	8.25		ļ j		10.81	l	i 1	
Mid	ł	6.96		1 1		9.81	}		i
Low		5.88		1		8.74			
Father's Occp.							İ		]
High	1	8.40	[	ļ į		10.69		1 1	í
Mid		6.92		}		9.81	j	1	j
Low		6.25				9.24	}		j
Non Verbal IQ									. 1
High		9.41		[		11.00	1	1 1	
Mid		7.27		<b>3</b> i		9.82	}	)	
Low		5.55				7.78		•	
V			1				{		1
Verbal IQ		0.46	Ì	1 1				l i	
High Mid		9.46	•			11.24			
Low		7.39 5.42	[	1		9.79	[	1	. !
LOW		3.42	ļ	<u> </u>		7.64		] ]	
Total IQ				]			]		
High		9.63				11.10	l		
Mid		7.47	ł			9.85	}	}	
Low		5.35		[		7.53	1		



GRADE 8

LANGUAGE SUB-1 Spelling

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed.									
High		7.64	ļ	i I		10.70	i		
Mid		6.72				9.82	ļ		
Low		5.88				9.19	l		
Mother's Ed.							}		
High		7.77		ł :		10.73	l		
Mid		6.59				9.72	Ì	l i	
Low		5.71				8.86	[		
Father's Occp.							Į.		
High		7.38				10.74	1	[ [	
Mid		6.66				9.89	1		
Low .		6.08				9.40			
Non Verbal IQ			ļ						
High	į	8.90				11.20			
Mid		6.87				9.89			
Low		5.33				8.06			
Verbal IQ								· ]	
High		9.30				11.36		i i	
Mid		7.17		ŀ		9.77			
Low		5.32				7.46			
Total IQ									
High		9.38			į	11.38			
Mid		7.17				9.83			
Low		5.11				7.57			İ



GRADE 8

LANGUAGE SUB-2 Capitalization

Total   Number   Percent   Scale   P   Number   Over   Above   Value   Pather's Ed.   High   Mid   Low   South   Sou	1									
Father's Ed.  High Mid Low  Mother's Ed.  High Mid Low  Mother's Ed.  High Mid Low  Mother's Cocp.  High Mid Low  Mother's Occp.  High Mid Low  Mother's Occp.  High Mid Low  Mother's Occp.  High Mid Low  Mother's Occp.  High Mid Low  Mother's Occp.  High Mid Low  Mother's Occp.  High Mid Low  Mother's Occp.  High Mid Low  Mother's Occp.  High Mid Total Low  Mother's Ed.  High M		Total	_	Number	Percent	Scale		Number	Percent	Scale
Father's Ed.  High Mid Low 6.41  Mother's Ed.  High Mid Low 5.94  Father's Occp.  High Mid Low 6.22  Non Verbal IQ High Mid T.710 Low 5.57  Verbal IQ High High High High High High High High			Pos				P <sub>75</sub>			. —
High Mid 7.18 11.06 10.56 9.86  Nother's Ed. High Mid 7.04 10.47 10.47 10.49 10.49 10.03  Non Verbal IQ High Mid 7.71 10.57 10.57 1.0w 5.57  Verbal IQ High 9.61  Wid 7.10 11.71	*	Mulliber	2.5	Officer	onder	Value	, ,	Over	Active	varue
High Mid 7.18 10.56 10.56 9.86  Mother's Ed. High Mid 7.04 10.47 10.47 10.49 10.49 10.03  Non Verbal IQ High Mid 7.71 10.57 10			l		1			ł		
Mid		]	i	ŀ	l			<b>.</b>		
Mid Low 6.41 10.56 9.86  Mother's Ed. High 8.23 11.16 10.47 10.47 10.47 10.47 10.47 10.49 10.49 10.03  Non Verbal IQ High 9.45 Mid 7.71 10.57 10	High		8.14	ſ			11.06	(		ľ
Low       6.41       9.86         Mother's Ed.       11.16         High       8.23         Mid       7.04         Low       5.94         Father's Occp.       11.11         High       8.28         Mid       7.10         Low       6.22         Non Verbal IQ       11.53         Mid       7.71         Low       5.57         Verbal IQ       10.57         High       9.61	Mid		7.18		]			]		
Mother's Ed.  High Mid Low  Father's Occp.  High Mid Low  Non Verbal IQ High Mid Tolo Low  Non Verbal IQ High Mid Tolo High Mid High	Low				i i			•		
High Mid 7.04 10.47 9.46  Father's Occp. High Mid 7.10 10.49 10.03  Non Verbal IQ High Mid 7.71 10.57 8.24  Verbal IQ High 9.61  Verbal IQ High 9.61	20		0.41	ł	í i		9.00	i	ł i	
High Mid 7.04 10.47 9.46  Father's Occp. High Mid 7.10 10.49 10.03  Non Verbal IQ High Mid 7.71 10.57 8.24  Verbal IQ High 9.61  Verbal IQ High 9.61	Notherle Ed				!				1	
Mid		1		1	1		·	1		
Low 5.94 9.46  Father's Occp.  High 8.28 11.11  Mid 7.10  Low 6.22 10.03  Non Verbal IQ  High 9.45  Mid 7.71  Low 5.57 11.53  No.57  Low 5.57 8.24  Verbal IQ  High 9.61 11.71				}	1			Ì	Į l	
Father's Occp.  High Mid Low 6.22  Non Verbal IQ High Mid 7.71 Low 9.45 7.71 Low 9.45 7.71 10.57 8.24  Verbal IQ High 9.61  11.71				l			10.47	1		
Father's Occp.  High Mid Low  Non Verbal IQ High Mid 7.71 Low  9.45 7.71 Low  Verbal IQ High 9.61  Father's Occp.  11.11 10.49 10.03  11.53 10.57 8.24	Low		5.94	ţ	j i		9.46	i	į į	
High Mid 7.10 10.49 10.03  Non Verbal IQ High 9.45 7.71 10.57 10.5				}	}			1	]	
High Mid 7.10 10.49 10.03  Non Verbal IQ High 9.45 7.71 10.57 10.5	Father's Occp.		İ	İ	1		1	1		
Mid			8 28	i	1		11 11	ł		
Low 6.22 10.03  Non Verbal IQ High 9.45 Mid 7.71 Low 5.57 11.53 Verbal IQ High 9.61 11.71								l	i i	
Non Verbal IQ  High  Mid  7.71  Low  Verbal IQ  High  9.61  Non Verbal IQ  11.53  10.57  8.24	-	i		ĺ	i :			î	1	
High 9.45 7.71 10.57 8.24 Verbal IQ High 9.61 11.71	LOW		0.22	1	] · :		10.03	}	! !	
High 9.45 7.71 10.57 8.24 Verbal IQ High 9.61 11.71	N V1 TO		İ	Į						
Mid 7.71 10.57 8.24 Verbal IQ 9.61 11.71	-			i				ł		
Low 5.57 8.24  Verbal IQ 8.61 11.71				ļ	1		11.53	ļ	]	
Low 5.57 8.24 Verbal IQ 9.61 11.71	Mid		7.71	l			10.57		1	
Verbal IQ High 9.61 11.71	Low		5.57	ł	1			ł	ł	
High 9.61 11.71				ļ						
High 9.61 11.71	Verbal IQ		Ī	1	1			{	(	
			0 61	]	1		11 71	1	!	
mid					1			ł		
	_			ł	1.	ł i		i	ł	
Low 5.37 8.16	row		5.37	1	,	]	8.16		]	
					ļ		i	<u> </u>		
Total IQ			ł	1	}			1	!	
High 9.67 111.58	High		9.67	1	ł		11.58	1	1	
Mid 7.62 10.52	Mid		7.62	í	1			1	1 1	
Low 5.36 8.21	Low			}	ł .	J 1		j	j	
5.21							0.21			



GRADE .	8
---------	---

LANGUAGE	SUB-3
Punctua	tion

	ı———	<del> </del>					Punctu	ation	
	Total		Number	Percent	Scale	-	Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P 75	Over	Above	Value
		-	<u> </u>						
Father's Ed.			1			l	•	i	
High	<b>!</b>	7.92	t			10.74			
Mid	i i	6,80	Į.			10.14	1	1	ı
Low		5.79				9.27			
W .1			ĺ					Ī	<b>\</b>
Mother's Ed.								( (	
High		8.06	1	`		10.93		]	3
Mid		6.58				10.01	•	1	}
Low		5.67				9.09			
Father's Occp.									1
High	1	8.11	}	]		10.88		1	1
Mid		6.57				10.03		<b>!</b>	1
Low		5.84	Ī			9.58			- [
									1
Non Verbal IQ			i	1	:			1	j
High		9.39		l 1		11.27			t
Mid		6.92		i		10.10		İ	I
Low		5.02				7.96			1
Verbal IQ									1
High		9.34				11 04			į
Mid		7.08		i i		11.24			i
Low		4.76				16.00		\ \ \	}
LOW		4.70				7.79			į
Total IQ	·								I
High	}	9.68				11.37			1
Mid		7.26			į	9.91			į
Low	1	4.81				7.78			į
·			1	1 1				1	,



GRADE 8

LANGUAGE SUB-4 Usage

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Perce <b>nt</b> Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.28 6.72 5.84	·			11.00 10.07 8.99			
Mother's <sup>E</sup> d. High Mid Low		8.10 6.71 5.36				11.13 10.03 8.61			
Father's Occp High Mid Low		8.41 6.59 5.93				11.01 10.21 9.19			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.32 7.19 5.32				11.16 10.02 7.95			·
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.23 7.20 5.19				11.37 9.92 7.52			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.73 7.21 5.22				11.26 10.03 7.50			



GRADE 8

WORK SKILLS

	T		I	Ī-		r	<del></del>		
	Total Number	F 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed.									
High Mid	Ţ	8.42 7.44	1	1		10.54		İ	
Low	1	6.84		]		9.70		l	i
Dow	]	0.04	ŀ			9.08	Ĭ		
Mother's Ed.	1	i	1	]			1		
High	1	8.55	1	1		10.48			
Mid	i	7.45	-	1 1		9.73	ł		
Low	1	6.67				8.77	ł		
	1			}		0.77	! 		
Father's Occp.	İ	<b>[</b>							]
High	1	8.53		i i		10.55			•
Mid		7.42				9.65			į
Low	1	6.87				9.23			i
Non Vombel 10	ļ								- 1
Non Verbal 3Q High	i	9.67							- 1
Mid		7.83		l	4	11.08			f
Low		6.24				9.71		Ī	1
204	•	0.24				8.05			
Verbal IQ	j					1	]	1	i
High	i .	9.60			ı	11.03			
Mid	i l	7.75				9.47		ľ	
Low	1	6.18			ı	7.84		1	ļ
						7.04	ļ	1	
Total IQ			1	j	1			-	- 1
High		9.85	ļ	1	ľ	11.16		}	ł
Mid		7.85	j	1	5	9.57		Ì	1
Low		6.09			•	7.82	İ	1	i
			i		1			í	



GRADE 8

WORK SKILLS SUB-1 Map Reading

								ap Keadii	-6
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Perce <b>nt</b> Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed.	1 1		1	1		ŀ	l	]	
High	1 1	8.49	1	1		10.49	}	j	
Mid	] [	7.56			ļ	9.72		]	
Low		6.71	1	1 !			1	<b>i</b> i	
LOW	] ]	0.71	1	1 1		9.24	ł		
Mother's Ed.	1 1		1	}			į	1	
	1 1	0.50	i	}			Ì	1	
High Mid	1	8.50		}		10.45	[	[	
		7.31	ĺ			9.69	1	(	
Low	1 .	6.81	}			8.93	1	1	
	Į :		J	j ]			1	1 1	1
Father's Occp.	i l		ł	i i				1	
High	1 1	8.42		i		10.41	l		
Mid	<u> </u>	7.32	ł	1		9.77	ļ	ļ i	
Low	!	6.63	ì	1		9.24	]	1	
	1		1				İ		
Non Verbal IQ	[ ]	-	1	1		•	1	ļ	ì
High	l i	9.38	ł		1	10.95	ł	}	
Mid	)	7.80	1	1		9.68	ì	}	
Low		6.13	1			8.28	İ	1	
	l		[	[		0.20	i	{	
Verbal IQ	1			}	1		ł	1	
High	]	9.29	<b>}</b>	ļ		10.91	ļ.	j :	
Mid	j i	7.73	i .	1		9.67	İ	]	
Low			•	[			[	1	
LOW	1	6.24	ł	ì		8.26	ł	} .	
Total IQ	<b>{</b>		1	}			1	<b>.</b>	
•	]	0.40	ļ	ł			1	1	
High	1	9.48	1	ł		11.12	1	1	[
Mid	[ ]	7.77	l	l		9.67	l	l I	ł l
Low	[	6.11	1	}		8.27	}	}	



GRADE 8

WORKSKILLS Sub-2 Reading Graphs & Tables

	·——			r					
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
		_					T		
Father's Ed.	ŀ		ł				ļ	l i	
High	)	8.09	İ	1		10.73	1	l i	1
Mid		7.27	l	l i		9.88	l	ŧ l	l
Low	ì	6.31	]	]		9.01		Į į	ı
		0.01	ţ	į į		9.01	ļ	1	
Mother's Ed.	1		i	1			1	1 1	· [
High		8.40	1	1		10.62	1	1	
Mid		7.34	ŀ	l i		9.78	i	1	Ī
Low		6.08	1	1 1		8.73	į	1	. 1
		0.00	]	ł l		0.73	[	1 1	1
Father's Occp.			]	1					i
High		8.19	ţ			10.76	į.		Į.
Mid		7.22	1	i i	i	9.79	·	!	Į.
Low		6.50	1	\		9.19	1	1	
		0.00	ł	1 1		7.17	l .	1	l
Non Verbal IQ		·	1	i 1				] ]	Ī
High		9.36	ł	[ [	į	11.49	ĺ	! !	Į.
Mid		7.63	İ	ľ		9.81	į	1	i
Low		6.10	ł	( (	j	8.08	1	{ {	{
20		0,10	1	ì i		0.00		ļ ļ	į.
Verbal IQ			1	1	1		1	i i	j
High		9.45	ļ		j	11.36			
Mid		7.20	)	] ]		9.64	ì		i
Low		5.87	t	l		7.84	ł	!!	ļ.
20%		3.07				7.04		[ [	l
Total IQ			<u> </u>	}			<b>\</b>	1	}
High		9.63		[ ]		11.59	1	! !	
Mid		7.28		1 1		9.68	}	1	Ì
Low		5.87		1				{	Į
TOM		2.01		]		7.84	ļ		į



GRADE 8

WORK SKILLS SUB-3
Use of Reference Material

	ı <del></del>					Use	of Refer	ence Mat	erials
	Total	_	Number	Percent	Scale		Number	Percent	Scale
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P 75	Over	Above	Value
								1101 71	
Father's Ed.	1		1						
High		8.43	<b>}</b>	1		10.61	}		
Mid	j	7.59		l		10 11			
Low		6.61	į			9.40	!		
DOM		0.01	İ			3.40	ì ·		
Mother's Ed.			ļ	1 1					
High		8.45	1	i i		10.62	·		
Mid		7.49	[	ĺ		10.02	ĺ	į į	
Low		6.35	Į	ł l		9.05	}	1	
LOW		0.33	1	<b>i</b>		9.05	ĺ		
Father's Occp.				}			'		
High		8.57	ļ	ì		10.69	<b>(</b>		'
Mid		7.37	Ì	}		9.93	]		
		6.67	1	1 1		9.48	ľ		
Low		0.07	1	i i		9.40	Ì		
Non Verbal IQ			1				i .		
High		9.63	j	j		11.23			
Mid		7.89	}	l i		10.13	1		
Low		5.98	į.	ì i		8.34	į i		
FOM		3.90	}	}		0.34			
Verbal IQ							ļ		
-		9.77	1	<u> </u>		11.16	1		
High		7.87	i	1		9.97	ł		
Mid			j				]		
Low		5.94	1	]		8.06	1		
Total IQ			Ì	[		İ	ĺ		
		10.07	ł	ł l		11.32	<b>!</b>	}	
High Mid		7.92	1	j i		10.06	j	]	
			l		1		i		
Low		5.86	1	( )		8.13	1		
			I						



GRADE 8

ARITHMETIC

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.05 7.40 6.86				10.23 9.63 9.10			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.12 7.26 6.52				10.26 9.51 8.65			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.26 7.16 6.88	{   			10.32 9.45 9.16			;
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.16 7.49 6.23				10.79 9.44 8.08			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.17 7.55 6.24				10.77 9.32 7.95			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.37 7.44 6.21				10.80 9.21 8.08			



GRADE 8

MATH SUB-1

							Arith	<ul> <li>Concept</li> </ul>	cs
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.34 7.36 6.80		·		10.94 10.11 9.26			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.46 7.45 6.79				10.98 9.99 9.03			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.48 7.22 6.89				10.93 9.93 9.52			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.60 7.58 6.44				11.36 9.89 8.20			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.49 7.60 6.60				11.30 9.77 8.04			
Total IQ High Mid Low		10.06 7.62 6.35				11.48 9.77 8.08			



	GRADE 8					M/ Arith	ATH. SUB	-2 m Solving	
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Perce <b>nt</b> Above	
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		7.44 6.94 6.53				9.68 9.41 8.86			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		7.65 6.85 6.13		-		9.83 9.30 8.65			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		7.72 6.77 6.55				9.85 9.30 8.95			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		8.49 7.24 5.88				10.36 9.29 8.40			,
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		8.59 7.36 5.86				10.51 9.33 8.38			
Total IQ High Mid Low		8.74 6.99 5.65				10,42 9,22 8,33			



306

### LARGE RURALS

GRADE 8

COMPOSITE

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.27 7.47 6.67				10.28 9.56 8.76			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.34 7.40 6.36				10.31 9.52 8.43			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.36 7.40 6.73			3.7	10.30 9.53 8.92			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low	•	9.36 7.74 6.19				10.68 9.49 7.77			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.49 7.75 6.14				10.73 9.26 7.58			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.65 7.80 6.10				10.80 9.36 7.54			



307

Appendix P
Section II, Grade 8
Small Rurals Tables



# SMALL RURALS

GRADE 8

# VOCABULARY

							Number	Percent	Scale	
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number   Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Over	Above	Value	ĺ
Father's Ed. High Mid Low	Number	8.33 7.21 6.44				10.59 9.38 8.59				
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.10 7.13 6.05				10.42 9.33 8.32				
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.18 7.20 6.56				10.47 9.38 8.93				
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		8.89 7.38 5.91				10.98 9.38 8.18				
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.33 7.83 5.61				11.12 9.29 7.69				
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.42 7.69 5.62	-			11.12 9.30 7.79	1			



# SMALL RURALS

GRADE 8

READING

•	Total Number	² 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed.									
High		8.19		<b>1</b>		10.39	1	1 1	
Mid		7.22	1			9.48	1	1 1	
Low		6.44	ļ	1		8.70		1 1	į
Mother's Ed.				}		•			
High		8.15		1		10.43	l	1	
Mid		7.07	1	i i		9.38	i	1	
Low		6.12				8.39	ł		
Father's Occp.							]	}	
High		7.87	)	1		10.33	<u> </u>	1 1	
Mid		7.26	1			9.51	\	1 1	
Low		6.55	1	1		8.95	}		
Non Verbal IQ				}			}	)	
High		9.24	Ì	]		10.88	l	}	
Mid		7.41	j	1		9.37	İ	1 1	
Low		5.85		<b>\</b>		7.91		1	
Verbal IQ							}	1 1	
High		9.35		1 1		11.02	1	] ]	
Mid		7.61	Ì	1 i		9.33	l	1 1	
Low		5.66		1		7.70	1	1 1	1
EOW		3.00		1		7.70			
Total IQ			1	<b>j</b>			1	}	;
High	j	9.70	1	<b>)</b>		11.11	1	1	
Mid	i	7.53	}	}		9.28		}	į
Low		5.68	{			7.50	ļ	} [	i
Į.			L				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	



# SMALL RURALS

GRADE 8

LANGUAGE

	GRADE 0								
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.22 6.73 6.01				10.67 9.69 8.84			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.15 6.55 5.76				10.63 9.57 8.61			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		5.27 6.80 6.03				10.80 9.61 9.00			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.08 7.18 5.51				11.25 9.81 8.01			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.44 7.38 5.54				11.12 9.49 7.55			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.63 7.31 5.35				11.21 9.59 7.55			

		_
$\sim$ 1	RADI	F. S
171	MILL	

LANGUAGE	
Spelli	ng

							Spe1	ling	
	Total	,	Number	Percent	Scale	D	Number	Percent	
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Under	Value	P 75	Over	Above	Value
Father's Ed.						ł	į	!!	
High		8.12	1	{		10.73	1	1	- {
Mid	1 1	6.66		1		9.81	}	1 1	
Low		5.82	1	]		9.01	1	į į	
Mother's Ed.	}		1	}			}	}	1
High	j	8.03	Ì	Ì		10.75	İ	1 1	1
Mid	[	6.39		l I		9.67	ļ	1 1	Į
Low	1	5.67		1 1		8.75	ļ	1 1	f
	1							1 1	}
Father's Occp.	1	•					Ì	1 1	1
High	[	8.12	ļ			10.68	ļ	i i	
Mid	,	6.67		<u> </u>		9.64		, ,	
Low		5.84	1			9.23	}		
Non Verbal IQ	1		}			ļ	1		
High		8.82	1	1 1		11.02		1 1	
Mid	]	6.92		]		9.85	l	1 1	1
Low		5.68	1			8.56		( (	
	1	_					1	1 1	1
Verbal IQ				1 1				) )	
High	l i	9.20	}	1 1		11.19	)	]	1
Mid		7.22	į			9.69	]	[ ]	į
Low		5.18		}		7.69			<i>'</i>
Total IQ		l		1			1		
High		9.37		1		11.30			1
Mid		7.11	}	)		9.75	1		j
Low		5,18	į	1		7.84	1	į l	ļ
			ł	1 1			1	1 1	



GRADE 8

#### SMALL RURALS

LANGUAGE SUB-2 Capitalization

							Capita	ilization	
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.17 6.71 6.01				11.16 10.27 9.73			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.39 6.60 5.97				11.30 10.22 9.50			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.33 6.89 6.09				11.23 10.29 9.60			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.49 7.41 5.32				11.71 10.45 8.44			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.76 7.15 5.54				11.84 10.11 8.25			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.91 7.31 5.08				11.79 10.30 8.19			



GRADE 8

LANGUAGE SUB-3
Punctuation

					_				
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <b>7</b> 5	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		7.75 6.47 5.79				10.60 9.86 9.02			
Mother's Ed.									
High Mid Low		8.02 6.21 5.52				10.80 9.60 8.83			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		7.90 6.41 5.64			*	10.71 9.78 9.11			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		8.95 7.09 5.00				11.34 9.88 8.08			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.14 7.26 4.98				11.23 9.70 7.83			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.44 7.33 4.84				11.48 9.84 7.79			



GRADE 8

LANGUAGE SUB-4 Usage

							US	age	
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.17 6.37 5.75				11.04 9.83 8.57			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.35 6.27 5.55				10.94 9.59 8.36			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.39 6.45 5.81				11.11 9.73 8.78			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		8.85 7.07 5.31				11.17 9.90 7.66			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.14 7.00 5.27				11.22 9.97 7.44			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.47 6.86 5.18				11.35 9.85 7.26	•		



#### SMALL RURALS

GRADE 8

#### WORK SKILLS

	[ <del></del>			<del></del>			I	<del></del> -	
	Total Number	P 25	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
	Mimber	- 45	under	londer	Value	<del>'''</del>	over	Above	Value
Father's Ed.								]	
High		8.34	ļ			10.39		1	
Mid		7.43	1	1		9.68			
Low	1 1	6.78		1		8.90		1	
	1 1						}	1 1	
Mother's Ed.	1			Í			1		
High	1	8.31		1	·	10.40	1	1	
Mid	1	7.37	ł			9.59	}		
Low		6.27				8.64		į į	
	1						į		
Father's Occp.	1 1	0.16		1					
High	1	8.16		ì		10.30	)	1 1	
Mid	]	7.41		1		.9.67	1	1 [	
Low	]	6.75	}			9.11		1 1	
Non Verbal IQ	1							1	
High		9.45		[		11.02	ļ	1 1	
Mid	]	7.81		i i		9.47		!	
Low	f	6.24				8.06		i i	
20.1	)		}	Ì			1	1 1	
Verbal IQ	[							1 1	
High	1	9.46		1		10.98	j	1 1	
Mid	1 :	7.80	1	İ		9.46	ĺ	1 1	
Low	Į i	6.13	l	į		7.95	[	1 1	
				ļ			ļ		
Total IQ	!			1			i		
High	1	9.75	}	1		11.18	1	1 . 1	
Mid		7.89		1		9.46			
Low	(	6.06		1		7.75	.	, ,	
	L		<u> </u>	I .			L		



GRADE 8

WORK SKILLS SUB-1

		Map Reading							g
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent: Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Perce <b>nt</b> Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed.	1		İ	[					
High	1 1	8.27	l	1 1		10.25			[
Mid	i i	7.21		1 1		9.74	i	i i	
Low		6.86		1		9.11			
Mother's Ed.		_	ł	1					
High		8.20		1 1		10.36		ł i	
Mid .		7.36		, ,		9.56	ł	1 1	
Low		6.49	)			8.92			
Father's Occp.			1	]					1
High		8.23		, ,		10.17	}	]	}
Mid	i l	7.44	]	]		9.75	1	1	i
Low		6.79	1			9.19	1		
Non Verbal IQ	[ [			1			1		ĺ
High	1 1	9.04				10.84		1 1	
Mid	1	7.54	ł	1		9.61	1	1 1	
Low		5.85		}		8.45	}		
Verbal IQ			1				}		
High	i l	9.06	1			10.85	1	1	
Mid	[ ]	7.52	ļ	1		9.55	j	j :	
Low		6.30	}			8.38	}		
Total IQ				}		ļ	}		
High		9.23				10.85	i		
Mid		7.72	1	1		9.43	Ī	1	Ì
Low		5.93	1			8.09	1		
			1	1 1			1	1 1	



GRADE 8

WORKSKILLS SUB-2 Reading Graphs & Tables Number Percent Scale Total Number Percent Scale P<sub>75</sub> P<sub>25</sub> Over Value Number Under Under Value Above Father's Ed. High 8.39 10.57 Mid 7.35 9.92 6.21 Low 9.13 Mother's Ed. 10.70 High 8.16 Mid 7.26 9.86 5.89 Low 9.12 Father's Occp. High 8.18 10.46 Mid 7.44 9.84 6.78 9.36 Low Non Verbal IQ High 9.29 11.64 7.33 Mid 9.66 5.86 8.17 Low Verbal IQ High 9.44 11.20 Mid 7.22 9.73 5.54 Low 8.22 Total IQ High 9.72 11.60 Mid 7.50 9.51 Low 5.41 7.80



Father's Ed. High Mid Low
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low
Father's Occp. High Mid Low
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low
Verbal IQ High Mid

Low

Total IQ High Mid

Low

	GRAI	DE 8				U	WORKSK	ILLS SUB	-3 Material
	Total Number	: P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
		8.59 7.49 6.68				10.83 10.04 9.21			
	. :	8.50 7.44 6.31				10.87 9.83 9.08			
p.		8.43 7.50 6.86				10.84 10.06 9.40			
Q		9.51 7.93 6.05				11.00 9.92 8.45			
		9.83 8.12 5.99				11.09 9.81 8.10			
		10.10 8.02 5.94				11.33 9.84 7.90			



GRADE 8

ARITHMETIC

	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		7.97 7.37 6.88				9.99 9.60 9.00			
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8.02 7.31 6.64				10.04 9.49 8.79			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		7.88 7.45 6.95				9.99 9.57 9.13			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		8.90 7.56 6.51				10.70 9.47 8.27			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		8.79 7.76 6.46				10.56 9.45 8.23	-		
Total IQ High Mid Low		8.98 7.65 6.39				10.66 9.39 8.16	į		



### SMALL RURALS

GRADE 8

MATH SUB-1 Arith. Concepts

		_		Arith. Concepts						
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub> _	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value	
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		7.94 7.41 7.00				10.56 10.03 9.35				
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		8:04 7.29 6.70				10.68 10.00 9.01				
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		7.81 7.51 7.06				10.60 10.02 9.60				
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.44 7.62 6.58				11.40 9.94 8.24				
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.43 7.66 6.39				11.32 9.74 8.40				
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.71 7.68 6.43				11.39 9.86 8.10				



GRADE 8

	GR	ADE 8					мат	H SUB-2	
	Total		Number	T		<del></del>	Arith. P	roblem Sc	lvino
	Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P 75	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		7.56 7.04 6.54				9.73 9.38 8.92		Novve	varue
Mother's Ed. High Mid Low		7.68 6.84 6.20				9.81 9.36			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		7.54 7.13 6.64				9.67 9.34 8.99			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low Verbal IQ		8.24 7.00 6.30				10.22 9.15 8.59		1	
High Mid Low		8.43 7.34 6.21				10.31 9.22 8.46			
Total IQ High Mid Low		8.42 7.19 5.05				10.16 9.12 8.45			



### SMALL RURALS

GRADE 8

COMPOSITE

									•
	Total Number	P <sub>25</sub>	Number Under	Percent Under	Scale Value	P <sub>75</sub>	Number Over	Percent Above	Scale Value
Father's Ed. High Mid Low		8.23 7.26 6.57				10.15 9.41			
Mother's Ed.		0.5/				8.60			
High Mid Low		8.17 7.27 6.28				10.18 9.36 8.34			
Father's Occp. High Mid Low		8.14 7.32 6.65				10.22 9.43 8.89			
Non Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.32 7.61 6.27				10.81 9.35 7.87			
Verbal IQ High Mid Low		9.45 7.85 6.13				10.76 9.18 7.61			
Total IQ High Mid Low		9.57 7.81 6.13				10.92 9.21 7.43			

Appendix Q

Blank Forms



#### Quartile Consolidation Table

## Appendices A & C

	Achievement	Average		_	Class	sific	ation			_
Grade	Area	Score	State	Commty. Type	Father's Educ.	Mother's Educ.	Father's	N-Verb. I.Q.	Verbal I.Q.	Total I.Q.
5	Vocabulary Reading Language L-1 L-2 L-3 L-4 Workskills WS-1 WS-2 WS-3 Arithmetic A-1 A-2 Composite									
8 FRI	Vocabulary Reading Language L-1 L-2 L-3 L-4 Workskills WS-1 WS-2 WS-3 Arithmetic A-1 A-2 Composite									

# Quartile Consoldiation Table by Community Type

# Appendices B & D

	Achievement	Average		Classification					
Grade	Area	Score	Father's Educ.	Mother's Educ.	Father's Occp.	N-Verb. I.Q.	Verbal I.Q.	Total I.Q.	Remarks
5	Vocabulary								
1	Reading	İ	ì	}	(		į	1	1
1	Language	1	1	ţ			1	}	{
1	L-1	1	I	[	ļ				1
ŀ	L-2	1	1	ļ				j	
Į	L-3	1	1	ł	}			1	
- 1	L-4	l l	í					1	
- 1	Workskills	1	1	ì	1		Ì	ł .	
i	WS-1	i	į	1				[	
i	WS-2	]	l	ļ	į į			ļ .	
	WS~3 Arithmetic	į.	[	į.				Į	
- 1	A-1	1	1	\$				1	
- 1	A-2	1	1	l	1			Ì	
	Composite	İ			ľ		İ	l	
- 1	composite	j	}	ł				Į .	
8	Vocabulary	1	Ì	<b>[</b>				Į.	
l	Reading	ł	Į	ţ			1	1	
	Language	(	4	<b>}</b>			Ì	]	
- 1	L-1	1	1	1			<u> </u>	1	
i	L-2	1	Ţ.	j			Ì		
j	L-3	1	}				Į	į	
1	L-4	l	1	l			ļ	,	
Ì	Workskills	i	j	(				}	
	WS-1	Ţ	Į.	{					
1	WS-2	1	1	1				}	
1	WS-3	ł	i	}			Ì	i '	
- 1	Arithmetic A-1	1	ì	}				1	
}	A-1 A-2		Ì	1				į	
Ĭ	A-2 Composite	1	ł	ļ				<b>_</b>	



Scale Value Consolidation Chart By Grade

	By Grade		<del></del> 1
Scale Value	Gra	de8	Total
Value	_ <del>3</del>		
10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3		•	
4			
3	 		
1			
∮ <del></del> -			1
О			
-1			
-2			<del> </del>
-2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -7 -8 -9 -10			
-7 -8 -9			
-10			
ERIC			<u> </u>

# Scale Value Consolidation Chart by Sex

Scale	S	ex_	
Value	Boys	Girls	Tota
10			100
9			
8			
7			
5			
4			
3			
10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1			
0			
			·
-1			<del></del>
-2			
- <u>2</u> -3 -4 -5 -6 -7 -8 -9			
.5	l.		
.6			
.7			
8	i		
9			
.0			
	}		
		,	<u> </u>
	1	,	
C.		,	

# Scale Value Consolidation Chart By Main Test Area

							Total
Scale		1 2 1.		Test Area Work Skills	Arithmetic	Composite	Value
Value	Vocabulary	Reading	Language	WOFK SKITIS	Affilmetic	Composite	
10 9 8 7							
6 5 4 3							
2				_			
0				,			
-1							
-2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -7 -8 -9 -10							
ERIC Full Box Provided by ERIC							

### Scale Value Consolidation Chart by Socioeconomic and Occupational Category

<u> </u>				
Scale.	Socioecon Father's Education	omic and Occupational Level Mother's Education	Father's Occupation	Total
Value	racher's Education	· Inclier & Butcation	Tacher o occupation	Total
j				
10		}		
9 8			Ì	
7				
6				
5 4				
4				}
3 2			<del></del>	<del></del>
ł				
1				
			<del></del>	
0				
		<u> </u>		
				}
-1				1
-2				
- <u>2</u> -3				
-4 -5				
-5				
-6 -7				
-8				
-9				1
-10				
]				
1				
0				
				1

### Scale Value Consolidation Chart by Intelligence Quotient

		Intelligence Quotient		
Scale		Intelligence Quotient	·	
Value	Non Verbal	Verbal	Tetal	Total
10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3		:		
$\frac{3}{2}$		<del>                                     </del>		
1				
0				
-1	,			
-2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -7 -8 -9				
ERIC AFULT TRACE Provided by ERIC				

### Scale Value Consolidation Chart by Achievement Level

	•		
	Level	Achievem	Scale
Total	High	Low	Value
ł			
}			
			10
	·		9
1			0 1
1			6
1			5
1			4
			9 8 7 6 5 4 3
1			2
1			. 1
			1
	<del></del>		
}			0
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1			-1
1			
+			- <u>2</u> -3 -45 -6 -7 -8 -9
}			-3
1			-4
}			-6
			-7
1			-8
			-9
1			-10
1			
}			}
			3
}			DIC.
			RIC